HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation													40-25-06.24 =	086-46-06.38
Indiana [18]		Tippecanoe County [157]					Unknown [00000] 02.81 E I-65						40.418400	= -86.768439	
6690			Highway agency district: 1				Owner State Highway Agency [01]				Maintenance	eresponsibility	State Highway Ago	ency [01]	
Route 26	5			SR 26				Toll On fre	e road [3]		Fea	ntures interse	cted SOUTH F	ORK WILDCAT CREE	K
Design - Steel [3] main			Design - Prestr approach [6]		ressed concrete continuous		Kilometer		2886	.5 km = 1789	.6 mi	77			
Truss - Thru [10]			3	Stringer/Multi-beam or girder [02]			Skew ang			Structure F					
									Historical	significa	ince	Bridge i	s eligible for the	NRHP. [2]	
Total leng	th 98 m = 3	321.5	ft	Lenç	gth of maxim	um span	61 m =	200.1 ft	Deck wid	dth, out-	to-out	9.9 m = 32.5	ft Bridge ro	adway width, curb-to-c	urb 7.9 m = 25.9 ft
Inventory	Route, Total	Horiz	ontal Cle	arance	7.9 m = 25	.9 ft	Cı	urb or sidewalk w	idth - left	0.6 m	= 2.0 f	t	Curb or si	dewalk width - right	0.6 m = 2.0 ft
Deck struc	cture type			Сс	oncrete Cast	-in-Place	[1]								
Type of w	earing surfa	ce		Bit	tuminous [6]										
Deck prote	ection														
Type of m	embrane/we	earing	surface	Bu	ıilt-up [1]										
Weight Li	mits														
Bypass, detour length Method to dete			determi	ne inventory	rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]				Inven	entory rating 27.2 metri		c ton = 29.9 tons		
1.4 km =	0.9 mi	M	lethod to	determi	ne operating	rating	Loa	ad Factor(LF) [1]			Opera	ating rating	46.3 metric to	n = 50.9 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]							Desig	gn Load MS	5 18 / HS 20 [5]						

Functional Details											
Average Daily Traffic 7550 Average daily tr	uck traffi 8 % Year 2009 Future average daily tra	iffic 10457 Year 2034									
Road classification	[02] Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 9.1 m = 29.9 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation contr	rol									
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A	4									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical	clearance over bridge roadway 4.47 m = 14.7 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]											
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral und	erclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]											
Repair and Replacement Plans											
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]										
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 3605000 Roadwa	ay improvement cost 0									
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 114 m = 374.0 ft	Total project cost 3605000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate										
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number										

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no restri	riction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intoler	re action [3]				
Condition ratings - superstructure S	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment						
Condition ratings - substructure F	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intoler	ve action [3]				
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]							
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	d to be stable for the asse	essed or calculated	d scour conditior	n. [8]			
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of r Banks and/or channel have m		ol devices and em	bankment prote	ection have a little mino	r damage.		
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	Better than present minimum	criteria [7]	Statu	us evaluation	Structurally deficient	[1]		
Pier or abutment protection					Sufficiency rating 17.1			
	structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transitions Traffic safety features - approach g								
Traffic safety features - approach g								
Inspection date May 2015 [051		ection frequency 12	Months					
	lot needed [N]	Underwater inspec		,				
	100 100 11 11		l					
Fracture critical inspection Ex	very year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins	pection date	May 2015 [0515	5]			