The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Infor	rmation											38-46-39 =	086-13-38 = -
Indiana [18] Jackson County [071]				Unknown [00000] 6.4 km S of CR 675S			38.777500	86.227222					
3600137		Highwa	Highway agency district 5			Owner County Highway Agency [02]			Maintenanc	e responsibility	County Highwa	y Agency [02]	
Route 119 COUNTY ROAD 1040W			040W		Toll On fre	e road [3]	F	eatures interse	ected EAST Fork \	WHITE RIVER			
Design - main Steel [3] Truss - Thru [10]			Design - approach Truss - Th			Kilometerpoint Year built 1890 Skew angle 0 Historical signification		1890	Structure			1	
Historical significance Bridge is possibly eligible for the NRHP. [3] Total length 129.3 m = 424.2 ft Length of maximum span 55.1 m = 180.8 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.7 m = 15.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.7 m = 15.4 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left O m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right													
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]			er [8]										
Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [7]			er [7]										
Deck protection													
Type of me	mbrane/we	earing surface											
Weight Lin	nits												
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory ra			rating	No rating analysis performed [5]			Inv	entory rating	4.5 metric ton =	5.0 tons			
1.9 km = 1.2 mi Method to determine operating rating			y rating	No rating analysis performed [5]			Ор	erating rating	9 metric ton = 9.	9 tons			
		Bridge po	sting 2	20.0 - 29.9	% below	[2]			De	sign Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 60 Average daily tr	uck traffi 5 % Year 2007 Future average daily traffic 85 Year 2027							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 4.8 m = 15.7 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 7.31 m = 24.0 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Danair and Danis coment Diana								
Repair and Replacement Plans	West days by West to be shown by control [6]							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 3100000 Roadway improvement cost 3100000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 137.1 m = 449.8 ft Total project cost 6200000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2004							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Bridge closed	d to all traffic [K]	Appraisal ratings - structural							
Condition ratings - superstructur	Critical [2]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment Meets minimum tolerable limits		s to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Better than present minimum criteria [7]						
Condition ratings - deck	Critical [2]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for assessed or calculated scour condition. [5]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erode channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	y Somewhat better than minimuin place as is [5]	um adequacy to tolerate b	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating	22.4					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	f structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	S								
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach	guardrail ends								
Inspection date April 2007 [0	Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months						
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	tion date						
Fracture critical inspection		Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date						