## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation											27-59-45.83 =	082-27-55.71
Florida [1	2]	Hillsborough	County [C	)57]	Tai	mpa [71000]	0.4 MI W	OF BUS 4	1			27.996064	= -82.465475
100920		Highway agency district: 7			O	Owner State Highway Agency [01]			Mainte	nance res	sponsibility	State Highway Ag	ency [01]
Route 92 US			US 92 S	SR 600 EB		Toll On free road [3]			Features intersected HILLSBOROUGH RIVER				
main	Steel [3]			Design - approach	Concrete [	•	Kilometer Year built		920.9 km =		ni structed 199	19	
1	Movable - I	Lift [15]		8	Tee beam	[04]	Skew and			ture Flare			
Total length 109.6 m = 359.6 ft Length of maximum span 25.4 m = 83.3 ft Deck width, out-to-out 16.1 m = 52								• •		e for the NRHP. [3]	curb 12.3 m = 40.4 ft		
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 12.3 m = 40.4 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left					1.4 m =	4.6 ft		Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	1.4 m = 4.6 ft			
Deck struc	cture type		Coi	ncrete Cast	in-Place [1	]							
Type of w	earing surfa	ce											
Deck protection													
Type of m	embrane/we	earing surface											
Weight Li	imits												
J.	s, detour length Method to determine inventory r			rating	ting Load Factor(LF) [1]			nventory rat	ventory rating 31.2 metric ton = 34.3 tons				
0.2 km =	0.1 mi	Method to	determin	ne operating	rating	Load Factor(LF)	[1]	C	perating ra	ting 52	metric ton =	57.2 tons	
		Bridge pos	sting E	iqual to or a	bove legal l	loads [5]			esign Load	MS 18	/ HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details											
Average Daily Traffic 51000 Average daily t	uck traffi 5 % Year 2018 Future average daily traffic 63750 Year 2038										
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 3 Approach roadway width 12.3 m = 40.4 ft										
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 1 - way traffic [1]  Bridge median										
Parallel structure designation The right structure	of parallel bridges carrying the roadway in the direction of the inventory. [R]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]										
Navigation vertical clearanc 16.1 m = 52.8 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 17.4 m = 57.1 ft										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 2.7 m = 8.9 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.57 m = 15.0 ft											
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$ Minimum lateral underclearance on left $0 = N/A$										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]											
Repair and Replacement Plans											
Type of work to be performed	Work done by										
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0										
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost 0										
	Year of improvement cost estimate										
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state										
	Border bridge - structure number										

Inspection and Sufficien	ency								
Structure status Ope	en, no restrictio	on [A]		ppraisal ratings - tructural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - super	rstructure Fair	[5]		Appraisal ratings - Equal to roadway alignment		to present desirable criteria [8]			
Condition ratings - substructure Goo		od [7]		Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - deck Sati		sfactory [6]		deck geometry					
Scour		Countermeasu	res have been insta	alled to mitigate an ex	kisting proble	em with scour. [7]			
Channel and channel protection				or repairs. River contr amounts of drift. [7]	rol devices a	and embankment protection have a little minor damage.			
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Equal to prese	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			Status evaluation			
Pier or abutment protecti	tion	In place and fu	ınctioning [2]			Sufficiency rating 59.4			
Culverts Not applicable	le. Used if stru	octure is not a cul	vert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings				meets currently acce	lards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - transitions Inpected				ected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpected				pected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features -	Inpected feature	pected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Inspection date April 2017 [0417] De			esignated inspection	n frequency 24	N	Months			
Underwater inspection	Every	y two years [Y24]		Underwater inspec	ction date	March 2017 [0317]			
Fracture critical inspect	ction Every	y year [Y12]		Fracture critical inspection date		e April 2018 [0418]			
Other special inspectio	on Every	y year [Y12]		Other special inspe	ection date	April 2018 [0418]			