HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								
Illinois [17] Christian County [02]	Unknown [00000]	1.5 M WSW MORRISO	ONVL		39-24-51.80 = 3 089-29-28.35 = -8	
11324100000000	Highway agenc	y district: 6	Owner Town or Tov	vnship Highway Agency [03] Maintenance	responsibility	Town or Township	Highway Agency [03]
Route 0	TR 29	7	Toll Or	n free road [3]	eatures intersed	ted PRAIRIE FO	ORK	
Design - Steel [3] main Truss - The	ru [10]	Design - approach O Ot	her [00]	Kilometerpoint 24. Year built #Num! Skew angle 0	1 km = 14.9 mi Year rec	constructed		
				Historical significance	Bridge is	s not eligible for t	he NRHP. [5]	
Total length 18.9 m	= 62.0 ft Len	gth of maximum	span 18.7 m = 61.4 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	ut 4.3 m = 14.1	ft Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-o	curb 4.2 m = 13.8 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	al Horizontal Clearance	4.2 m = 13.8 f	t Curb or sidewal	lk width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ f}$	ì	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	W	ood or Timber [8	3]					
Type of wearing surfa	ace W	ood or Timber [7]					
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/w	rearing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengt	Method to determi	ine inventory rat	ing No rating analysi	s or evaluation perfor Inve	entory rating	0 metric ton = 0	.0 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to determi	ne operating rat	ing No rating analysi	s or evaluation perfor Ope	erating rating	0 metric ton = 0	.0 tons	
	Bridge posting			Des	sign Load			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 25 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2005 Future average daily traffic 29 Year 2032								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4 m = 13.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 76000 Roadway improvement cost 8000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 26.5 m = 86.9 ft Total project cost 114000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Bridge closed		Appraisal ratings - structural						
Condition ratings - superstructure		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - substructure		Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as					
Condition ratings - deck		deck geometry	is [5]					
Scour	Bridge foundations determined t	to be stable for assesse	d or calculated so	cour condition. [5	5]			
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Meets minimum tolerable limits	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] Status evaluation Structurally of						
Pier or abutment protection			Suff	ficiency rating	17.9			
Culverts Not applicable. Used if	structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transitions								
Traffic safety features - approach								
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends							
Inspection date December 20	<u> </u>		Month	S				
·	lot needed [N]	Underwater inspec						
	lot needed [N]		racture critical inspection date					
Other special inspection	very year [Y12]	Other special inspe	ection date	December 2009	7 [1209]			