

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.  
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

**Basic Information**

Illinois [17] Lake County [097] Unknown [34772] 0.1 W LAKE MICHIGAN 42-11-21 = 42.1 087-47-27 = -87.7  
 49655427122 Highway agency district 1 Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]

Route 3115 CENTRAL AVE Toll On free road [3] Features intersected RAVINE

Design - main Concrete [1] Design - approach Other [00] Kilometerpoint 70.8 km = 43.9 mi  
 1 Arch - Deck [11] 0 Other [00] Year built 1935 Year reconstructed #Num!  
 Skew angle 0 Structure Flared  
 Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]

Total length 33.8 m = 110.9 ft Length of maximum span 15.5 m = 50.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.9 m = 19.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.9 m = 16.1 ft  
 Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.8 m = 2.6 ft

Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]  
 Type of wearing surface Not applicable (applies only to structures with no deck) [N]  
 Deck protection Not applicable (applies only to structures with no deck) [N]  
 Type of membrane/wearing surface Not applicable (applies only to structures with no deck) [N]

**Weight Limits**

Bypass, detour length 0 km = 0.0 mi Method to determine inventory rating No rating analysis performed [5] Inventory rating 24.3 metric ton = 26.7 tons  
 Method to determine operating rating No rating analysis performed [5] Operating rating 33.3 metric ton = 36.6 tons  
 Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5] Design Load

### Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic  Average daily truck traffi  % Year  Future average daily traffic  Year

Road classification  Lanes on structure  Approach roadway width

Type of service on bridge  Direction of traffic  Bridge median

Parallel structure designation

Type of service under bridge  Lanes under structure  Navigation control

Navigation vertical clearanc  Navigation horizontal clearance

Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway

Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature

Minimum lateral underclearance on right  Minimum lateral underclearance on left

Minimum Vertical Underclearance  Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature

Appraisal ratings - underclearances

### Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed

Work done by

Bridge improvement cost  Roadway improvement cost

Length of structure improvement  Total project cost

Year of improvement cost estimate

Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state

Border bridge - structure number

## Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status	<input type="text" value="Open, no restriction [A]"/>	Appraisal ratings - structural	<input type="text" value="Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]"/>
Condition ratings - superstructure	<input type="text" value="Fair [5]"/>	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	<input type="text" value="Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrective action [3]"/>
Condition ratings - substructure	<input type="text" value="Fair [5]"/>	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	<input type="text" value="Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]"/>
Condition ratings - deck	<input type="text" value="Not Applicable [N]"/>		
Scour	<input type="text" value="Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]"/>		
Channel and channel protection	<input type="text" value="Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]"/>		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	<input type="text" value="Superior to present desirable criteria [9]"/>	Status evaluation	<input type="text" value="Functionally obsolete [2]"/>
Pier or abutment protection	<input type="text"/>	Sufficiency rating	<input type="text" value="61"/>
Culverts	<input type="text" value="Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]"/>		
Traffic safety features - railings	<input type="text"/>		
Traffic safety features - transitions	<input type="text" value="Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]"/>		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	<input type="text" value="Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]"/>		
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends	<input type="text" value="Inspected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]"/>		
Inspection date	<input type="text" value="June 2010 [0610]"/>	Designated inspection frequency	<input type="text" value="24"/> Months
Underwater inspection	<input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/>	Underwater inspection date	<input type="text"/>
Fracture critical inspection	<input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/>	Fracture critical inspection date	<input type="text"/>
Other special inspection	<input type="text" value="Not needed [N]"/>	Other special inspection date	<input type="text"/>