## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						40-35-00 =	086-41-00 = -	
Indiana [18]	diana [18] Carroll County [015]		Delphi [17614]	00.07 W of US421		40.583333	86.683333	
800111	Highway agency	y district: 4	Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance responsibility	County Highway A	Agency [02]	
Route 0	BICYC	LE BRIDGE RD	Toll On fre	Toll On free road [3]		E CANAL		
Design - Masonry [8 main		Design - approach		'	m = 0.0 mi	N		
1 Arch - Deck [11]		0 Othe	r [00]	Year built 1901 Skew angle 0	Year reconstructed # Structure Flared	Num!		
				Historical significance	Bridge is eligible for th	e NRHP. [2]		
Total length 10.8 m = 35.4 ft Length of maximum span 7.3 m = 24.0 ft Deck width, out-to-out 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 7.9 m = 25.9 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 7.9 m = 25.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft								
Deck structure type Not applicable [N]								
Type of wearing surface Bitumin		Situminous [6]						
Deck protection Not a		Not applicable (applies only to structures with no deck) [N]						
Type of membrane/wearing surface Not applicable		ot applicable (appli	(applies only to structures with no deck) [N]					
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length  Method to determine inventory ratin			, , , , ,		, ,	on = 36.0 tons		
5	Method to determing  Bridge posting	ne operating ratinq Equal to or above		'	erating rating 44.4 metric to 44.5 sign Load	on = 48.8 tons		

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 134 Average daily to	ruck traffi 10 % Year 2011 Future average d	aily traffic 163 Year 2031				
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 6.4 m = 21.0 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]					
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation	n control				
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance (	O = N/A				
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	dge Minimum ve	ertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft				
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance re	eference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]						
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by					
	Bridge improvement cost 0	toadway improvement cost 0				
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft	Total project cost 0				
	Year of improvement cost estimate					
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency								
tructure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Better than present minimum criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Good [7]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]		is [5]					
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	d to be stable for assesse	sed or calculated scour condition. [5]					
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. I minor stream bed movement	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Somewhat better than miniming in place as is [5]	um adequacy to tolerate b	being left Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 95					
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition								
Traffic safety features - approach								
Traffic safety features - approach		ection frequency 24	4 Months					
Inspection date June 2011 [0] Underwater inspection	Designated inspendent [N]	Underwater inspec						
·	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical ins						
·	Not needed [N]							
zz. oposiaoposian		o and operation						