HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							39-43-58.19 =	086-08-40.56
Indiana [18] Marion County [097]			Indianapolis [36000] 00.15 N OF CONSERVATORY D				39.732831	= -86.144600
4900316	Highway ager	ncy district: 3	Owner City or Municipa	al Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance re	esponsibility	City or Municipal H	ghway Agency [04]
Route 0	GAR	RFIELD PARK RD	Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	atures intersecte	ed BEAN CREE	:K	
Design - Concrete [1		Design - approach	feel	Kilometerpoint 0 km Year built 1923	n = 0.0 mi Year recoi	nstructed N/A [0000]	
1 Arch - Deck	([11]	0 Oth	er [00]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flan			
				Historical significance	Bridge is 6	eligible for the N	RHP. [2]	
Total length 13.7 m =	= 44.9 ft Le	ength of maximum s	pan 12.2 m = 40.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-out	t 16.4 m = 53.8 f	Bridge road	way width, curb-to-cu	10.3 m = 33.8 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearanc	te 10.3 m = 33.8 f	Curb or sidewalk w	ridth - left 2.4 m = 7.9	ft	Curb or side	walk width - right	2.4 m = 7.9 ft
Deck structure type		Not applicable [N]						
Type of wearing surface	ce	Bituminous [6]						
Deck protection		Not applicable (app	lies only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
Type of membrane/we	earing surface	Not applicable (app	lies only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to determ	mine inventory ratin	g	Inve	entory rating 3	32.7 metric ton =	36.0 tons	
0.2 km = 0.1 mi	Method to determ	mine operating ratir	g	Ope	rating rating 4	0.8 metric ton =	44.9 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above	legal loads [5]	Desi	ign Load			

Functional Details		
Average Daily Traffic 1915 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2014 Future average daily	traffic 2336 Year 2034
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 9.4 m = 30.8 ft
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]	
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation co	ontrol
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N	N/A
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge Minimum vertic	al clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]	
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral u	inderclearance on left 0 = N/A
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance refere	ence feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]		
Repair and Replacement Plans		
Type of work to be performed	Work done by	
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Road	lway improvement cost 0
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft	Total project cost 0
	Year of improvement cost estimate	
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state
	Border bridge - structure number	

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no res	open, no restriction [A] ndition ratings - superstructure Good [7]		Better than present minimum criteria [7] Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - superstructure							
Condition ratings - substructure	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	d to be stable for assesse	ed or calculated	d scour condition. [5]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. Finding stream bed movement	River control devices and evident. Debris is restrict	embankment p ing the channe	orotection have wide el slightly. [6]	espread minor damage.	There is	
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present minimum cri	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]		Status evaluation	Functionally obsolete [2]		
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating	91.8		
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transition Traffic safety features - approach							
Traffic safety features - approach							
Inspection date August 2018		ection frequency 24	Mor	onths			
	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date				
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical inspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date					