HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							20.45.20.42	00/ 10 04 0/
Indiana [18]	Marion County [097]	Indianapolis [36000]	00.15 NW OF KENTU	CKY AVE		39-45-30.42 = 39.758450	086-10-24.96 = -86.173600
4900292	Highway ager	ncy district: 3	Owner City or Municipa	al Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance re	sponsibility	City or Municipal Hi	ghway Agency [04]
Route 0	OLIV	/ER AVE	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersecte	d WHITE RIVE	R	
Design - Concrete [1] main 5 Arch - Deck		Design - approach 0 Othe	r [00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km Year built 1925 Skew angle 8	m = 0.0 mi Year recor	nstructed N/A [d	0000]	
				Historical significance	Bridge is e	ligible for the NI	RHP. [2]	
Total length 215.8 m	= 708.0 ft Le	ength of maximum sp	oan 42.2 m = 138.5 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	ut 25.2 m = 82.7 f	Bridge road	way width, curb-to-cu	18.5 m = 60.7 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearand	e 18.5 m = 60.7 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left 3.1 m = 10.	.2 ft	Curb or side	walk width - right	3.1 m = 10.2 ft
Deck structure type		Not applicable [N]						
Type of wearing surfac	e [Not applicable (appli	es only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
Deck protection		Not applicable (appli	es only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
Type of membrane/wea	aring surface	Not applicable (appli	es only to structures with no	deck) [N]				
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length 0.2 km = 0.1 mi	Wethou to deter	mine inventory rating	·		, ,	2.7 metric ton = 0.8 metric ton =		
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above	egal loads [5]	Des	sign Load			

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 6025 Average daily to	ruck traffi 5 % Year 2014 Future average daily traffic 7352 Year 2034						
Road classification Collector (Urban) [17]	Lanes on structure 5 Approach roadway width 18.3 m = 60.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 1731000 Roadway improvement cost 174000						
doterioration of indusquate strongth [50]	Length of structure improvement 215.8 m = 708.0 ft Total project cost 2305000						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - superstructure Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - deck Not Applica							
Scour Bridg	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]						
Channel and channel protection Bank Bank	Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy Equa	to present desirable criteria [8]	Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating 65.1					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is	ot a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transitions							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail	ls						
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inspection date							
Underwater inspection Unknown [Y							
Fracture critical inspection Not needed		al inspection date					
Other special inspection Not needed							