

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportation departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.
 Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information

Illinois [17]	Coles County [029]	Unknown [00000]	3 MI NW ASHMORE	39-33-18.83 = 3	088-05-23.29 = -8
15316500000000	Highway agency district: 7	Owner: Town or Township Highway Agency [03]	Maintenance responsibility: Town or Township Highway Agency [03]		
Route 0	TR 275	Toll: On free road [3]	Features intersected: EMBARRAS RIVER		
Design - main: Steel [3]	Design - approach: Steel [3]	Kilometerpoint: 228.5 km = 141.7 mi	Year built: 1914	Year reconstructed:	
1	Truss - Thru [10]	2	Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Skew angle: 0	Structure Flared:
		Historical significance: Bridge is on the NRHP. [1]			
Total length: 57.8 m = 189.6 ft	Length of maximum span: 42.6 m = 139.8 ft	Deck width, out-to-out: 4.8 m = 15.7 ft	Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb: 4.7 m = 15.4 ft		
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance: 4.7 m = 15.4 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left: 0 m = 0.0 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right: 0 m = 0.0 ft			
Deck structure type: Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]					
Type of wearing surface:					
Deck protection:					
Type of membrane/wearing surface:					

Weight Limits

Bypass, detour length: 1 km = 0.6 mi	Method to determine inventory rating: Allowable Stress (AS) rating reported b	Inventory rating: 9.1 metric ton = 10.0 tons
	Method to determine operating rating: Allowable Stress (AS) rating reported b	Operating rating: 15.2 metric ton = 16.7 tons
Bridge posting:	Design Load:	

Functional Details

Average Daily Traffic	50	Average daily truck traffi	8	%	Year	2009	Future average daily traffic	51	Year	2032
Road classification	Local (Rural) [09]		Lanes on structure	1		Approach roadway width	6.1 m = 20.0 ft			
Type of service on bridge	Highway [1]		Direction of traffic	One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]		Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation	No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge	Waterway [5]		Lanes under structure	0		Navigation control				
Navigation vertical clearanc	0 = N/A		Navigation horizontal clearance	0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge	0 m = 0.0 ft				Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway	99.99 m = 328.1 ft				
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right	0 = N/A				Minimum lateral underclearance on left	0 = N/A				
Minimum Vertical Underclearance	0 = N/A		Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances	N/A [N]									

Repair and Replacement Plans

Type of work to be performed	Work done by	Work to be done by contract [1]								
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Bridge improvement cost	1041000	Roadway improvement cost	119000						
	Length of structure improvement	57.9 m = 190.0 ft		Total project cost	1160000					
	Year of improvement cost estimate									
	Border bridge - state				Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency

Structure status

Posted for load [P]

Appraisal ratings -
structural

Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]

Condition ratings - superstructure

Poor [4]

Appraisal ratings -
roadway alignment

Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrective action [3]

Condition ratings - substructure

Fair [5]

Appraisal ratings -
deck geometry

Better than present minimum criteria [7]

Condition ratings - deck

Good [7]

Scour

Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]

Channel and channel protection

Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]

Appraisal ratings - water adequacy

Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrective action [3]

Status evaluation

Structurally deficient [1]

Pier or abutment protection

Sufficiency rating

17.3

Culverts

Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]

Traffic safety features - railings

Traffic safety features - transitions

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail

Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends

Inspection date

January 1999 [199]

Designated inspection frequency

24

Months

Underwater inspection

Not needed [N]

Underwater inspection date

Fracture critical inspection

Every year [Y12]

Fracture critical inspection date

January 1999 [199]

Other special inspection

Not needed [N]

Other special inspection date