The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information									
Illinois [17]	s [17] Cook County [031]		Maywood [47774]	774] 1.5 M W IL 43			41-53-18 = 41	.8 087-49-58 = -87.8	
000016059704269 Highway agency district 1		ency district 1	Owner State Highway A	wner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsibility		State Highway A	gency [01]		
Route 3537 US 20 (LAKE ST)			Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3] Features intersected DES PLAIN			ES RIVER		
Design - main		approach	[00]	Kilometerpoint 765 Year built 1931 Skew angle 0	5.9 km = 474.9 Year re	constructed N/A	[0000]		
				Historical significance	Bridge i	s not eligible for the	ne NRHP. [5]		
Total length 68.6 m = 225.1 ft Length of maximum span 16.8 m = 55.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 19.1 m = 62.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 14.6 m = 47.9 ft									
Inventory Route, Total I	Horizontal Clearan	14.6 m = 47.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	idth - left 1.5 m = 4.9	9 ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	1.5 m = 4.9 ft	
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]						
Type of wearing surface Mono		Monolithic Concrete (onolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]						
Deck protection Epox		Epoxy Coated Reinfo	poxy Coated Reinforcing [1]						
Type of membrane/wea	ring surface								
Weight Limits									
		ermine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS)) [2] Inv	entory rating	24.3 metric ton :	= 26.7 tons		
0.1 km = 0.1 mi	Method to dete	rmine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS)) [2] Op	erating rating	32.4 metric ton :	= 35.6 tons		
	Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			Des	Design Load M 18 / H 20 [4]				

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 14600 Average daily tr	ruck traffi 5 % Year 2006 Future average daily traffic 17820 Year 2021						
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 14.6 m = 47.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	re exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 1514000 Roadway improvement cost 151000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 67.7 m = 222.1 ft Total project cost 2271000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present	ual to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present	t minimum crite	ria [6]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolera	igh priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of Banks and/or channel have		rol devices and emb	bankment prote	ction have a little minor damage.			
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection			Suffic	ciency rating	72.5			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	Traffic safety features - railings Inpected feature m			e meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - transition	Traffic safety features - transitions Inpected features			ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends								
Inspection date March 2010 [0310] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
·	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe			06]			
•	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date					