HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					38-59-49 =	085-10-56 = -		
Indiana [18]	Ripley County [13	37]	Unknown [00000]	00.37 S OF CR 350E	38.996944	85.182222		
6900013 Highway agency district 5		Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		e responsibility County Highway	Agency [02]			
Route 51	oute 51 CAVEHILL RD		Toll On free road [3] Features intersected LAUGHERY		cted LAUGHERY CREEK			
Design - main Steel [3] Design - approach Truss - Thru [10] 0 Other		[00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi Year built 1920 Year re Skew angle 0 Structure F	econstructed #Num!				
				Historical significance Bridge	is eligible for the NRHP. [2]			
Total length 53.2 m = 174.5 ft Length of maximum span 51.4 m = 168.6 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.9 m = 16.1 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.9 m = 16.1 ft		Curb or sidewalk v	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or side		0 m = 0.0 ft			
Deck structure type Corrugated Steel [6]								
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection Not applicable (applie		ies only to structures with no deck) [N]						
Type of membrane/wearing surface Not applicable (applie		es only to structures with no	deck) [N]					
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]	Inventory rating	25.2 metric ton = 27.7 tons			
1.1 km = 0.7 mi Method to determine operating ra		ermine operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Operating rating	42.3 metric ton = 46.5 tons			
Bridge posting 00.1 - 09.9 % below [4]				Design Load				

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 145 Average daily tru	ıck traffi 4 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 185 Year 2030							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4 m = 13.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.52 m = 14.8 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	ature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0							
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost 0							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure Satisfactory [6]		Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	dition ratings - deck Fair [5]							
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better than miniming in place as is [5]	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 59.1					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends								
Inspection date November 2010 [1110] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec	ection date					
·	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	nspection date November 2010 [1110]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	pection date					