HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							39-47-19.57 =	086-11-52.05
Indiana [18]	Marion County [097]		Indianapolis [36000]	.2 MI E OF LAFAYET	TE ROAD		39.788769	= -86.197792
4900207	Highway agend	cy district: 3	Owner City or Municipa	ıl Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance resp	oonsibility	City or Municipal Hi	ghway Agency [04]
Route 0	16TH	STREET	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersected	WHITE RIVE	R	
Design - Concrete [* main 5 Arch - Deci		Design - approach Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 0 k Year built 1948 Skew angle 20 Historical significance	Structure Flared	tructed 2011	RHP [3]	
J			an 38.4 m = 126.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-o	ut 21.6 m = 70.9 ft	Bridge roadw	vay width, curb-to-cu	
Inventory Route, Tota Deck structure type	I Horizontal Clearance	16.5 m = 54.1 ft ot applicable [N]	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left $1.6 \text{ m} = 5.$	2 ft	Curb or sidew	valk width - right	1.6 m = 5.2 ft
Type of wearing surfa Deck protection	ce M	.,	concurrently placed with str	uctural deck) [1]				
Type of membrane/we	earing surface							
Weight Limits Bypass, detour lengtl 0.5 km = 0.3 mi	Method to determ	ine inventory rating ine operating rating			, ,	5 metric ton = 7 metric ton =		
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]	De	sign Load M 18 / F	1 20 [4]		

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 29785 Average daily to	ruck traffi 5 % Year 2014 Future average daily traffic 36344 Year 2034
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 4 Approach roadway width 20.4 m = 66.9 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median Closed median (no barriers) [2]
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 2100000 Roadway improvement cost 450000
deterioration of madequate strength, [55]	Length of structure improvement 178.9 m = 587.0 ft Total project cost 3188000
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no res	itriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - superstructure	ondition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Equal to prese				
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	roadway alignment Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimur	s is [4]			
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	d to be stable for the asse	ssed or calculate	ed scour condition	n. [8]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. Finding stream bed movement	River control devices and e evident. Debris is restricti	embankment prot ng the channel sl	tection have wide lightly. [6]	espread minor dama	ge. There is	
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present desirable cri	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]			latus evaluation		
Pier or abutment protection				ficiency rating	iency rating 49.9		
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transition Traffic safety features - approach							
Traffic safety features - approach							
Inspection date August 2018		ection frequency 24	Month	ns.			
Underwater inspection Unknown [Y48]		Underwater inspec		July 2015 [0715]			
•	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins					