HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

2009 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Inf	ormation											38-52-35 =	086-06-45 = -
Indiana [18]		Jackson County [071]			Unknown [00000]		1.6 km E of 400W			38.876389	86.112500		
3600125		Highway agency district 5				Owner County Highway Agency [02]			1	Maintenance	enance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]		
Route 162 BASE			BASE	ROAD			Toll On fre	e road [3]	Feat	ures intersed	Cted WAYMAN [DITCH	
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Truss - Thru [10]			Design - approach 0	Other [00]		Kilometerpoint Year built #Nu Skew angle 0						
						Historical signific	ance	Historic	istorical significance is not determinable at this time. [4]				
Total leng	jth 11.2 m =	= 36.7 ft	Lenç	gth of maxir	num spai	n 10.3 m	= 33.8 ft	Deck width, ou	t-to-out	4.9 m = 16.1	ft Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-	curb 4.7 m = 15.4 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.7 m = 15.4 ft			Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewa				ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft					
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]													
Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [7]		er [7]											
Deck protection													
Type of membrane/wearing surface													
Weight L	imits												
		determi	mine inventory rating			No rating analysis performed [5]			Inventory rating 4.5 metric ton = 5.0 tons				
0.2 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine operating rat			g rating	No rating analysis performed [5]			Opera	ting rating	g rating 7.2 metric ton = 7.9 tons				
Bridge posting 20.0 - 29.9 % below			% below	ı [2]			Design	n Load					

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic30Average daily truck traffi5%Year2007Future average daily traffic40Year2027										
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.4 m = 17.7 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 32.68 m = 107.2 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0									
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement13.7 m = 44.9 ftTotal project cost0									
	Year of improvement cost estimate									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment								
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Better than present minimum criteria [7]							
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]								
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being eroo channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	by Basically intolerable requirin	g high priority of replacem	ent [2] Sta	itus evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Suf	fficiency rating	21					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transition	IS									
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail									
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends									
Inspection date April 2007 [0	407] Designated insp	pection frequency 24	Month	าร						
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date							
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date	April 2007 [040]	7]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	ection date							