## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								
Illinois [17]	Coles County [02	29]	Unknown [00000]	W OF HUMBLDT		39-35-58.85 = 3 088-19-27.77 = -8		
15002200000000	Highway a	gency district: 7	Owner State Highway	Agency [01]	Maintenance responsibility	State Highway Agency [01]		
Route 45	U	S 45(FAP 824)	Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	eatures intersected FLAT BRA	NCH		
Design - Concrete continuous [2] Design - approach			Kilometerpoint 337 Year built 1954	51.8 km = 20926.1 mi  Year reconstructed				
Tee beam [04]		0 Other	[00]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared			
				Historical significance	Bridge is not eligible for	the NRHP. [5]		
Total length 36.6 m = 120.1 ft Length of maximum span 13.9 m = 45.6 ft Deck width, out-to-out 10.9 m = 35.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 9.1 m = 29.9 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 10.4 m = 34.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.6 m = 2.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right								
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Plac	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/w	earing surface	Built-up [1]						
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor (LF) rat	ing reported by rati Inve	entory rating 30.1 metric ton	= 33.1 tons		
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	Method to de	termine operating rating	Load Factor (LF) rat	ing reported by rati Ope	erating rating 50.2 metric ton	= 55.2 tons		
	Bridge postin	g Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]	Des	ign Load MS 18 / HS 20 [5]			

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 2700 Average daily tr	uck traffi 8 % Year 2017 Future average daily traff	fic 3430 Year 2032								
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation contro									
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral unde	erclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 515000 Roadway	y improvement cost 86000								
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 36.6 m = 120.1 ft	Total project cost 601000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate									
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no re	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to prese					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	ed to be stable for the asse	essed or calculate	ed scour conditior	า. [8]			
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage.  Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequae	Equal to present desirable co	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]		tus evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection				ficiency rating	76.6			
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings  Traffic safety features - transition	Innected fee	ature meets currently accep	ntahla standards	[1]				
Traffic safety features - transitions Inpected feat  Traffic safety features - approach guardrail		dure meets currently dece	piable statidatus.	ניו				
Traffic safety features - approac								
Inspection date January 2018 [0118] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection of						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date						