The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								
Illinois [17]	Cook Count	ty [031]	Chicago [14000]	3.2 M N US 12,20	41-45-58 = 41.7 087-42-10 = -87.7			
000016076004380 Highway		vay agency district 1	Owner State Highway	Agency [01] Maintenance	e responsibility State Highway Agency [01]			
Route 2831 KEDZIE AVE		Toll On fre	ree road [3] Features interse	cted S LAG MARQUETTE PARK				
Design - Concret main Tee bea		Design - approach 0 Oth	ner [00]	Skew angle 0 Structure F	econstructed N/A [0000]			
Total length 16.5 m = 54.1 ft Length of maximum span 13.4 m = 44.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 24.7 m = 81.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 20.1 m = 65.9 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 21.6 m = 70.9 ft			ft Curb or sidewalk w	vidth - left 1.5 m = 4.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right 1.5 m = 4.9 ft			
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-F	Place [1]					
Type of wearing surface Bitun		Bituminous [6]	ituminous [6]					
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface Built-up [1]								
Weight Limits								
		to determine inventory rati	ng Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inventory rating	20.7 metric ton = 22.8 tons			
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method t	to determine operating rati	ng Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operating rating	34.2 metric ton = 37.6 tons			
	Bridge po	oosting 00.1 - 09.9 % b	elow [4]	Design Load				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 24600 Average daily tr	uck traffi 18 % Year 2006 Future average da	ily traffic 33615 Year 2021						
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 4	Approach roadway width 14 m = 45.9 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median Open median [1]						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation	control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0	= N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge Minimum ver	tical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$ Minimum lateral underclearance on left $0 = N/A$								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Dancin and Danlacement Dlanc								
Repair and Replacement Plans	Made days by							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Ro	adway improvement cost 0						
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft	Total project cost 0						
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - superstructur		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum crite	eria [6]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Better than present minimum criteria [7]				
Condition ratings - deck	ondition ratings - deck Serious [3]						
Scour Channel and channel protection	Banks are protected	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8] Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequac		• •		Chrystyrolly deficient [1]			
	Equal to present at	zanabie cintena [o]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	22			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert.	[N]					
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transition	ln _k	pected feature meets currently acce	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inp	pected feature meets currently acce	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inp	pected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]				
Inspection date November 2	009 [1109] Design	ated inspection frequency 12	Months				
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ction date				
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in	Fracture critical inspection date				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	Other special inspection date				