HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					39-11-30) = 090-41-54 = -		
Illinois [17]	Calhoun County [013]		Unknown [00013]	2.7 MI S OF HAMBURG	39.1916			
7300301727 Highway agency district: 8		Owner County Highway	wner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		nway Agency [02]			
Route 2	FAS 755		Toll On free road [3] Features intersected INDIAN CR		rsected INDIAN CR			
Design - Main Steel [3] Truss - Thrush	u [10]	Design - approach O Other	[00]	Skew angle 0 Structur	r reconstructed N/A [0000] re Flared orical significance is not determinal	ole at this time. [4]		
Total length 10.4 m = 34.1 ft Length of maximum span 10.1 m = 33.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6 m = 19.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6 m = 19.7 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6 m = 19.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft								
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Pla						
Type of wearing surface Deck protection	ce	Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with str	uctural deck) [1]				
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 0.5 km = 0.3 mi	Wethou to determine inventory rating			Inventory rating Operating ratin				
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above lo	egal loads [5]	Design Load				

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 200 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 1988 Future average daily traffic 260 Year 2012						
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 8.8 m = 28.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Described Described and Discribed							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 59000 Roadway improvement cost 6000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 15.5 m = 50.9 ft Total project cost 71000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum toler					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Scour calculation	Scour calculation/evaluation has not been made. [6]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Somewhat bette in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficienc	y rating 48.1				
Culverts Not applicable. Used in	f structure is not a culve	rt. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	S	Inpected feature meets currently acc	ected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail	Inpected feature meets currently acc	ed feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends	Inpected feature meets currently acc	ed feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date January 1991 [0191] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	Underwater inspection date					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical i	nspection date Dece	mber 1991 [1291]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special ins						