## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation											48-33-59.00 =	116-23-39.00
Idaho [16] Boundary County [021]			Unknown [00000] 0.1 S. NAPLES					48.566389	= -116.394167				
30315 Highway agency district 1			Owner	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsible				oonsibility	County Highway Agency [02]				
Route 5802 STC 5802;DEEP CRK					Toll On fro	ee road [3]		Features int	ersected	BNRR;UPR	R & DEEP CREEK		
main approach		1	Concrete [1]  Year built  Skew angle  Historical sign			1936 le 0	Structure Flared						
Total length 153 m = 502.0 ft Length of maximum span 49.1 m = 161.1 ft  Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft Curb or sidewalk wide  Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]							Deck wi		-out 8.5 m =	• •	Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-c	7.3 m = 24.0 ft 0.2 m = 0.7 ft
Type of wearing surface  Bituminous [6]  Deck protection  Type of membrane/wearing surface													
0.3  km = 0.2  mi			letermine operati	ermine inventory rating ermine operating rating Equal to or above legal loa		Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1] ds [5]		(	nventory ratir Operating rati Oesign Load	ing 35.	9 metric ton 4 metric ton 7 H 15 [2]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 700 Average daily tru	ck traffi 14 % Year 2012 Future average daily traffic 1050 Year 2032							
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 8.5 m = 27.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Railroad-waterway [7]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  4.55 m = 14.9 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Railroad beneath structure [R]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 3.7 m = 12.1 ft  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 4.3 m = 14.1 ft								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   7.06 m = 23.2 ft   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Railroad beneath structure [R]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 2956000 Roadway improvement cost 296000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 160.6 m = 526.9 ft Total project cost 4878000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2011							
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]							
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]							
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]							
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]								
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]  Status evaluation								
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 61.2							
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transitions										
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail										
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends										
Inspection date										
Underwater inspection   Not needed [N]   Underwater inspection date										
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical inspection date  June 2012 [0612]								
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date							