The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information								39-11-24 =	085-26-07 = -
Indiana [18]	Ripley County [137]			Unknown [00000] 00.2		0.24 E of CR 1000W			85.435278
6900109 Highway agency district 5			Owner	wner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility			County Highway A	gency [02]	
Route 56	BRO	WNSTOWN ROAD		Toll On free road [3] Features intersected VERNO			ected VERNON F	K MUSCATATUCK F	₹.
Design - main  Concrete [1] Design - approach  Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [02] 0 Other			r [00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi  Year built 1926 Year reconstructed #Nur  [00] Skew angle 0 Structure Flared  Historical significance Bridge is eligible for the N					
Total length 30.2 m = 99.1 ft Length of maximum span 9.8 m = 32.2 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.8 m = 19.0 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.9 m = 16.1 ft								eurb 4.9 m = 16.1 ft	
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.9 m = 16.1 ft			Cu	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sid			ewalk width - right	0  m = 0.0  ft	
Deck structure type	C	Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ice [1]						
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]									
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/weari	ng surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length  0.3 km = 0.2 mi  Method to determine inventory rating  Method to determine operating rating			No rating analysis performed [5]  No rating analysis performed [5]		Inventory rating  Operating rating	0  metric ton = 0 $0  metric ton = 0$			
Bridge posting			1401	amy analysis po	Torriou [0]	Design Load	o mound ton = 0		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 0 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 100 Year 2030							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.9 m = 16.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Danain and Dankasansant Dlana								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 550000 Roadway improvement cost 350000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 38.1 m = 125.0 ft Total project cost 900000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2010							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficien	ency						
Structure status Brid	idge closed to a	all traffic [K]	Appraisal ratings - structural				
Condition ratings - super	on ratings - superstructur		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - substructure			Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]			
Condition ratings - deck		ninent Failure [1]	deck geometry				
Scour		Bridge is scour critical; bridge	foundations determined t	to be unstab	le. [3]		
Channel and channel pro	rotection	Bank and embankment protected debris are in the channel. [4]	ction is severely undermin	ned. River c	ontrol devices have se	evere damage. Large deposits of	
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Equal to present minimum cr		Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]		
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating	24.5		
Culverts Not applicabl	ole. Used if stru	ucture is not a culvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features -	- railings						
Traffic safety features -	- transitions						
Traffic safety features -	- approach gua	rdrail					
Traffic safety features -	- approach gua	rdrail ends					
Inspection date Nov	vember 2010 [*	Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	1	Months	, 	
Underwater inspection	needed [N]	Underwater inspection date					
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]			Fracture critical inspection date				
Other special inspection	on Not n	needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date			