The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					
Illinois [17]	Cook County	[031]	Chicago [14000]	384 W WASHINGTON	41-52-59 = 41.8 087-38-18 = -87.6
000016605326841	Highway	y agency district 1	Owner City or Municipa	Highway Agency [04] Maintena	nce responsibility City or Municipal Highway Agency [04]
Route 1414		WASHINGTON ST	Toll On fre	e road [3] Features inter	rsected S BR CHICAGO RIV
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Movable - E	Pasculo [16]	Design - approach String	[3] er/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Kilometerpoint218.8 km = 135Year built1913Year	7.7 mi reconstructed N/A [0000]
INIOVADIE - E	oascule [10]	3umg	er/Multi-beam of girder [02]	<u> </u>	e Flared ge is eligible for the NRHP. [2]
Total length 92.5 m =	= 303.5 ft	Length of maximum spa	an 60 m = 196.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-out 17.5 m =	57.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 11 m = 36.1 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Cle	earance 10.9 m = 35.8 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left 2.2 m = 7.2 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - right 2.2 m = 7.2 ft
Deck structure type		Open Grating [3]			
Type of wearing surface	ce	Other [9]			
Deck protection					
Type of membrane/we	earing surface				
Weight Limits					
Bypass, detour length	Method to	determine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS)	[2] Inventory rating	32.4 metric ton = 35.6 tons
0 km = 0.0 mi	Method to	determine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS)	[2] Operating rating	44.1 metric ton = 48.5 tons
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal lo			egal loads [5]	Design Load	MS 18 / HS 20 [5]

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 13700 Average daily tr	uck traffi 35 % Year 2006 Future average daily	y traffic 2516 Year 2030				
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 3	Approach roadway width 12.8 m = 42.0 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]					
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation co	ontrol Navigation control on waterway (bridge permit required). [1]				
Navigation vertical clearanc 6.4 m = 21.0 ft	Navigation horizontal clearance 47.2	2 m = 154.9 ft				
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge Minimum vertic	cal clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft				
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fo	eature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance refer	rence feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]						
Denois and Denlessment Dlane						
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]					
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 833000 Road	dway improvement cost 83000				
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 88.1 m = 289.1 ft	Total project cost 1250000				
	Year of improvement cost estimate					
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations dete	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present des	Superior to present desirable criteria [9]		Functionally obsolete [2]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	75				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	Inpecte	ed feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - transition	Not ap	plicable or a safety feature is n	or a safety feature is not required. [N]					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail Not ap	olicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Not applicable or a safety feature is not required. [N]								
Inspection date October 2007 [1007] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y60]	Underwater inspe	ction date November 2	007 [1107]				
· ·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date					