HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2012 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								
Illinois [17] Bureau County [011]		Hall [32265]	0.91 MI S OF US 6	6		41-18-51 = 41.3	8 089-11-59 = -89.1	
78000617371 Highway agency distri		/ district 3	Owner State Highway A	Agency [01]	Maintenance re	sponsibility	State Highway Age	ency [01]
Route 89 ILL 89FAP 698			Toll On fre	e road [3] Fe	eatures intersected	d ILLINOIS RIV	ER	
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Truss - Thr	u [10]	Design - approachSteel of Other18Other	continuous [4] [00]	Kilometerpoint627Year built1934Skew angle0Historical significance	6.7 km = 3891.6 r Year recor Structure Flar Bridge is n	nstructed 1989	e NRHP. [5]	
Total length 541.2 m = 1775.7 ft Length of maximum span 111 m = 364.2 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.1 m = 23.3 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.9 m = 22.6 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.9 m = 22.6 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1] 0 m = 0.0 ft 0 m = 0.0 ft 0 m = 0.0 ft								
Type of wearing surfa			concurrently placed with stru	uctural deck) [1]				
Deck protection	Ep	oxy Coated Reinfor	rcing [1]					
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour lengt 1.8 km = 1.1 mi	 Method to determine Method to determine 	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Load Factor(LF) [1] Load Factor(LF) [1]		, ,	5.2 metric ton = 2 1.4 metric ton = 4		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Des	ign Load MS 18	3 / HS 20 [5]		

Functional Details					
Average Daily Traffic 5650 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2011	Future average daily traffic	6175 Year 203	2	
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2		Approach roadway wid	h 12.2 m = 40.0 ft	
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - wa	ıy traffic [2]	Bridge median		
Parallel structure designation No parallel structur	e exists. [N]				
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0	Navigation control	Navigation control on water	way (bridge permit required). [1]	
Navigation vertical clearanc 13.4 m = 44.0 ft	Navigation horiz	zontal clearance 107.8 m = 3	53.7 ft]	
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge	Minimum vertical cleara	ance over bridge roadway	4.62 m = 15.2 ft	
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$		Minimum lateral underclea	arance on left 0 = N/A		
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical	underclearance reference fea	ture Feature not a highway	or railroad [N]	
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]					
Repair and Replacement Plans					
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by co	ontract [1]			
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 637100	0 Roadway imp	provement cost 637000)	
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement	541.3 m = 1776.0 ft	otal project cost 955700	0	
	Year of improvement cost estimate				
	Border bridge - state	Bor	rder bridge - percent respons	sibility of other state	
	Border bridge - structure number				

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable I	s minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable	qual to present desirable criteria [8]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]					
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present desirable cri	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]		on Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection not requ	uired [1]	Sufficiency ratir	ng 35.3			
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Traffic safety features - transition	Inpected feat	ture meets currently acce	re meets currently acceptable standards. [1]				
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Inpected feat	ure meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends							
Inspection date July 2011 [0711] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months							
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspec	tion date July 2011	0711]			
Fracture critical inspection Every year [Y12]		Fracture critical ins	spection date July 2011	0711]			
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date				