## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation										39-23-02.80 =	087-01-14.30
Indiana [18]		Clay County [021]			Unknov	Unknown [00000] 04.84 E SR 59					39.384111	= -87.020639
17050			Highway agency district: 1		Owner	Owner State Highway Agency [01]			Maintenance responsibility State Highway Agency [01]		ency [01]	
Route 46		SR 4	16		Toll On free road [3]		Fe	Features intersected EEL RIVER				
Design - main  Steel [3]  Truss - Thru [10]		Design - approach	approach		Kilometerpoint 1890.6 km = 1172.2 mi  Year built 1934 Year reconstructed 1977  Skew angle 0 Structure Flared							
							Historical signit	icance	Bridge is	on the NRHP.	1]	
Total leng	th 122.6 m	= 402	2.3 ft Le	ength of maximu	ım span 60.4 r	n = 198.2 ft	Deck width, o	ut-to-out	7.6 m = 24.9	ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-co	urb 7.3 m = 24.0 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.3 m = 24.0 ft			0 ft (	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.2 m = 0			ft	Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft		
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]												
Type of wearing surface Latex Concrete or si			or similar addit	similar additive [3]								
Deck protection Epoxy Coat			Epoxy Coated I	ed Reinforcing [1]								
Type of membrane/wearing surface												
Weight Li	imits											
Bypass, detour length  1.3 km = 0.8 mi  Method to dete			ermine inventory rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]		Inve	nventory rating 21.8 metric tor		= 24.0 tons		
			mine operating	rating Lo	Load Factor(LF) [1]		Ope	Operating rating 36.3 metric ton		= 39.9 tons		
Bridge posting 00.1 - 09.9 % belo				6 below [4]	[4]			Design Load M 18 / H 20 [4]				

Functional Details	
Average Daily Traffic 2390 Average daily to	ruck traffi 3 % Year 2010 Future average daily traffic 3310 Year 2032
Road classification Minor Arterial (Rural) [06]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.9 m = 25.9 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  4.47 m = 14.7 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]	
Repair and Replacement Plans	
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 2404000 Roadway improvement cost 0
deterioration of madequate strength, [55]	Length of structure improvement 132.3 m = 434.1 ft Total project cost 2404000
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2013
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is b channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Equal to present de	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]  Status evaluation  Structurally deficient [1]							
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 12.1						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert.	[N]							
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition		ected feature meets currently acce							
Traffic safety features - approach	•	ected feature meets currently acce							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends Inp	pected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]							
Inspection date May 2015 [0	515] Designation	ated inspection frequency 12	Months						
•	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec							
·	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date						