

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: [www.historicbridges.org](http://www.historicbridges.org). Data Conversion Assistance By [www.bridgehunter.com](http://www.bridgehunter.com). None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

### Basic Information

Illinois [17]	Cass County [017]	Unknown [00000]	W EDGE BEARDSTOWN	40-00-53.67 = 4	090-26-47.94 = -9
900010000000	Highway agency district: 6	Owner	State Highway Agency [01]	Maintenance responsibility	State Highway Agency [01]
Route 67	US 67 / IL 100	Toll	On free road [3]	Features intersected	ILLINOIS RIVER
Design - main	Steel continuous [4]	Design - approach	Steel [3]	Kilometerpoint	15315.7 km = 9495.7 mi
5	Truss - Thru [10]	12	Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Year built	1955
				Year reconstructed	1985
				Skew angle	0
				Structure Flared	
				Historical significance	Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]
Total length	1104.6 m = 3624.2 ft	Length of maximum span	164.6 m = 540.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	9.1 m = 29.9 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance	9.1 m = 29.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left	0.6 m = 2.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right	0.6 m = 2.0 ft
Deck structure type	Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]				
Type of wearing surface					
Deck protection	Epoxy Coated Reinforcing [1]				
Type of membrane/wearing surface					

### Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length 6.4 km = 4.0 mi	Method to determine inventory rating	Load Factor (LF) rating reported by rati	Inventory rating	26.9 metric ton = 29.6 tons
	Method to determine operating rating	Load Factor (LF) rating reported by rati	Operating rating	45.4 metric ton = 49.9 tons
Bridge posting	Equal to or above legal loads [5]		Design Load	MS 18 / HS 20 [5]

## Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	6500	Average daily truck traffic	18 %	Year	2017	Future average daily traffic	6300	Year	2032	
Road classification	Other Principal Arterial (Urban) [14]			Lanes on structure	2	Approach roadway width	12.8 m = 42.0 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway [1]			Direction of traffic	2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]			Lanes under structure	0	Navigation control	Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]			
Navigation vertical clearance	15.2 m = 49.9 ft			Navigation horizontal clearance 160.3 m = 525.9 ft						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	0 m = 0.0 ft			Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	0 = N/A			Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A			Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

## Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]		
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Bridge improvement cost	14389000	Roadway improvement cost	1439000
	Length of structure improvement	1115 m = 3658.3 ft	Total project cost	21584000
	Year of improvement cost estimate			
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state		
	Border bridge - structure number			

## Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	Open, no restriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]		
Scour	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]		
Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation	
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection not required [1]	Sufficiency rating	47.7
Culverts	Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]		
Traffic safety features - railings	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - transitions	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends			
Inspection date	September 2018 [0918]	Designated inspection frequency	24 Months
Underwater inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Underwater inspection date	October 2018 [1018]
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical inspection date	September 2018 [0918]
Other special inspection	Every year [Y12]	Other special inspection date	September 2018 [0918]