## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-47-37.43 =	085-48-57.86	
Indiana [18]	Wabash County [1	69]	Wabash [79370]	00.20 N OF LAFONT	AINE		40.793731	= -85.816072	
8500640 Highway agency district: 2		Owner County Highwa	Owner County Highway Agency [02] Mainte		responsibility	County Highway Agency [02]			
Route 0 HUNTINGTON ST			Toll On free road [3] Features intersected WABASH			ted WABASH F	RIVER		
Design - main  Concrete [1] Design - approach  Arch - Deck [11] 0		approach	er [00]	Kilometerpoint 0 k Year built 1917 Skew angle 0					
				Historical significance		eligible for the I			
Total length 211.8 m	i = 694.9 ft	ength of maximum s	pan 30.5 m = 100.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-o	ut 8.9 m = 29.2 t	ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	arb 8 m = 26.2 ft	
Inventory Route, Tota	Horizontal Clearan	8  m = 26.2  ft	Curb or sidewalk	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0$	ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0  m = 0.0  ft	
Deck structure type		Concrete Cast-in-Pl	ace [1]						
Type of wearing surfa	ce	Monolithic Concrete	(concurrently placed with s	tructural deck) [1]					
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/we	earing surface								
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour lengtl	Method to deter	mine inventory rating	g	Inv	entory rating	32.7 metric ton	= 36.0 tons		
0.2 km = 0.1 mi	.2 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine operating rating			Op	perating rating	54.4 metric ton	= 59.8 tons		
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above	legal loads [5]	De	esign Load				

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 1990 Average daily t	ruck traffi 5 % Year 2014 Future average daily traffic 2950 Year 2034								
Road classification Minor Arterial (Urban) [16]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.9 m = 25.9 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structu	re exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost 0								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

nspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no res	triction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]  Equal to present desirable criteria [8]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; b	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimu	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]		Status evaluation				
Pier or abutment protection				Sufficiency rating	86.5			
Culverts Not applicable. Used i	f structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	d feature meets currently acce	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transition		ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpected features			ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected fe		d feature meets currently acce	ature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date May 2018 [05	inspection frequency 24	M	Months					
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec	Underwater inspection date					
Fracture critical inspection Not needed [N]		Fracture critical ins	Fracture critical inspection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date					