HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information									
Illinois [17] Peoria County [143]			Medina [48099]	NW1/4 22 MEDINA TV	NA TWP		40-50-32 = 40.8 089-34-30 = -89.5		
72302816522 Highway agency district 4		Owner County Highway	ner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway Agency [02]				
oute #Num! BOY SCOUT CAMP ROA			Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersed	cted DICKISON	RUN		
Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach 1 Truss - Thru [10] 0 Oth		approach	Kilometerpoint 859.2 km = 532.7 mi Year built 1952 Year reconstructed # Skew angle 35 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for		constructed #Nu lared				
Total length 26.2 m = 86.0 ft Length of maximum span 24.7 m = 81.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.7 m = 22.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.3 m = 20.7 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.3 m = 20.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft									
		Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]							
Type of wearing surface		tuminous [6]							
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory ra			Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inve	entory rating	12.6 metric ton	= 13.9 tons		
0 km = 0.0 mi	Method to determ	ine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ope	erating rating	21.6 metric ton	= 23.8 tons		
Bridge posting 10.0 - 19.9 % below [3]				Des	Design Load M 13.5 / H 15 [2]				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 50 Average daily tru	ıck traffi % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic 53 Year 2032							
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 10.4 m = 34.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 166000 Roadway improvement cost 17000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 34.1 m = 111.9 ft Total project cost 249000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations de	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is bei channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desi	Equal to present desirable criteria [8] Status evaluation							
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 47.3						
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings	Inped	cted feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition	Inped	cted feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inped	cted feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inped	npected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Inspection date October 2011 [1011] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date January 2012 [0112]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						