## HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

## 2000 Inventory

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format. Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 38-50-12 = 086-33-00 = -														
Indiana [18]		Lawrence County [093]			l	Unknown [00000] 150S - 375W			75W I	E-6			38.836667	86.550000
4700138		Highway agency district: 6			Owner County Highway Agency [02]			02]	Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]			Agency [02]		
Route 495 OLD SR 450					Toll   On free road [3]   Features intersected   SALT CREEK						K			
Design - Steel [3] main 1 Truss - Thru [10]			Design - approach Steel		[3] er/Multi-beam or girder [02]		Year buil	Kilometerpoint     0 km = 0.0 mi       Year built     1901       Year reconstructed     #Num!       Skew angle     0       Structure     Elered						
								Skew angle     0     Structure Flared       Historical significance     Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5]						
Total length $44.2 \text{ m} = 145.0 \text{ ft}$ Length of maximum span $33.2 \text{ m} = 108.9 \text{ ft}$ Deck width, out-to-out $5.6 \text{ m} = 18.4 \text{ ft}$ Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb $4.9 \text{ m} = 16.1 \text{ ft}$														
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.9 m = 16.1 ft			5.1 ft	Curb or sidewalk width - left $0.2 \text{ m} = 0.7 \text{ ft}$				Curb or side	walk width - right	0.2 m = 0.7 ft				
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]														
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]														
Deck protection														
Type of membrane/wearing surface														
Weight Limits														
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			rating					Inventory rating 2.7 metric ton = 3.0 tons						
0.3 km = 0.2 miMethod to determine operating ratingBridge posting30.0 - 39.9 % below			g rating				(	Dperating ra	ating 5.	4 metric ton = !	5.9 tons			
			% below	[1]			[	esign Load	k					

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 113 Average daily tr	uck traffi 4 % Year 1999 Future average daily traffic 150 Year 2019							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure1Approach roadway width4.3 m = 14.1 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge     Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway     5.08 m = 16.7 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 99.9 = Unlin	nited Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by owner's forces [2]							
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 15000 Roadway improvement cost 0							
	Length of structure improvement44.2 m = 145.0 ftTotal project cost18000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 1999							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency											
Structure status Posted for loa	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]								
Condition ratings - superstructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]								
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]								
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry									
Scour	Bridge is scour critical; bridge	Bridge is scour critical; bridge foundations determined to be unstable. [3]									
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erode channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]									
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy	y Equal to present minimum cri	teria [6]	Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]								
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 24.4								
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]											
Traffic safety features - railings											
Traffic safety features - transitions	s										
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail											
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends											
Inspection date September 19	998 [0998] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	4 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ection date								
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	nspection date								
Other special inspection	Unknown [Y06]	Other special insp	pection date September 1998 [0998]								