HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	rmation							27-59-38.54 =	082-41-17.24
Florida [12	2]	Pinellas County [10	03]	Safety Harbor [62425]	0.2MI. N OF MAIN STR	REET		27.994039	= -82.688122
150009		Highway age	ency district: 7	Owner City or Municipa	ıl Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance re	esponsibility	City or Municipal H	ighway Agency [04]
Route 0		PHI	LIPPE PKWY	Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	atures intersecte	ed MULLET CI	REEK	
main	Concrete [1] Arch - Deck		Design - approach	ner [00]	Kilometerpoint 1274 Year built 1926	4.8 km = 790.4 n Year reco	nstructed N/A	[0000]	
	Augit Beek	[11]		161 [00]	Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure Fla		e for the NRHP. [3]	
Total lengt	h 17.4 m =	57.1 ft L	ength of maximum	span 17.4 m = 57.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	t 17.6 m = 57.7	ft Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-cu	urb 12.3 m = 40.4 ft
Inventory F	Route, Total	Horizontal Clearan	ce 12.3 m = 40.4	ft Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left $2 \text{ m} = 6.6 \text{ ft}$		Curb or side	ewalk width - right	2 m = 6.6 ft
Deck struc	ture type		Not applicable [N]						
Type of we	earing surfac	e	Other [9]						
Deck prote	ection		Not applicable (ap	plies only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
Type of me	embrane/we	aring surface	Not applicable (ap	plies only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
Weight Lir	mits								
71	letour length	Method to deter	rmine inventory rati	ng Load Testing [4]	Inve	ntory rating 2	20.9 metric ton	= 23.0 tons	
0.3 km = 0	0.2 mi	Method to deter	rmine operating rat	ing Load Testing [4]	Ope	rating rating	36.3 metric ton	= 39.9 tons	
		Bridge posting	Equal to or abov	e legal loads [5]	Desi	ign Load M 13	.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 10500 Average daily to	ruck traffi 2 % Year 2017 Future average daily traffic 18218 Year 2039								
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 8.1 m = 26.6 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost 0								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Equal to present minimum criteria [6] Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as				
Condition ratings - superstructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Appraisal ratings -					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]						
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]	deck geometry	is [5]				
Scour	Bridge with "unknown" founda	ation that has not been ev	aluated for scour. [U]				
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of Banks and/or channel have n		ol devices and embankmen	t protection have a little minor damage.			
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cr	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]		iion	_		
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection not req	Navigation protection not required [1]		ing 80.5			
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - transition							
Traffic safety features - approach							
Traffic safety features - approach							
Inspection date April 2017 [04]		ection frequency 24	Months				
	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	tion date				
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins	spection date				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date					