HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information					40-15-15.71 =	087-17-58.74	
Indiana [18] Warren County [171]		Unknown [00000]	Unknown [00000] 01.00 S of Grant St		40.254364	= -87.299650	
8600029 Highway agency district:		: 1 Owner County Hig	Owner County Highway Agency [02]		County Highway Aç	County Highway Agency [02]	
Route 125	CR 100E	Toll C	On free road [3]	Features intersected WABASH RIVER			
Design - Steel [3] main 5 Truss - Thr	Desig approu		Kilometerpoint 0 km Year built 1905 Skew angle 0	m = 0.0 mi Year reconstructed 198 Structure Flared	0		
			Historical significance	Bridge is eligible for the	NRHP. [2]		
Total length 246.9 m	= 810.1 ft Length of n	aximum span 48.8 m = 160.1 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	ut 6.6 m = 21.7 ft Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-cu	urb 6.3 m = 20.7 ft	
Inventory Route, Tota	Horizontal Clearance 6.2 m	= 20.3 ft Curb or sidewa	alk width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ f}$	Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft	
Deck structure type	Concrete	Cast-in-Place [1]					
Type of wearing surface	Ce Monolithic	Concrete (concurrently placed wit	th structural deck) [1]				
Deck protection	Ероху Со	ated Reinforcing [1]					
Type of membrane/we	earing surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length	Method to determine inve	ntory rating Allowable Stress	s(AS) [2] Inve	entory rating 13.6 metric ton	= 15.0 tons		
1.1 km = 0.7 mi	Method to determine ope	ating rating Allowable Stress	s(AS) [2] Ope	erating rating 20 metric ton =	22.0 tons		
	Bridge posting		Des	sign Load			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 230 Average daily to	uck traffi 5 % Year 2014 Future average daily traffic 280 Year 2034								
Road classification Major Collector (Rural) [07]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.1 m = 20.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 5.79 m = 19.0 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Bridge rehabilitation because of general structure deterioration or inadequate strength. [35]	Bridge improvement cost 900000 Roadway improvement cost 150000								
deterioration of madequate strength. [55]	Length of structure improvement 246.9 m = 810.1 ft Total project cost 1800000								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2014								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Suffic	ciency								
Structure status	Posted for loa	ad [P]		Appraisal ratings - tructural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - su	Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]			Appraisal ratings - oadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - su	Condition ratings - substructure Fair [5]			Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			[4]	
Condition ratings - de	Condition ratings - deck Good			deck geometry					
Scour		Bridge founda required. [4]	tions determined to	be stable for assesse	ed or calculate	d scour conditions; fi	ield review indicates ac	tion is	
Channel and channel protection			Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequacy		y Better than pr	Better than present minimum criteria [7]			Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]			
Pier or abutment protection						Sufficiency rating	43.1		
Culverts Not applic	cable. Used i	f structure is not a cu	ılvert. [N]						
Traffic safety feature	es - railings								
Traffic safety feature	es - transition	S							
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail Inpected features			Inpected feature	ture meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends Inpected f			Inpected feature	feature meets currently acceptable standards. [1]					
Inspection date September 2014 [0914] Designated ins			esignated inspection	pection frequency 24 Months					
Underwater inspection Unknow		Unknown [Y48]		Underwater inspec	ction date	September 2012	2 [0912]		
Fracture critical inspection Every		Every two years [Y24	1]	Fracture critical ins	spection date	section date September 2014 [0914]			
Other special inspection Not no		Not needed [N]		Other special inspe	ection date				