HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2019 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information											26-56-03 73 =	082-21-10 97	
Florida [12]		Charlotte County [015]			E	Englewood [20825] 0		0.8 MILE SW OF CR-776			26.934369	= -82.353047	
10029		Highway agency district: 1			(Owner County Highway Agency [02]			Maintenance responsibility C		County Highway A	gency [02]	
Route 776 BEACH ROAD					Toll On free road [3] Features intersected LEMON BAY			Y ICWW					
Design - main	Design - Steel [3] main			Design - approach	Prestressed concrete [5] Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [02]			Kilometerpoin Year built 1	t 13 965	0.2 km = 80.7 m Year red	ii constructed 2018		
	Movable - Bascule [16]			18				Skew angle	w angle 0 Structure Flared				
				Historical significa			nificance	Ince Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]					
Total length 306.5 m = 1005.6 ft Length of maximum span 31.4 m = 103.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 11.5 m = 37.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.9 m = 25.9 ft													
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.9 m = 25.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.5 m = 4							1.5 m = 4.9 ft						
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]													
Type of wearing surface													
Deck protection													
Type of membrane/wearing surface													
Weight L	imits												
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory ratir			rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]			Inv	Inventory rating 34.5 metric ton = 38.0 tons					
2.1 km = 1.3 mi Method to determine operating rating			rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]			Op	verating rating 38.6 metric ton = 42.5 tons					
Bridge posting 00.1 - 09.9 % below			% below [[4]			De	esign Load					

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 10000 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2019 Fi	uture average daily traffic 1	2500 Year 2039]					
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway width 7 m = 23.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way t	raffic [2]	Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control	ation control Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]						
Navigation vertical clearanc6.2 m = 20.3 ft	Navigation vertical clearance6.2 m = 20.3 ftNavigation horizontal clearance26.2 m = 86.0 ft								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft	Minimum vertical clearanc	e over bridge roadway 5.3	9 m = 17.7 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$		Minimum lateral undercleara	nce on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
	Bridge improvement cost 0	Roadway improv	vement cost 0						
	Length of structure improvement	0 m = 0.0 ft Tota	project cost 0						
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state	Borde	bridge - percent responsibilit	ty of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Posted for loa	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Better than present minimum criteria [7] Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]							
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment								
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -								
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge for	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]								
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of mir Banks and/or channel have mind	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Superior to present desirable cri	teria [9]	Sta	tatus evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection	In place and functioning [2]		Sul	ufficiency rating 47						
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transition	S									
Traffic safety features - approach	nguardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends									
Inspection date January 1999 [199] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months										
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspectio	n date	December 2018 [1218]						
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical inspection dat		January 1999 [199]						
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special inspect	ion date	January 1999 [199]						