The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								
[Illinois [17] Kane County [089]		Aurora [03012] 1000 FT W ILL RTE 25		41-45-23 = 41	.7 088-18-54 = -88.3			
000045600627996 Highway agency district 1		Owner City or Municipa	Owner City or Municipal Highway Agency [04] Maintenance responsibility		City or Municipal	Highway Agency [04]		
Route 2038 DOWNER PLACE Toll On free roa					Features interse	cted FOX RIVER	R W. BRANCH	
Design - main  Concrete [1] Design - approach  Arch - Deck [11] 0 Other		Skew angle 12 Structure Flared						
Total length 51.8 m Inventory Route, Total	= 170.0 ft   Horizontal Cle	Length of maximum spearance 10.9 m = 35.8 ft	an 17.4 m = 57.1 ft  Curb or sidewalk w		-to-out 22.1 m = 72 = 14.8 ft	.5 ft Bridge road	e for the NRHP. [3] dway width, curb-to- ewalk width - right	7.3 m = 24.0 ft 3.3 m = 10.8 ft
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		No rating analysis p	erformed [5]	Inventory rating	21.6 metric ton	= 23.8 tons		
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to	determine operating rating	No rating analysis p	erformed [5]	Operating rating	28.8 metric ton	= 31.7 tons	
	Bridge pos	on one of the string of the st	ow [4]		Design Load			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 3659 Average daily tr	uck traffi 1 % Year 1998 Future average daily traffic	3900 Year 2021							
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 3	Approach roadway width 14.6 m = 47.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 1 - way traffic [1]	Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearar	nce over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 1302000 Roadway impr	provement cost 130000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 62.2 m = 204.1 ft	otal project cost 1953000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Bord	Forder bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	eisal ratings - Equal to present desirable criteria [8] way alignment					
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry						
Scour		Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to pres	ent desirable criteria [9]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	39.8				
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns (	Inpected feature meets currently acce	feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acce	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends  Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]								
Inspection date May 2008 [0508] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	Underwater inspection date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date						