The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Informa	ation													39-49-50		086-41-12 = -
Indiana [18]	ndiana [18] Putnam County [133]				Un	Unknown [00000] 1.2 km N of CR 1100l				100N	I			39.83055		86.686667
6700032 Highway ag			y agency	district 1	O	wner (County Highway Agency [02]			М	aintenance	e respons	sibility	County High	way A	gency [02]
Route 91 ROAD 900 E				900 EAST	Toll On free road [3]				Features intersected BIG WALNUT Cr							
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Truss - Thru [10]				Design - approach	approach			Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi Year built 1915								
Historical significance Bridge is possibly eligible for the NRHP. [3] Total length 44.1 m = 144.7 ft Length of maximum span 43.2 m = 141.7 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.8 m = 15.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.7 m = 15.4 ft Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.8 m = 15.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left O m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right																
31			tuminous [6]													
Deck protection Type of memb		ing surface														
Weight Limits	S															
J.	0.3 km = 0.2 mi Method to dete			rmine inventory rating			No rating analysis performed [5] No rating analysis performed [5]			Inventor Operation	ry rating ng rating		etric ton = ric ton = 2	: 17.8 tons 29.7 tons		
		Bridge pos	sting 0	0.1 - 09.9	% below [4]	·J				Design	Load					

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 150 Average daily tr	uck traffi 3 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 275 Year 2027								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.7 m = 18.7 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.26 m = 14.0 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature $\creat{\sf Fe}$	eature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 500000 Roadway improvement cost 500000								
actionation of indacquate strongth. [60]	Length of structure improvement 44.1 m = 144.7 ft Total project cost 1000000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2007								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Posted for lo	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically in	ntolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Somewhat is [5]	n adequacy to tolerate being left in place as						
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]							
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]								
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erodechannel. [5]	ed. River control devices	and/or emban	ıkment have major d	lamage. Trees and rush restrict the					
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Superior to present desirable	criteria [9]		Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection					17.4					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transition	ns									
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail ends									
Inspection date March 2007 [0307] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months										
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspection date								
	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	•	March 2007 [03	07]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	eded [N] Other special inspection date								