HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-29-43.87 =	086-49-23.84
Indiana [18]	Tippecanoe County [157]		Unknown [00000]	00.60 N OLD SR 25	N OLD SR 25			= -86.823289
29150 Highway agency district: 1		Owner State Highway Agency [01] Maintenance responsi		esponsibility	State Highway Agency [01]			
Route 225	ute 225 SR 225			ee road [3]	Features intersect	ed WABASH R	river	
Design - main Steel [3] Truss - Thru	ı [10]	Design - approach Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 9 Year built 1912 Skew angle 0 Historical significance	Structure Fla	onstructed 1989 ared eligible for the N		
Total length 195.7 m = 642.1 ft Length of maximum span 48.2 m = 158.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.7 m = 15.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.4 m = 14.4 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.4 m = 14.4 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0.2 m = 0.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0.2 m = 0.7 ft Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]								
Deck protection	Εţ	ooxy Coated Reinfor	cing [1]					
Type of membrane/wea	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length	Method to determ	ine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		nventory rating	ventory rating 19 metric ton = 20.9 tons		
0.6 km = 0.4 mi	Method to determ	ine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2] C	perating rating	27.2 metric ton	= 29.9 tons	
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	gal loads [5]	D	Design Load M 18	3 / H 20 [4]		

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 960 Average daily tr	uck traffi 8 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 1594 Year 2033							
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.5 m = 18.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 4.57 m = 15.0 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 1065000 Roadway improvement cost 0							
actorist and the made quality on original [40]	Length of structure improvement 195.7 m = 642.1 ft Total project cost 1065000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Suf	fficiency								
Structure status	Posted for o	d for other load-capacity restriction [R]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings -	Condition ratings - superstructure Poor [4]			Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings -	Condition ratings - substructure Fair [5]			Appraisal ratings -	Basically in				
Condition ratings -	ondition ratings - deck Good [7]			deck geometry					
Scour		Countermea	sures have been	installed to mitigate an ex	kisting probler	n with scour. [7]			
Channel and channel protection				River control devices and evident. Debris is restrict			espread minor damage	. There is	
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		Better than	oresent minimum	criteria [7]		Status evaluation	us evaluation Structurally deficient [1]		
Pier or abutment protection						Sufficiency rating	32.8		
Culverts Not app	licable. Used	if structure is not a o	culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety featu	ıres - railings								
Traffic safety features - transitions Inpected			Inpected feat	feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpected			Inpected feat	d feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected			Inpected feat	ed feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date May 2015 [0515] Desig		Designated inspe	gnated inspection frequency 12 Months						
Underwater inspection Unknown [Y60		Unknown [Y60]		Underwater inspe		April 2014 [0414]			
		Every year [Y12]		Fracture critical ins	spection date	May 2015 [0515	May 2015 [0515]		
Other special inspection Not no		Not needed [N]		Other special inspe	ection date				