

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Illinois [17] | Bureau County [011] | Arispie [02076] | 3 MI. E. OF TISKILWA | 41-17-24 = 41.290000 | 089-26-18 = - 89.438333 |
| 6400401646 | Highway agency district 2 | Owner Town or Township Highway Agency [03] | Maintenance responsibility | Town or Township Highway Agency [03] | |
| Route 268 | TR 268 | Toll On free road [3] | Features intersected | BUREAU CR. | |
| Design - main Steel [3] | Design - approach Steel [3] | Kilometerpoint | Year built 1899 | Year reconstructed 1949 | |
| 3 | Truss - Thru [10] | 3 | Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [02] | Skew angle 0 | Structure Flared |
| | | Historical significance | | Historical significance is not determinable at this time. [4] | |
| Total length 53.6 m = 175.9 ft | Length of maximum span 33.2 m = 108.9 ft | Deck width, out-to-out 3.9 m = 12.8 ft | Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 3.9 m = 12.8 ft | | |
| Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 3.9 m = 12.8 ft | Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft | Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft | | | |
| Deck structure type | Wood or Timber [8] | | | | |
| Type of wearing surface | Other [9] | | | | |
| Deck protection | | | | | |
| Type of membrane/wearing surface | | | | | |

Weight Limits

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Bypass, detour length 1 km = 0.6 mi | Method to determine inventory rating | | Inventory rating 5.4 metric ton = 5.9 tons |
| | Method to determine operating rating | | Operating rating 9 metric ton = 9.9 tons |
| Bridge posting | | Design Load | |

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic Average daily truck traffi % Year Future average daily traffic Year

Road classification Lanes on structure Approach roadway width

Type of service on bridge Direction of traffic Bridge median

Parallel structure designation

Type of service under bridge Lanes under structure Navigation control

Navigation vertical clearanc Navigation horizontal clearance

Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway

Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature

Minimum lateral underclearance on right Minimum lateral underclearance on left

Minimum Vertical Underclearance Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature

Appraisal ratings - underclearances

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed

Work done by

Bridge improvement cost Roadway improvement cost

Length of structure improvement Total project cost

Year of improvement cost estimate

Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state

Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Structure status | Posted for load [P] | Appraisal ratings - structural | Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2] |
| Condition ratings - superstructure | Serious [3] | Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment | Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrective action [3] |
| Condition ratings - substructure | Critical [2] | Appraisal ratings - deck geometry | Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2] |
| Condition ratings - deck | Poor [4] | | |
| Scour | Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6] | | |
| Channel and channel protection | Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5] | | |
| Appraisal ratings - water adequacy | Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] | Status evaluation | Structurally deficient [1] |
| Pier or abutment protection | | Sufficiency rating | 0 |
| Culverts | Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N] | | |
| Traffic safety features - railings | | | |
| Traffic safety features - transitions | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach guardrail | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends | Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1] | | |
| Inspection date | November 1991 [1191] | Designated inspection frequency | 24 Months |
| Underwater inspection | Not needed [N] | Underwater inspection date | |
| Fracture critical inspection | Every two years [Y24] | Fracture critical inspection date | November 1991 [1191] |
| Other special inspection | Not needed [N] | Other special inspection date | |