HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Inf	ormation								38-25-59.66 =	086-27-08.83
Indiana [18]		Orange County [117]			Unknown [00000]	00.10 E of SR37				= -86.452453
5900071			Highway agency	district: 6	Owner County High	way Agency [02]	Maintenance re	esponsibility	County Highway A	gency [02]
Route 0			E OWL	HOLLOW RD	Toll On	free road [3]	eatures intersecte	PATOKA RI	IVER	
Design - main	Aluminum, Iron [9] Truss - Thru		ght Iron or Cast	Design - approach Oth	ner [00]	Kilometerpoint 0 k Year built 1884 Skew angle 0	m = 0.0 mi Year reco Structure Fla	nstructed N/A	[0000]	
						Historical significance		eligible for the N		
Total leng	gth 32.6 m =	= 107.	0 ft Len	gth of maximum	span 31.8 m = 104.3 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	ut 5.2 m = 17.1 ft	Bridge road	dway width, curb-to-cu	4.5 m = 14.8 ft
Inventory	Route, Total	Horiz	contal Clearance	4.5 m = 14.8 ft	Curb or sidewall	c width - left $0 m = 0.0 f$	t	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck stru	cture type		Op	en Grating [3]						
Type of w	earing surfac	ce	Ot	her [9]						
Deck prot	tection									
Type of m	nembrane/we	earing	surface							
Weight L	imits									
Bypass, detour length 0.8 km = 0.5 mi Method to determ		lethod to determi	ne inventory rati	ng Allowable Stress((AS) [2] Inv	entory rating 8	B.2 metric ton =	9.0 tons		
		Method to determine operating rating			ng Allowable Stress((AS) [2] Op	Operating rating 12.7 metric ton = 1		= 14.0 tons	
		В	ridge posting 2	20.0 - 29.9 % b	elow [2]	Des	sign Load			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 150 Average daily to	ruck traffi 5 % Year 2014 Future average daily traffic 230 Year 2034								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.3 m = 14.1 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	re exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift br	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.66 m = 12.0 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 600000 Roadway improvement cost 100000								
deterioration of induceduate strongth. [50]	Length of structure improvement 32.6 m = 107.0 ft Total project cost 800000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2012								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for loa	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			[4]	
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]						
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	d to be stable for assesse	d or calculated	scour condition. [5]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is in need of r Banks and/or channel have m		ol devices and	embankment prote	ection have a little mino	r damage.	
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Equal to present minimum cri	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]			Functionally obsolete [2]		
Pier or abutment protection					26.4		
	f structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transition: Traffic safety features - approach							
Traffic safety features - approach							
Inspection date June 2014 [0		ection frequency 24	Mor	nths			
	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec					
•	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	action data				