The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information										41-20-22 =	086-18-31 = -
Indiana [18]	Mar	Marshall County [099]			Plymouth [60822] 0.1 N of NOR-SOL					41.339444	86.308611
5000002 Highway age			gency district 4	Owner County Highway		Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		e responsibility	County Highway	/ Agency [02]	
Route 375 South MICHIGAN St				Toll On free road [3] Features intersected YELLOW F			iver				
Design - Concrete [1] main			Design - approach	roach			int 0 km	n = 0.0 mi Year re	constructed 2008	3	
2 Arch - Deck [11]			0	Other [00]	Skew angle	0	Structure F	Flared			
						Historical sig	gnificance	Historia	al significance is	not determinable a	t this time. [4]
Total length 37.5 n	า = 123	.0 ft	Length of maximu	m span 19.2 m =	= 63.0 ft	Deck width	n, out-to-out	18.7 m = 61	4 ft Bridge road	dway width, curb-to	o-curb 14.6 m = 47.9 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 14.6 m = 47.9 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 18.3 m = 60.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right											
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-l			Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface			Low slump Cond	Low slump Concrete [4]							
Deck protection			Epoxy Coated R	Epoxy Coated Reinforcing [1]							
Type of membrane/wearing surface											
Weight Limits											
			etermine inventory r	rmine inventory rating Allowable Stress(AS		[2]	Inve	ntory rating	32.4 metric ton	= 35.6 tons	
0.2 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine operating rating			ating Allov	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		Ope	rating rating	42.3 metric ton	= 46.5 tons		
	E	Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]				Desi	ign Load MS	3 18 / HS 20 [5]			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 10400 Average daily to	uck traffi 8 % Year 2008 Future average daily traffic	2028 Year 2028							
Road classification Other Principal Arterial (Urban)	[14] Lanes on structure 4	Approach roadway width 15.2 m = 49.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 5.2 m = 17.1 ft Navigation horizontal clearance 6.7 m = 22.0 ft									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right $0 = N/A$	Minimum lateral underc	clearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference fe	feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway in	improvement cost 0							
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft	Total project cost 0							
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Equal to present desirable criter	ria [8]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Very Good [8]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum cr	riteria [7]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Very Good [8]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring h	igh priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Excellent [9]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge with "unknown" founda	Bridge with "unknown" foundation that has not been evaluated for scour. [U]							
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Better than present minimum	criteria [7]	Status evaluation	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection	Navigation protection not requ	uired [1]	Sufficiency rating	Sufficiency rating 77.7					
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]									
Traffic safety features - railings Inpected feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date August 2008 [0808] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection Not needed [N] Underwater inspection date									
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	Fracture critical in:							
Other special inspection Not needed [N] Other special inspection date									