The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

| Basic Information | | | | | 38-40-54 = | 086-44-18 = - |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|----------------|
| Indiana [18] | Martin County [10 | 01] | Unknown [00000] | 170N - 350E F-7 | 38.681667 | 86.738333 |
| 5100061 Highway agency district: 6 | | Owner County Highwa | Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility | | County Highway Agency [02] | |
| Route 243 | 17 | 'ON | Toll On fro | ee road [3] Features inters | ected BEAVER CREEK | |
| | | Design - approach 0 Other | [00] | | reconstructed 1992 | |
| T | (0.0.5 | | 47.4 57.4 0 | | e is possibly eligible for the NRHP. [3] | 104 1100 |
| Total length 18.9 m Inventory Route, Tota | | Length of maximum sp | an 17.4 m = 57.1 π Curb or sidewalk w | Deck width, out-to-out $4.8 \text{ m} = 15$ width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$ | .7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to- | 0 m = 0.0 ft |
| Deck structure type Type of wearing surfa | | Open Grating [3] | out of statement in | om son | Galb or sucordin main ingin | e III - 6.6 K |
| Deck protection | | | | | | |
| Type of membrane/we | earing surface | | | | | |
| Weight Limits | | | | | | |
| Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating | | | | Inventory rating | 19.8 metric ton = 21.8 tons | |
| 0.6 km = 0.4 mi Method to determine operating rat | | ermine operating rating | | Operating rating | 30.6 metric ton = 33.7 tons | |
| | Bridge posting | Equal to or above I | egal loads [5] | Design Load | | |

| Functional Details | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Average Daily Traffic 53 Average daily tr | ruck traffi 5 % Year 1996 Future average daily traffic 60 Year 2018 | | | | | | | |
| Road classification Local (Rural) [09] | Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.9 m = 16.1 ft | | | | | | | |
| Type of service on bridge Highway [1] | Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median | | | | | | | |
| Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N] | | | | | | | | |
| Type of service under bridge Waterway [5] | Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control | | | | | | | |
| Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlimited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A | | | | | | | | |
| Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N] | | | | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N] | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Repair and Replacement Plans | | | | | | | | |
| Type of work to be performed | Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2] | | | | | | | |
| Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38] | Bridge improvement cost 11000 Roadway improvement cost 0 | | | | | | | |
| Topiacomonia, [cc] | Length of structure improvement 18.9 m = 62.0 ft Total project cost 11000 | | | | | | | |
| | Year of improvement cost estimate 1998 | | | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state | | | | | | | |
| | Border bridge - structure number | | | | | | | |

| Inspection and Sufficiency | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Structure status Posted for lo | ad [P] | Appraisal ratings - structural | Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5] | | | | | |
| Condition ratings - superstructure Good [7] | | Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment | Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4] | | | | | |
| Condition ratings - substructure | Good [7] | Appraisal ratings - | Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3] | | | | | |
| Condition ratings - deck | Good [7] | deck geometry | | | | | | |
| Scour | Bridge foundations determine | Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5] | | | | | | |
| Channel and channel protection | Bank protection is being erod channel. [5] | Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5] | | | | | | |
| Appraisal ratings - water adequac | Basically intolerable requiring | high priority of corrrectiv | e action [3] Status evaluation | | | | | |
| Pier or abutment protection | | | Sufficiency rating 51.4 | | | | | |
| Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N] | | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - railings | | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - transition | OS | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach | n guardrail | | | | | | | |
| Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends | | | | | | | | |
| Inspection date January 1998 [0198] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months | | | | | | | | |
| Underwater inspection | Not needed [N] | Underwater inspec | ction date | | | | | |
| Fracture critical inspection | Every two years [Y24] | Fracture critical ins | spection date | | | | | |
| Other special inspection | Not needed [N] | Other special insp | ection date | | | | | |