HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							40-28-23.27 =	086-35-14.98
Indiana [18] Carroll County [015]		Jnknown [00000] 00.01 E of CR 350W			40.473131	= -86.587494		
800021 Highway agency district: 4		Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]		gency [02]		
Route 0	PRII	NCE WILLIAM Rd	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersecto	ed WILDCAT	Creek	
Design - main Truss - T	nru [10]	Design - approach Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km Year built 1945 Skew angle 0	m = 0.0 mi Year reco	onstructed 200	6	
Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5] Total length 47.2 m = 154.9 ft Length of maximum span 44.1 m = 144.7 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.9 m = 22.6 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.6 m = 21.7 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.6 m = 21.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left					' ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft
Deck structure type Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (cor			concurrently placed with st	ructural deck) [1]				
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]	Load Factor(LF) [1] Inv		32.7 metric ton	= 36.0 tons	
1 km = 0.6 mi Method to determine operating rating		Load Factor(LF) [1]	Load Factor(LF) [1] O		44.4 metric ton	= 48.8 tons		
	Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]			Des	sign Load			

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 230 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2011 Future average daily	traffic 281 Year 2031					
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 6.1 m = 20.0 ft					
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median					
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation co	ontrol					
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge Minimum vertic	cal clearance over bridge roadway 4.57 m = 15.0 ft					
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Denois and Denlessment Dlane							
Repair and Replacement Plans	West days by						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by						
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Road	dway improvement cost 0					
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft	Total project cost 0					
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state					
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Open, no restriction [A]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Very Good [8]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		Banks are protected or well vegetated. River control devices such as spur dikes and embankment protection are not required or are in a stable condition. [8]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cr	iteria [6]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 68.4					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ns							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date May 2015 [0	Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	June 2015 [0615]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	eded [N] Other special inspection date						