HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								
Illinois [17]	Madison County [1	19]	Unknown [00500]	N BETHALTO		38-55-26.21 =	3 090-03-24.71 = -9	
60307800000000	Highway age	ncy district: 8	Owner City or Municipa	al Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance responsibility	City or Municipal F	Highway Agency [04]	
Route 0	MS-	901	Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	atures intersected ROCKY E	BRANCH CREEK		
Design - Concrete main 1 Other [00]		Design - approach 0 Othe	r [00]	Kilometerpoint 119.Year built 1928Skew angle 15Historical significance	1 km = 73.8 mi Year reconstructed Structure Flared Bridge is not eligible fo	or the NRHP. [5]		
Total length 12.2 m	= 40.0 ft Le	ength of maximum sp	oan 11.3 m = 37.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	t 5.9 m = 19.4 ft Bridge ro	padway width, curb-to-c	curb 4.9 m = 16.1 ft	
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m =					Curb or s	idewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ace [1]					
Type of wearing surface								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour leng 0.3 km = 0.2 mi	ypass, detour length 3 km = 0.2 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating				rating rating 19.4 metric to 32.4 metric to			
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above	legal loads [5]	Desi	ign Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 775 Average daily tr	uck traffi 9 % Year 2016 Future average daily traffic 1431 Year 2032							
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 6.7 m = 22.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 37000 Roadway improvement cost 4000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 12.2 m = 40.0 ft Total project cost 41000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficier	ncy							
Structure status Ope	en, no restrictio	on [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - super	ratings - superstructure Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - substructure Fair		[5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck Poor		r [4]	deck geometry					
Scour		Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Equal to present minimum of		Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection					Sufficiency rating	35.8		
Culverts Not applicable	le. Used if stru	cture is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features -								
Traffic safety features - transitions								
Traffic safety features -								
Traffic safety features -								
Inspection date December 2018 [1218] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
·		eeded [N]	ction date					
·		eeded [N]	spection date					
Other special inspection Not no		eded [N] Other special inspection date						