HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						20 40 14 52	004 10 54 42
Indiana [18]	Marion County [09	7]	Indianapolis [36000]	00.60 W OF MLK JR S	Т	39-48-16.52 = 39.804589	086-10-56.43 = -86.182342
4900132	Highway age	ency district: 3	Owner City or Municipa	al Highway Agency [04]	Maintenance responsibility	City or Municipal H	ghway Agency [04]
Route 0	RO	ACHE AVE	Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures intersected INDPLS W	ATER CO CANAL	
Design - Concrete [* main 1 Arch - Deci	-	Design - approach 0 Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km Year built 1911 Skew angle 39 Historical significance	Year reconstructed N/A Structure Flared Bridge is not eligible for		
Total length 20 m =	65.6 ft L	ength of maximum sp	an 18.6 m = 61.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou		ndway width, curb-to-cu	8.2 m = 26.9 ft
Inventory Route, Tota	l Horizontal Clearan	ece 8.2 m = 26.9 ft	Curb or sidewalk w	idth - left 1.8 m = 5.9	ft Curb or sid	lewalk width - right	1.9 m = 6.2 ft
Deck structure type		Not applicable [N]					
Type of wearing surfa	ce	Not applicable (applied	es only to structures with no	deck) [N]			
Deck protection		Not applicable (applie	es only to structures with no	deck) [N]			
Type of membrane/we	earing surface	Not applicable (applie	es only to structures with no	deck) [N]			
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length 0.2 km = 0.1 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating				entory rating 24.5 metric ton 22.7 metric ton			
	Bridge posting	Equal to or above le	egal loads [5]	Des	ign Load		

Functional Details										
Average Daily Traffic 953 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2014 Future average daily traffic 1163 Year 2034									
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 8.2 m = 26.9 ft									
Type of service on bridge Highway-pedestrian [5]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median									
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]										
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control									
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A										
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft										
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A										
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]										
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]										
Repair and Replacement Plans										
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]									
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 446000 Roadway improvement cost 360000									
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 27.4 m = 89.9 ft Total project cost 927000									
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2018									
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state									
	Border bridge - structure number									

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	ondition ratings - superstructure Poor [4]		Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -		Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as					
Condition ratings - deck	Not Applicable [N]	deck geometry	is [5]						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine required. [4]	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is required. [4]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. I minor stream bed movement				espread minor damage.	There is			
Appraisal ratings - water adequace	Better than present minimum	Better than present minimum criteria [7]			Structurally deficient [1]			
Pier or abutment protection				fficiency rating	51.6				
	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings Traffic safety features - transition									
Traffic safety features - approach									
Traffic safety features - approach									
Inspection date August 2018	[0818] Designated inspe	ection frequency 12	Month	าร					
Underwater inspection	Unknown [Y48]	Underwater inspec	tion date	May 2015 [0515]					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	eded [N] Fracture critical ins		pection date					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date						