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ABSTRACT

This thesis presents a brief overview of the history of bridge building, particularly as related to developments in the industrial era and the use of iron and steel in metal truss bridge construction. The history of the Groton Iron Bridge Company, operating from its plant in Groton, Tompkins County, New York, from 1877 until approximately 1920 is described. In addition, the research has located 107 surviving examples of the bridges built by the firm. The contemporary problems of the preservation of historic iron and steel trusses are examined, case examples given and the practical problems in the rehabilitation of bridges are discussed.

The research revealed that the Groton firm was among the major manufacturers of bridges in the last quarter of the nineteenth century. Production data supplied to the American Iron and Steel Association, and published in its directories of 1894, 1896 and 1898, ranked the Groton firm, of the 119 companies reporting, as one of the top twenty-three in annual operating capacity. Although most of the firm's bridge building was conducted in the Mid-Atlantic region, it built bridges in at least twenty-six states and the District of Columbia. Agents for the firm were at various times stationed in eleven branch offices.

The firm's history can be viewed in three distinct periods, the first beginning with the initial incorporation as the Groton Iron Bridge Company in 1877 as the result of the merger of an iron foundry
with an agricultural equipment manufacturer. The second and most profitable period, begun in 1887 with a recapitalization and new Charter of incorporation as the Groton Bridge and Manufacturing Company, continued until 1899. That year, the Groton firm, along with twenty-two of its competitors, was purchased by the American Bridge Company, later a subsidiary of the United States Steel Corporation. The American Bridge Company continued the operation of the plant at Groton for one year before closing it and removing the equipment.

The third period of the company's history began in 1902 with the repurchase of the buildings by the Groton community and the operation of the plant as the Groton Bridge Company. Business continued for more than two decades afterward, although certainly on a scale more limited than that experienced in the last decade of the nineteenth century at the height of the firm's success.

This thesis describes an exciting and competitive period in American bridge building history. It is hoped that this work will contribute to the appreciation of the unique beauty of metal truss bridges and help develop the recognition that metal truss bridges are features of America's cultural heritage to be accorded the full protection of historic preservation law.
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CHAPTER I

A Short History of

Developments in

Bridge Building
Just over one hundred years ago the Brooklyn Bridge was dedicated, an event having a great influence on man, not only here in the United States, but throughout the world. The bridge symbolized the creativity and emerging new technology that characterized the industrialization of the last decades of the nineteenth century. The history of bridge building, however, spans the history of all time and cannot be adequately summarized in these few pages. Therefore, only the developments leading directly to the use of iron and steel trusses in bridge construction will be discussed in this brief first chapter.

The Brooklyn Bridge, or the "Great Bridge", as it came to be known, symbolized an age of experimentation with new materials and new building technologies. The ability to weave the steel cables and to sink the tremendous coffer-dams required to build the piers to anchor the span was gained through hundreds of years of experimentation and technological growth and development. Further, the experimentation required in building the Brooklyn Bridge generated an excitement coupled with the realization that the limitations once accepted were no more. This had a catalytic effect on other enterprises and stimulated an era of intense business competition. The manner in which the numerous bridge building concerns pursued their task in the last quarter of the nineteenth century, and to some extent for the first decade of the twentieth, contributed to the "spirit of enterprise" which developed in this era. Many date the initiation of the industrial spirit with the need to rebuild the nation after the Civil War. Indeed, a great number of bridge building companies were founded in the years immediately
following the war.²

It is clear that in all civilizations bridges have been needed to link place to place, crossing natural barriers, and to join people together for purposes of trade, commerce, exploration, conquest and socialization. What is particularly significant about bridge building in the industrial age is the use of a new material, iron, and the trend toward the scientific analysis of the stresses upon materials as they worked together to hold up and constitute a bridge.

The use of iron as a bridge building material had been demonstrated to the world by the construction, in 1775, of the bridge at Coalbrookdale, over the Severn Gorge in Shropshire, England. The use of iron for the five arches of this structure was made possible, in part, by the work of Abraham Darby, who in 1713, introduced the process of using coal for smelting iron. Later in the century, John Wilkinson, a prominent British ironmaster, improved the coke blast furnace.³ It must be said, however, that these developments were preceded by centuries of experimentation in bridge building.⁴

The trend toward the scientific analysis of materials and their wear began in the eighteenth century and continued to the nineteenth when iron was more commonly available for building. Many consider the French to have been the leaders in the institutionalization of scientific methodology in the experimentation with new materials. Iron was used in several of the designs included in the Traité de Ponts, for example, the first treatise on bridge building published by a Frenchman, Herbert Gautier, in 1714.⁵ The first governmental civil department for
bridge building, the Corps des Ponts et Chaussées, was established under Louis XV in 1719. Graduates of the first engineering school, the École des Ponts et Chaussées de Paris, founded in 1747, approved all plans for roads, bridges and canals.

Developments in bridge technology were not confined to the French; the British led the way with many significant achievements. John Rennie, the Scottish architect who built his first bridge at the age of twenty-four in 1785, advanced scientific methodology by advocating that one must be in complete understanding of the theory of a bridge before any designs can be placed on paper. George Stephenson, also an Englishman, was the first to use iron for railroad bridges; his Britannia Tubular Bridge of 1849 was the most famous example. These efforts would not have been possible had it not been for the experiments of builders and scientists of the preceding centuries.

In 1586, for example, Simon Slevin published the results of a study of statics using experiments with loaded strings which established the triangle of forces upon which the truss system for bridge building is based. Galileo studied the strength of materials for bridges and described loading or weighting factors in his Two New Sciences, which was translated into English in 1665. Robert Hooke examined the forces of tension and compression in bridge construction and concluded that "extension is proportional to force."

In addition to the study of the parts of a bridge and their relationships, the invention of the steam engine and machinery used to fabricate metal work stimulated bridge building using iron and steel.
Henry Cort developed rolling mills which shaped iron and patented this invention in 1783. In 1784, he patented a crucial process; that of producing wrought iron from pig iron. Practitioners rapidly moved the new iron working technologies into refined processes and the materials became more usable for bridges and other structures.

Thomas Pope was among the first Americans known to have written on bridges. In 1811, in his treatise on Bridge Architecture, he wrote:

It is a notorious fact that there is no country of the world which is more in need of good and permanent Bridges...Nature, ever provident for man, has, however, afforded us ample means of remedy. Our forests teem with the choicest timber; and our flood can bear it on their capacious bosoms to the requisite points. Public spirit is alone wanting to make us the greatest nation on earth; and there is nothing more essential to the establishment of that greatness than the building of Bridges; the digging of canals, and the making of sound turn-pike roads. Necessity has already produced some handsome and extensive specimens of bridge building in the United States.

In the United States after 1800, a number of experiments with wooden truss forms began and patents were taken out on particular configurations. Based first on the construction of wooden bridges, these patented truss forms were used for covered bridges as well as other types. Wood was easily available and used extensively, although it was not flexible and could be destroyed by fire.

In 1803, Theodore Burr patented a wooden arch, combined with the King post truss, that was known as the Burr Arch Truss. (Figure 1) The diagonally latticed web truss patented by Ithiel Town in 1820 and 1835 was commonly used and the patent made Town a wealthy man. In 1830, Stephen H. Long devised a truss form for wooden bridges which included
connections of wooden tees.  

The Burr Arch, Town and Long truss forms were used for covered bridges and other wooden bridges in the first decades of the nineteenth century. After 1840, however, with the emerging availability of iron for use in bridge building, these wooden truss forms were transferred to metal. William Howe, in 1840, patented the first truss form in the United States which used metal members. The truss featured timber lattice work with iron verticals. The metal members functioned in compression, being pushed together, while the wooden vertical members were in tension, having forces tending to pull apart. The use of metal in bridge construction became more common, stimulated by the need to provide greater strength, and consequent safety, for bridges carrying the railroad.

To meet this need, Thomas and Caleb Pratt patented a truss form in 1844 which used vertical posts in compression and diagonals of iron in tension. Proving to be economical and strong, this all metal truss became the most commonly used bridge form. As wrought iron was found to be stronger in both tension and compression, while cast iron was strong only in compression, later, to increase the strength of these bridges, the main structural members were constructed of wrought, or manipulated, iron.

The basic Pratt truss was improved upon by others in attempts to strengthen it to bear greater loads, as railroad equipment became heavier. In 1847, Squire Whipple of Utica, New York, patented a Double Intersection Pratt truss, the key feature of which was the ex-
tension of diagonal members across two panels of the truss.  

A Pratt form using curved upper and lower chords in the shape of a parabola, the lenticular truss, was invented in Germany in the 1850's and first used in the United States in 1878. The original holder of the patent in the United States was William O. Douglas of Binghamton, New York. Surviving work of the major American manufacturer of lenticular bridges, the Berlin Iron Bridge Company, East Berlin, Connecticut, is extremely rare.

Wendall Bollman and Albert Fink, students of the architect of the Capitol, Benjamin Henry Latrobe, developed Pratt truss forms exclusively for use by railroads. The Bollman truss was patented in 1852, the Fink in 1854. These forms strengthened the Pratt truss with the addition of sub-struts and sub-ties. The Fink was longer than the Bollman and thought to better distribute the load. Bridges of these types are rare today.

The railroads continued to strengthen their bridges as train equipment grew heavier. The Baltimore Petit Pratt, developed from the patent of Wendall Bollman, used a straight top chord. The Pennsylvania Railroad bridges used curved chords on the top. These bridges, however, built primarily with cast iron, could not absorb tensile stress adequately in the bottom chords and were discontinued for reasons of safety.

By 1890, the Fink and Bollman bridges had been superseded. It was written in Engineering News in that year:
All of these old Fink and Bollman bridges, as we said about a year ago in pretty frank terms, have really passed beyond their time of useful service, and are carrying heavier loads than they were ever designed for, use cast iron with a freedom which is no longer tolerated, and ought to be taken down before they fall down. 18

The Stearns truss, another Pratt form, was introduced in 1890 and touted as an improvement over the basic Pratt by saving in materials and ease of erection. The Stearns was a controversial form, however, and thought to be unsafe because of the added strain it placed on the top chord: "(I am)...not sure that such an economy is really attained...without considerably reducing the safety of the bridge," wrote Charles Steiner of Minneapolis to the Engineering News in August of 1982. 19 The earlier Parker truss, a Pratt with a polygonal top chord, and the Camelback, a variant of the Parker truss with five slopes in the top chord, were more frequently used models. 20

After the turn of the century, the Warren truss came to replace the Pratt as the most commonly used truss for highway bridges. Patent-ed by two British designers in 1848, this truss is distinguished by its triangular "W" shape. Its diagonal members are capable of withstanding forces both in tension and in compression. 21

The frequency with which bridges collapsed alarmed bridge engi-neers and signaled the shift from iron to steel for bridge construc-tion. In the 1870's, one out of four railroad bridges failed. 22 The most famous accident, the collapse in 1877 of a Howe wrought iron truss built for the Lake Shore Railroad over a gorge near Lake Erie at Ashtabula, Ohio, was a major disaster. Thereafter, the question was
raised in Engineering News:

Would this not be a good time for the Society of Civil Engineers to bring up again and press through to consummation the plan for a committee of engineers to approve of every structure of this kind before it is open to public use?

Railroad stopped using cast iron and began to lighten bridge structural members. The transition to steel in roadway bridges occurred generally by 1880 as well, as highway bridge failures were more common than railroad collapses. Generally, although the transition to steel began at the same time for both highway and railroad bridges, iron continued to be used, in combination with steel or by itself, for the construction of roadway bridges.

The catalytic converter, invented in 1856 by the Englishman Henry Bessemer, led to the more widespread availability of steel. The open hearth steel production process, while not surpassing the production of steel by the Bessemer method until after the turn of the century, was further refined by French ironworkers, father and son, Pierre Emile Martins. William Siemens, a German, also patented an open hearth process and produced steel rolled into rails in 1867.

In 1850, the production of steel in America was estimated to be one-sixth that of the British. It was not until 1878 that steel was first made here using a hearth furnace or crucible. In that year, the first all steel bridge was built in the United States by General William Soosmith to carry the Chicago and Alton Railroad over the Missouri River at Glasgow, South Dakota. An earlier bridge which used steel extensively was perhaps more famous, the Eads Bridge at St.
Louis, constructed between 1868 and 1874. After 1892, the more widespread availability of steel changed the manufacturing of bridges. The larger steel producing companies controlled the supply of the material and provided standard structural forms and elements from their lines of stock, which the bridge companies cut, fabricated and marketed as finished bridges.

Concrete, which had been known since Roman times, was not used extensively in bridge building in the United States until after 1900. In 1908, addressing the American Society of Civil Engineers, Professor William H. Burr challenged its members to make greater use of the material, noting that more was known about its strength at the time than was known of steel. The first book on concrete by an American author; An Account of Some Experiments with Portland Cement Concrete, Combined With Iron, as a Building Material, was published by Thaddeus Hyatt in 1877.

Bridges of pre-cast molded concrete blocks appeared in Britain as early as 1840 and in the United States beginning in 1870. Near the end of the nineteenth century, several railroad bridges had been built in concrete and a concrete arch bridge constructed in San Francisco in 1889. In 1897, the Nashua Aqueduct in Boston was built entirely in concrete.

Both the marketing of Portland cement, which began intensively in 1889, and the introduction of the Melan system of reinforced concrete bridge construction in 1894, using rolled steel as a reinforcing material, helped encourage the use of concrete in bridge building in this
country. The stronger steel produced after 1920 made the use of reinforced concrete more common and the lean and beautiful designs in concrete by Swiss bridge architect Robert Maillart rendered its use more completely and aesthetically acceptable by the 1920's.

After the Civil War, bridge building companies began forming in the United States, many developing from iron foundries or similar enterprises. These companies assembled metal truss bridges, using patented designs of their own development for some variant in building technique or form. By 1893, 119 companies reported their annual operating capacity for the production of tonnage of iron and steel to the American Iron and Steel Association for publication in their directory. There were certainly many more companies capable of building bridges, perhaps as many as 450.

In New York State, the volume of bridge building business supported the existence of over fifty firms. At least eleven were established in upstate New York including the Buffalo Bridge and Iron Works; the Kellogg Bridge Company, Buffalo; the Niagara Bridge Company, Buffalo; the Climax Road Machinery Company of Marathon; the Elmira Bridge Company, Ltd.; the Good Roads Machinery Company of Marathon; the Havana Bridge Works of Montour Falls; the Lane Bridge Company, Painted Post; the Leighton Bridge and Iron Works of Rochester; the Owego Bridge Company; the Horseheads Bridge Company; and several other firms in the major cities of Buffalo, Rochester, Albany and Syracuse. The Groton Iron Bridge Company, later the Groton Bridge and Manufacturing Company of Groton, Tompkins County, New York, was among the largest of these
central New York firms.

Many inventors, builders, mechanics and engineers were responsible for the cumulative knowledge drawn upon for bridge designs. Handbooks were written for the use of the bridge engineer, although some of the early builders did not have formal engineering training. John G. Trautwine's *Civil Engineer's Pocket Book of 1872* was recommended by bridge engineer JAL Waddell, whose own books became the texts of the era. The Phoenix Bridge Company published its handbook in 1869 and the Carnegie Steel Company published its handbook, *The Carnegie Pocket Companion*, in 1873. These books, along with more elaborate engineering treatises, journals of the engineering schools, colleges and societies, and engineering and builder's periodicals such as the weekly *Engineering News*, assisted in spreading technology and generating business opportunities for bridge firms.

The movement to professionalize bridge building under the direction of the engineer was led by Waddell. However, some practitioners disagreed with the need. An anonymous "highwayman" from Cleveland wrote *Engineering News*:

> the great numbers of 'moth-eaten' and 'rust-scarred' bridges which are now standing around the country are more credited to the work of certain educated rascals, who style themselves 'engineers' than to the companies which built them.42

Waddell decried the practice of letting the contract to the lowest bidder, regardless of the design or quality of their past constructions. The practice, he thought, often resulted in the construction of unsafe bridges. The King Bridge Company, a competitor of the Groton
firm founded by Zenas King in Cleveland, Ohio, in 1871, was often a low bidder on bridge contracts. A gentleman from Maine wrote to Engineering News in 1879:

I have just returned from a careful examination of several iron bridges which have recently been erected in this State by King Bridge Company...I regret exceedingly to see works of this kind finding their way into Maine, as they are eminently unsafe...in glaring defects of construction and their wretched detail.43

To this the company replied; "There are good bridges, there are better bridges, and their are best bridges. We build all kinds just like our neighbors."44

In the early days of the operation of these firms, after the Civil War, the calculation of stress and loading factors in bridge design was largely unpracticed. Systematic testing of the strength of individual members and loading capacity came only after the American Society of Civil Engineers met in 1872 to consider the large number of bridge failures. The effort was encouraged by the government, however, even by 1898, with governmental assistance to develop a testing program, only five testing machines for breaking full-sized eye-bars existed, for example.45

The solicitation of construction bids was a fairly standardized process. A bridge-letting notice would be published by a county or town or village, to which the bridge company salesman, sometimes called the bridge broker, would respond with a bid. Many thought the bidding process was only a ruse and Waddell observed of common practice:
It is true that mailed bids are received but they are very seldom accepted, even if the figures be the lowest, for the commissioners are generally unable to resist the combined eloquence of half a dozen bridge-men...  

It is surprising how little the average travelling bridge-man really knows about bridges, and how incapable he is of giving advice of any value to a commissioner...What he does know is how much bridges will probably cost, and this knowledge he obtains from the company engineer. His forte is to do the heavy talking, in which it is by no means necessary for him to stick to the truth...  

A bid would be based on a specification and the cost estimate and plans, Waddell estimated, took from one-half to three hours to prepare for a small county bridge. The cost of the construction of a bridge included not only the materials used, but also the expenses of hauling and freight, framing, falsework, erection, painting, blacksmithing, coal, freight on tools, travel, bidding, labor for construction and incidentals.  

After a contract was won, the wrought iron or steel was obtained from producers in standard shapes. The bridge company, or fabricator, cut the shapes by machine into the parts necessary to put the structure together. Holes were drilled in the appropriate places for the pin-connections. The parts were labeled and shipped to the site for erection.  

The erecting foreman, usually an employee of the bridge company, made arrangements for the materials to be sent to the site and hired the labor from the area in which the bridge was to be built. Crews from six to sixty members were used depending upon the size of the bridge to be erected. Waddell thought that six men could erect a
small pony truss and seven a through, or full, truss under eighty feet in length. If a bridge was between eighty and 100 feet long, eight men were required. Between 100 and 125 feet, nine to ten were used; 125 to 150 feet, eleven to twelve; 150 to 175 feet, Waddell recommended thirteen to fourteen men; 175 to 200 feet, fifteen to sixteen; 200 to 250 feet, sixteen to twenty-four; and from 250 to 300 feet, twenty-four to thirty-six men. These numbers were to be doubled if speed in construction were required.\textsuperscript{51}

Although the nineteenth and early twentieth century metal truss bridges are rapidly disappearing from the landscape, many remain, Waddell thought:

There is no reason why a well-designed iron highway bridge, when properly cared for, should not last forever, under loads which are light and slowly moving, compared to those of railroad bridges, the iron cannot possibly wear out; and, when properly protected from the weather, it cannot rust.\textsuperscript{52}

We shall test Waddell's prophecy as the history of the Groton Iron Bridge Company is detailed, surviving bridges of its manufacture are described and the contemporary problems in the conservation of historic metal truss bridges are examined.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRUSSES</th>
<th>LENTICULAR (PARABOLIC)</th>
<th>DOUBLE INTERSECTION WARREN</th>
<th>PENNSYLVANIA (PETIT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1870-1890</td>
<td>A Pratt with both top and bottom chords parabolically curved over their entire length. Length: 50-300 feet 15-90 meters</td>
<td>Structure is indeterminate, members act in both compression and tension. Two vertical members meet at the ends and are fixed upon each other with a horizontal member. Length: 75-120 feet 23-36 meters</td>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Continued</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Style</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>WARREN</strong></td>
<td>With verticals. Mid-19th Century. Diagonals carry both compressive and tensile forces. Verticals serve as bracing for triangular web system. Length: 50–400 feet. 15–120 meters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CAMELBACK</strong></td>
<td>Late 19th Century. A parabolic, top chord of exactly five slopes. Length: 100–600 feet. 30–180 meters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CAMELBACK</strong></td>
<td>Double Intersection. Late 19th Century. A parabolic, top chord of exactly five slopes. A slope is a wing diagonal. Length: 100–500 feet. 30–150 meters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCHWEDEL</strong></td>
<td>Late 19th Century. A Double Intersection Pratt positioned in the center of a Parker. Length: 150–500 feet. 45–150 meters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KELLOGG</strong></td>
<td>Late 19th Century. A variation on the Pratt with additional diagonals forming a more rectangular shape. Length: 75–200 feet. 25–60 meters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>K-TRUSS</strong></td>
<td>Early 20th Century. So called because of the distinctive outline of the structural members. Length: 200–400 feet. 60–120 meters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baltimore (Petit)</strong></td>
<td>1875-1890. Late 19th Century. Triangular in outline. The diagonals carry both compressive and tensile forces. A true Warren truss has equalateral triangles. Length: 50–400 feet. 15–120 meters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WARREN</strong></td>
<td>1874. Early 20th Century. Simplification of Pratt trusses with verticals omitted at alternate panel points. Length: 30–100 feet. 15–30 meters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STEARN</strong></td>
<td>1880. Early 20th Century. Simplification of Pratt trusses with verticals omitted at alternate panel points. Length: 30–100 feet. 15–30 meters.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CHAPTER II

The History of the

Groton Company
Groton, in the northeastern portion of Tompkins County, was settled in 1793. Lying in a valley along the Owasco Inlet in the headwaters of the Susquehanna basin and framed by hills on the east and west rising from 100 to 350 feet, the growth of the town was facilitated by its natural topography and soil for agricultural pursuits. It became accessible on transportation routes, at first a stage coach route from Albany to Cortland, to Groton, and on to Ithaca, sixteen miles away.

The town was primarily, in its earliest days, an agricultural community with a small center containing services for those in the outlying areas. In 1860, the population of the village center itself was 596; by 1866, it had grown to 700. The development of local industry in the last quarter of the century doubled the population in the village proper to 1,342 by 1897. The Town of Groton, which included the surrounding agricultural areas, reached a peak in population at 3,618 in 1840.¹

Groton was always an industrious community. Local histories note, for example, that in 1853 the village contained three sawmills, a grist mill, five carriage shops, a furnace, a sash and blind factory, a foundry, four blacksmiths, a shoe manufacturer and a tannery.² Three larger industries, however, dominated the economic life of the town in the last quarter of the nineteenth century. Several of the carriage manufacturing shops, operating in the town since 1830, merged to become the Groton Carriage Works. The firm, which became a stock company in 1866, produced some 5,000 vehicles annually until its closing in 1908.³
The Crandall Machine Company, an antecedent of the Smith-Corona Typewriter Company, moved from Syracuse to Groton in 1887. Producing interchangeable type, this firm operated until 1900. The largest company of the period was the bridge works.

Sound investment of the profits of these active businesses helped create the conditions for future prosperity in the town, ultimately enabling the financing of additional expansion of the bridge company. In 1860, the First National Bank of Groton was established, largely through the efforts of Charles Perrigo, a founder of the bridge firm. Initial subscriptions of $100,000 were raised locally. Perrigo served as the president of the bank until 1890.

Telegraph service was established in 1865 and the local newspaper, *The Groton and Lansing Journal*, began publication in 1866. The Southern Central Railroad was attracted to Groton in 1869 by local subscriptions of $50,000. The line, which was later to become a part of the Lehigh Valley Railroad, ran from Fair Haven on Lake Ontario through the neighboring New York towns of Auburn and Moravia, to Groton and onto Dryden before terminating at Sayre, Pennsylvania. Its purpose was to link the coal producing region in the Lehigh Valley with the iron ore reserves of the Great Lakes. Other public improvements in Groton included the establishment of a waterworks in 1888 and the lighting of the town by 1900.

The bridge company was formed by the merger of an agricultural machine manufacturing company with an iron foundry. The experience of these two firms in business management and their knowledge of nation-
wide markets was instrumental in the immediate success of the new company. The agricultural machinery manufacture, the Groton Separator Works, founded in 1847 by Daniel Spencer, was operated by him in partnership with William Perrigo after 1859. In 1863, Perrigo purchased Spencer's share and formed Perrigo & Avery, a partnership with Frederick Avery. The annual income of this company was $26,500 in 1867 and its markets had been extended to the States of Ohio, Pennsylvania, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Minnesota, Wisconsin, California, Mississippi and Maryland.

The iron foundry, begun in 1849 as the Groton Iron Works with a capitalization of $2,000 by the brothers Charles and Lyman Perrigo, had earlier roots as a blacksmith's shop. Its markets included the State of California, other states in the west, the east, and New York State.

Charles Perrigo was born in Canajoharie, New York, in 1817. At the age of nineteen he was apprenticed to a founder in Genoa, New York, where he worked for four years. Later, he worked in Skaneateles, New York, and Geneva, New York. His brother, Lyman, was a machinist who invented a spoke planer which was built and sold by their firm. Oliver Avery, Jr., a mechanic, joined the Perrigo brothers' firm. By 1867, sales amounted to $29,000 annually.

The manufacture of iron bridges began in 1877 under the name "Charles Perrigo and Company." Soon thereafter, the merger occurred and the new firm incorporated under the name of the Groton Iron Bridge Company. The first officers of the firm were Charles Perrigo,
president; Ellery Colby, vice-president; William Williams, secretary; and Frederick Avery, treasurer and general business manager. It was Colby who emerged as the chief operating officer, and his family that dominated the daily operations in the early period of the firm's history, from 1877 until 1887. Colby's son, Ray M., served as an agent; his brother, Henry P., was a draughtsman and erecting foreman; and brother Lauren Colby acted as an erecting foreman.

Four patents were issued to the Groton bridge builders; two for innovations in the building of bridge piers and two for innovations in the superstructure itself. The patents were issued variously to Ellery Colby, Oliver Avery, Caleb Bartholomew and Charles Perrigo. The first patent to be granted was to Colby for the use of railroad rails as bridge piers, driven into the ground and capped by other rails placed horizontally. Iron stops were then placed on the piles and fastened to regulate how deeply they could be driven into the ground. These piers could be used to hold bridges or trestle work.

The second patent involved making the top, or head-cap, of the piles and the shoe, or foot-block, one piece by means of a bolt or a strap. Filed in August 1876, this patent was granted to Avery and Bartholomew. The advantage of this scheme, according to the descriptions supplied with the publication of the patent, was to have the bridge itself work to hold the piles in line.

The third patent, for an "Improved bridge", was filed by Avery and Bartholomew. Its objective was "to make a wrought-iron or steel bridge for common roads and railways." Railroad bars were used to
form the top chord of the truss, which was bent at angles and connected at plates to vertical braces and perpendicular rods. Plates above and below the bottom chords, which were themselves double H or T railroad bars, connected the bottom of the braces and rods. The edges of the bottom chords were serrated to join similarly serrated mortises in the foot-block. The bottom chords supported the timbers or metal beams holding the deck of the bridge. The top chord, foot-block and platform chord were bound by a strap or a bolt and a middle cross bar was bent upward to meet the base rod at nearly right angles, having the advantage, according to the inventors, of being a stiffer bracing system.

The final patent, for a light arch, was filed by Colby in January, 1877. The central feature of this invention was the bending of the arch only at a connection with the floor, or at regular intervals, leaving the sections straight between bends. The arch could be made of railroad rail, channel, T, H or I shaped beams or other iron or steel tubes. The bending was done, as described by the inventor, to maintain greater strength than a traditionally shaped semi-circular arch.

The daybook for the company's operation between 1877 and 1885 has been left to the Regional and Local History Collection of the Olin Graduate Library at Cornell University. From this source, record of the location and price of 355 bridges built by the firm during this period is available. In the first five years of its operation, the company built an average of twenty-five bridges a year. In 1882, it
built forty-seven bridges. Fifty-eight bridges were constructed in 1883 and ninety-seven in 1884. The record of business in 1885 is incomplete documenting the construction of only twenty-five spans that year.

Among the firm’s suppliers during this early period were the Union Nut Company of Buffalo, the Elmira Rolling Mills and the Passaic (New Jersey) Rolling Mill. Nuts, iron and rails were purchased from the Marathon Bridge Company and the Union Nut Company. Railroad rails were obtained from the Southern Central Railroad, machinery from the Allen Rivet Machine Company, paint from the National Paint Company, coal from the Lehigh Valley Coal Company and oil from the Syracuse Oil Company.

Payments as commissions to other bridge companies were recorded as early as 1881 with a $25 payment to the King Bridge Company. One pooling agreement to which the Groton firm was a party was signed in Chicago on April 26, 1886. These arrangements, often short-lived, were designed to stabilize prices. Each firm would agree to a percentage of the market which it would receive and pay other firms who received less than their agreed upon share.

Payments as commissions to other bridge companies were recorded as early as 1881 with a $25 payment to the King Bridge Company. One pooling agreement to which the Groton firm was a party was signed in Chicago on April 26, 1886. These arrangements, often short-lived, were designed to stabilize prices. Each firm would agree to a percentage of the market which it would receive and pay other firms who received less than their agreed upon share.

In addition to revealing the volume of business, suppliers and the pooling practice, the daybook gives an idea of the charges for bridge construction during the period. An iron bridge erected in central New York by the firm in July of 1879 cost $400. This included charges for lumber of $66.50, work and hauling of $12.30, freight of $18.45 and blacksmithing of 75¢. Although this bridge did not have a
latticed sidewalk, one erected in 1883 by the firm in Stockholm, New York did, for which the added charge was $128.

The Groton Bridge Builder, a monthly publication of the firm, was printed by the Groton and Lansing Journal. Five of the Bridge Builders, volumes number one to five, are probably all that were printed; they are all that are referenced in documentary sources. The content, in addition to providing examples of the firm's work, can be best described as containing the local brand of boosterism. The company's ease of access to major transportation routes was highlighted in the issue of May 1883:

We are centrally located near the center of the State, on the Southern Central Railroad. Twenty-seven miles north we reach the Auburn branch of the New York Central, and 38 miles north we reach Weedsport, and form connections with the mainline of the New York Central, also have good facilities for transferring by the Erie Canal; and 58 miles north we reach Sterling Junction, where we form a junction with the Rome, Watertown and Ogdensburg Railroad, and at 60 miles north we reach Fair Haven. Here we have good facilities for transporting by boat to the northeastern part of the State.

As we go south, at 6 miles we reach Freeville, where we form connection with the Utica, Ithaca and Elmira Railroad, and 40 miles south we reach Owego. Here we cross the Erie and the Delaware, Lackawanna and Western Railroad and at 60 miles south we reach Sayre, the northern terminus of the Lehigh Valley Railroad, thus giving us easy facilities for reaching any part of the State.

The Groton Iron Bridge Company incorporated as the Groton Bridge and Manufacturing Company in 1887 with a capitalization of $100,000 raised locally through subscriptions of $100 each. The Charter described the purposes of the corporation as:
Carrying on and conducting the manufacture of iron bridges, iron piling for bridges, portable steam engines, grain separators, hot air and steam air heaters, and to do a general repairing and job work. 27

The first president of the new company was Ellery Colby, however, he soon left the community and in 1890, William H. Fitch, who had been elected the treasurer in 1888, became the president. Frank Conger became vice-president and Chester Barney the treasurer and secretary. Barney died, however, before the company began in its reconstituted form and Barnum Williams became secretary and Oliver Avery the treasurer. 28 Corydon W. Conger was elected treasurer in 1890. 29 When Fitch died, Frank Conger became president. 30 Eleven trustees governed the operations of the firm. 31

In 1888, 150 men were employed by the company. 32 In 1891, the Groton Mechanics Indemnity Association was formed to provide insurance against accident and death of the men in the shops and yards of the company. No more than $60 was to be provided for any one illness and only $60 was to be paid in the event of a death. 33

An 1892 advertisement in Engineering News revealed the company's branch offices to be located in Mt. Vernon, Ohio, Houston, Texas and Owosso, Michigan. 34 By 1894, offices were set up in San Francisco, California, Sunbury, Pennsylvania, Front Royal, Virginia, Knoxville, Tennessee and Fitchburg, Massachusetts as well as in the original towns. 35 By 1898, Council Bluffs had become the location for an office and the Pennsylvania office no longer existed. 36 The company stationary for 1899 indicated an office in New York City at 39 Cortland Street; in Fort Worth rather than Houston; and in Nashville rather
than Knoxville. 37 The Iowa, Ohio and Virginia offices had closed and an office opened in Charleston, West Virginia. In 1905, the next year for which information is available, branch offices were located in Corry, Pennsylvania, Worcester, Massachusetts and Owosso, Michigan. By 1913, only one branch office remained, that located in Attleboro, Massachusetts. 38

The experience in Nashville is illustrative of how branch operations were opened and closed. According to the city directories, a Groton Bridge and Manufacturing Company office existed there from 1891 until 1902. Mr. M.S. Hasie and Mr. W. T. Young were the agents. Young also served as agent for two other bridge companies; the Cotton States Bridge Company of Atlanta, Georgia, and the American Bridge Company of New York City. Young began his own firm, the W.T. Young Bridge Company in 1906. By 1922, that firm had disappeared and Young was listed as vice-president of the Nashville Bridge Company. 40

William W. Williams served the Groton company as an agent and extant records document his travels throughout Central New York over a six month period in 1887. Among his papers is a 3 1/2" by 6" sketchbook on graph paper in which thirty-eight bridge truss models are drawn. Each is labeled according to the dimensions and type of member to be used and is accompanied by a pricing scheme. The notebook provides designs for Pratt trusses only, ranging in size from two to six panels. Some of these designs are indicated to have been submitted on particular bids.

Mr. William's account book, covering the period from March 24
to August 20, 1887, notes extensive travel, both by train and by stage
or on horseback, to as many as five towns a day. Williams lists ex-
penses for railroad fares, meals, livery, railroad guides, hotels, and
"bus". In the first week of his recorded travels, for example, Williams
visited eleven towns, including Union, Hancock, Walton, Rock Rift, Sid-
ney, Owego, Cold Springs, Canandiagua, Housick Falls, Honeoye and
Stafford, and made repeat visits to four. 41

Agents were similarly engaged in Massachusetts, Michigan, Tenn-
essee, Pennsylvania and Virginia and the record of the company's bids
and subsequently awarded contracts supports the activity generated in
these areas. Agent Ray Colby, for example, was highly successful in
Maryland, Virginia, West Virginia and the District of Columbia. The
agents presumably used the company newspaper, a small catalog published
in 1883 and the company handbook "Thoughts and Suggestions About
Roads and Bridges" in their sales attempts. 42

The heart of the company's facilities in Groton was the foundry
and the buildings which comprised the original Perrigo brothers iron
works. From this beginning, the manufactury grew to include seven-
ten buildings on the east and west sides of Main Street at Elm, none
of which survive today. The site had its own railroad station and was
served by an internal hand cart circulating system laid on tracks. 43

The foundry was located on the east side of Main Street near the
intersection with Elm Street. In October of 1887, this complex
included a machine shop and storage building with a dry kiln, a boiler,
a bridge shop and another storage building. On the west side of Main
was located the station near the Owasco Inlet, the railroad tracks, two storage buildings, a machine shop and three lumber storage areas. On the upper portion of this site, north of Railroad Street, was located the bridge painting building, a flask and storage shed and three other storage buildings.\textsuperscript{44} (Figures 2.1-2.3)

Although limited, some documentary records indicate that there was on-going expansion at the site. In 1885, two new furnaces, one for heating rivets and one for eye-bars, were installed in October.\textsuperscript{45} An office building was begun in 1887. In 1890, a new boiler house was built.\textsuperscript{46} By all indications, as determined from fire insurance maps, in 1892 the lower western end of the site had become the center of activity. A hammer and rivet shop had been constructed, as had a woodworking shop, and storage capacity had been enhanced. The office building was complete by April of 1892. The upper portion of the western site was used entirely for storage and consisted of three sheds and two larger storage buildings.\textsuperscript{48} In 1893, the assessed value of the plant was placed at $28,000.\textsuperscript{49}

In 1896, the stockholders approved a mortgage of the company not to exceed $200,000. This provided capital for the expansion and remodeling of the plant which occurred by May of 1898.\textsuperscript{50} In this period of development, the machine shop was expanded and a template room established on the lower portion of the western site and a paint and storage building added on the upper section.\textsuperscript{51}

The decade of the 1890's was a busy and profitable one for the company. Entering the last years of the century, the company had
capital stock valued at $100,000; $90,000 of which was owed by banks to the firm. The shops and plant were unencumbered and valued at $50,000.

In 1894, 150 men were employed and annual business had reached a level of $500,000. In October of 1895, the firm reported that 360 bridges had been contracted for already that year. At the end of the decade, in 1899, the company employed 160 men. Its officers were Frank Conger as president; Corydon W. Conger, his father, as vice-president; B.R. Williams, secretary; C. Fitch Cox, treasurer; E.A. Landon, a graduate of the Cornell University engineering program, engineer; H.C. Gilman, assistant engineer; and E.A. Watrous, superintendent.

Throughout the decade, competition between bridge building firms had been fierce, particularly the efforts of the steel manufacturing interests centered in Pittsburgh to gain complete control over the market by limiting the supplies of steel. Obtaining the steel necessary to erect bridges became increasingly difficult for local bridge companies. In 1899, after not being completely successful in eliminating the competition from the local and regional bridge building companies, who were, in fact, doing business in a national marketplace, J.P. Morgan, in a massive financial transaction, purchased twenty-three of the regional bridge companies, including the Groton Bridge and Manufacturing Company, and formed the American Bridge Company. (Table 3). The major steel producing companies merged in 1901 to become the United States Steel Corporation, of which the American Bridge Company became a subsidiary.

In Groton, the shop continued to be operated for one year. Frank
Conger was named as Vice-President for Highway Operations of the American Bridge Company. The manufacture of engines and separators continued in Groton under a new corporation, the Conger Manufacturing Company, which began in 1901 as a successor to the Groton Bridge and Manufacturing Company. In the fall of 1901, the bridge plant at Groton was closed and its machinery dismantled.

In April of 1902, Groton interests repurchased their plant and new equipment and established business under the name of the Groton Bridge Company. The Groton and Lansing Journal reported on April 16th:

> The purchase of the shops was consummated the later part of last week and the formal transfer made on Monday by a representative of the American Bridge Company through Mr. E.A. Landon, Manager of the new Groton Bridge Company...considerable new and up to date machinery will be purchased.\footnote{60}

In 1905, the company was valued at $32,500 for insurance purposes including the office at $3,000; the rivet shop at $7,000; the hammer shop at $4,000; the engine and boiler house at $2,000; the contents of the machine shop at $4,000; $3,500 for machinery in the machine shop and $1,500 in stock. Branch offices were opened in Corry, Pennsylvania; Worcester, Massachusetts and Owosso, Michigan and the company published an advertising journal, Good Roads and Bridges. Officers were Jay Conger, president; Benn Conger, vice-president; Lawrence J. Conger, secretary; B.S. Whitman, treasurer and D.J. Watrous, superintendent.\footnote{62}

In 1906, the company was awarded a major contract to build bridges
on the Erie, Oswego and Champlain Canals, subsequently necessitating their filing suit against the State of New York seeking restitution for expenses incurred due to alleged state-caused delays. A new iron and steel storage building was built near the Owasco Inlet by May of 1908.

In 1913, the firm consisted of six departments; office, administrative, sales and purchasing; engineering and draughting; steel fabricating; field forces; safety tread manufacturing and the foundry. Five general sales agents were employed and four additional local agents covered regions near their homes. Seven engineers and draughtsmen were employed and six to eight erectors comprised the field forces.

During these years, the company continued to build bridges, but also enhanced its activities in other areas continuing work in the fabrication and erection of steel frames for buildings; construction of smoke stacks, water tanks, and cast iron and steel sluices; development of a steel and lead stair and car tread and the marketing of road building and highway maintenance equipment and supplies which it manufactured, including graders, concrete mixers and road drags. In 1913, the Ithaca Journal noted:

Among the most noteworthy structures built here during the last few years may be mentioned a new 600 ton steel building for the International Harvester Company of Auburn, four swing bridges for Long Island, fifteen large spans across the new barge canal built for the State of New York, a large mill building in Syracuse and two in northern Pennsylvania, the steel work for the new Ithaca City Hospital, the Ithaca Post Office, and Rand Hall for Cornell University, and the new steel toboggan slide for the University Athletic Association.
In 1914, the company's fortunes turned and it began to rent out some of its buildings to other businesses. By 1920, business was so diminished that the remaining equipment was sold to the American Bridge Company, even though a local publication stated; "the bridge business at the present time seems in for a period of increasing prosperity." The 1926 Sanborn Fire Insurance Company map labeled the bridge company site as "to be the C.W. Conger and Company...not in operation." The woodworking shop had become a garage, as had two storage buildings. The Smith-Corona Typewriter Company occupied the remainder of the western portion of the site and the foundry on the eastern portion had become a garage. Other buildings on the eastern portion of the site were demolished. In 1931, the Town of Groton purchased the remaining company buildings for $3,000. A fire in 1961 destroyed most of what survived and in 1971 the last remaining building, the foundry, was demolished.

The directories of the American Iron and Steel Association published the annual production capacity in tons of iron and steel. The data were supplied by company management and gave some relative indication of the size of the various bridge building firms. In the yearbooks of 1894, 1896 and 1898, the Groton company ranked among the top twenty-three of the 119 firms on which data were published. It was third or fourth among the seventeen bridge building firms reporting in New York State. On a national basis, the Phoenix Bridge Company was largest, with the Pencoyd Iron Works second. Firms having a capacity similar to that of the Groton company included the Berlin
Iron Bridge Company, the Boston Bridge Works, the Brackett Bridge Company, the Wrought Iron Bridge Company, Penn Steel, the Pittsburgh Bridge Company and the Schultz Bridge and Iron Company. By 1903, the company's production capacity had fallen; fifty-one of the eighty-eight bridge building companies then reporting exceeded it in capacity.\(^7\) (Tables 4-6)

An analysis of the similarity of bids to determine the Groton company's closest competitors revealed that the King Bridge Company bid with the Groton firm on over one-half the construction opportunities on which the Groton firm bid. Out of 173 Groton firm bids recorded in Engineering News between 1877 and 1910, the King Company bid on 108. Other top competitors to the Groton firm were the Wrought Iron firm, Penn Steel, Berlin, Massillon, Toledo and Youngstown. (Table 7)

Most of the company's recorded business was in the Middle Atlantic States; New York State, Pennsylvania and New Jersey. However, a substantial business was done in New England, the South, Midwest, and the states in the upper Tidewater region. Business volume in the Midwest, Old South, and the Metropolitan Washington D.C. area was nearly equal and approximately half that done in New England. (Table 8) More bids were recorded for work in Pennsylvania and New York State, the latter having the highest number of recorded awards. (Tables 9-11)

The company profited by its work in New York State to a greater extent because of the volume of business it did there, however, large
awards outside New York State were recorded. The largest contract known to have been received by the firm was for in excess of $350,000 for the construction of a bridge in Little Rock, Arkansas. Awards exceeding $100,000 were also recorded for construction in Washington D.C., Pennsylvania and New Hampshire. (Table 12) While the years 1888, 1889 and 1892 appeared to solidly profitable for the firm, the crest of the company's operation seems to have been reached between 1894 and 1900. Business diminished dramatically after the firm was purchased by the American Bridge Company in 1899.
2.1 Groton Bridge and Manufacturing Company Yard.

2.2 Groton Bridge and Manufacturing Company Yard After 1902.
2.3 Groton Bridge Company office building.
### TABLE 2

COMPANIES PARTICIPATING IN THE CHICAGO AGREEMENT<sup>a</sup>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BRIDGE COMPANY</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE OF MARKET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinton Bridge Company</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raymond &amp; Campbell</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Champion Bridge Company</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lomas Forge &amp; Bridge Works</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dean &amp; Westbrook</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groton Iron Bridge Company</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.E. Lane</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt. Vernon Bridge Company</td>
<td>2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.E. Horton</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh Bridge Company</td>
<td>4.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia Bridge Company</td>
<td>4.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massillon Bridge Company</td>
<td>4.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berlin Bridge Company</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas City Bridge &amp; Iron</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri Valley Bridge &amp; Iron</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn Bridge Works</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keepers &amp; Riddell</td>
<td>5.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith Bridge Company</td>
<td>7.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morse Bridge Company</td>
<td>8.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrought Iron Bridge Company</td>
<td>12.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King Iron Bridge Company</td>
<td>12.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Victor C. Darnell

118 Mooreland Road, Kensington, Connecticut 06037

<sup>a</sup> Agreement dated 26 April 1886.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPANY</th>
<th>LOCATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post &amp; McCord</td>
<td>Brooklyn, N.Y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J.B. &amp; J.M. Cornell</td>
<td>New York, N.Y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elmira Bridge Company, Ltd.</td>
<td>Elmira, N.Y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Bridge Works</td>
<td>Chicago, Ill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Bridge Company</td>
<td>Athens, Pa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edgemoor Bridge Works</td>
<td>Edgemoor, Del.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassig Bridge &amp; Iron Works</td>
<td>Chicago, Ill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berlin Iron Bridge Company</td>
<td>Berlin, Conn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiffler Bridge Company</td>
<td>Pittsburgh, Pa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit Bridge &amp; Iron Company</td>
<td>Detroit, Mich.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochester Bridge &amp; Iron Works</td>
<td>Rochester, N.Y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groton Bridge &amp; Mfg. Company</td>
<td>Groton, N.Y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youngstown Bridge Company</td>
<td>Youngstown, Oh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrought Iron Bridge Company</td>
<td>Canton, Oh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toledo Bridge Company</td>
<td>Toledo, Oh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gillette &amp; Herzog Mfg. Company</td>
<td>Minneapolis, Minn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Bridge Company</td>
<td>Pittsburgh, Pa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schultz Bridge &amp; Iron Company</td>
<td>Pittsburgh, Pa.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffalo Bridge &amp; Iron Works</td>
<td>Buffalo, N.Y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canton Bridge Company</td>
<td>Canton, Oh.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coke &amp; Iron Works</td>
<td>St. Louis, Missouri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alton Bridge &amp; Construction Co.</td>
<td>Albany, N.Y.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horseheads Bridge Company</td>
<td>Horseheads, N.Y.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 4

RELATIVE PRODUCTION CAPACITIES OF LEADING BRIDGE COMPANIES

1894

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPANY</th>
<th>UNITS OF 1,000 LONG TONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix Bridge Company (Pa.)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pencooyd Iron Works (Pa.)</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edgemoor Bridge Works (Del.)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Bridge Works (Chicago)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kellogg Iron Bridge Works (N.Y.)</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trenton Iron Works</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri Valley Bridge &amp; Iron</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia Bridge Works</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keystone Bridge Works (Pa.)</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King Bridge Company (Oh.)</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Bridge Company (Pa.)</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elmira Bridge Company, Ltd.</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Bridge &amp; Iron</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassig Bridge &amp; Iron (Ill.)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passaic Rolling Mill Company (N.J.)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochester Bridge &amp; Iron Works</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit Bridge &amp; Iron Works</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania Steel Company</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groton Bridge &amp; Mfg. Company</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berlin Iron Bridge Company</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Bridge Works</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stupp Bros. Bridge &amp; Iron</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brackett Bridge Company</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrought Iron Bridge Company</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh Bridge Company</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schultz Bridge Iron Company</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiffler Bridge Company</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Directory of the American Iron and Steel Association, 1894, as supplied by Victor C. Darnell.
TABLE 5
ANNUAL PRODUCTIVE CAPACITY-1896

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPANY</th>
<th>UNITS OF 1,000 LONG TONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix Bridge Company</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pencoyd Iron Works</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keystone Bridge Works</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edgemoor Bridge Works</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Bridge Works (Chicago)</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elmira Bridge Company</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trenton Iron Works</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King Bridge Company</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia Bridge Works</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Bridge Company</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania Steel Company</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassig Bridge &amp; Iron Works</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh Architectural Iron</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passaic Rolling Mill Company</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri Valley Bridge &amp; Iron</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochester Bridge &amp; Iron Works</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit Bridge &amp; Iron Works</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berlin Iron Bridge Company</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh Bridge Company</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shiffler Bridge Company</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groton Bridge &amp; Mfg. Company</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Bridge &amp; Iron Works</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Bridge Company</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Bridge Works</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brackett Bridge Company</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toledo Bridge Company</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrought Iron Bridge Company</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schultz Bridge &amp; Iron Company</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Directory of the American Iron and Steel Association, 1896, as supplied by Victor C. Darnell.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPANY</th>
<th>UNITS OF 1,000 LONG TONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phoenix Bridge Works</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pencoys Iron Works</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keystone Bridge Works</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edgemoor Bridge Works</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Bridge Works</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trenton Iron Works</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elmira Bridge Company, Ltd.</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania Steel Company</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassig Bridge &amp; Iron Works</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Bridge Company</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passaic Rolling Mill Company</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King Bridge Company</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia Bridge Works</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schiffler Bridge Company</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochester Bridge &amp; Iron Works</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri Valley Bridge &amp; Iron</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit Bridge &amp; Iron Works</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berlin Iron Bridge Company</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groton Bridge &amp; Mfg. Company</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Bridge &amp; Iron</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana Bridge Company</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Bridge Works</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brackett Bridge Company</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gillette-Herzog Bridge Company</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toledo Bridge Company</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrought Iron Bridge Company</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh Bridge Company</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schultz Bridge &amp; Iron Company</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin Bridge &amp; Iron</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 7

**ANALYSIS OF GROTON COMPANY COMPETITORS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPANY</th>
<th># OF BIDS ON SAME CONTRACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groton</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King Bridge Company</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wrought Iron Bridge Company</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn Bridge Company</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berlin Iron Bridge Company</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massillon Bridge Company</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toledo Bridge Company</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youngstown Bridge Company</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh Bridge Company</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canton Bridge Company</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety Iron Works</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey Iron &amp; Steel</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dean &amp; Westbrook</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owego Bridge Company</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edgemoor Bridge Works</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brackett Bridge Company</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Bridge Works</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilton Bridge Construction Company</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson &amp; Buchanan</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Champion Bridge Company</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long (N.Y. Iron Bridge Company)</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milwaukee Bridge &amp; Iron Works</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Columbus Bridge Company</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lafayette Bridge Company</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia Bridge Company</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawkins (R.F.) Iron Works</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schiffler Bridge Company</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*110 firms bid with the Groton company thirteen or fewer times.*
### TABLE 8
COMPOSITE ACTIVITY BY REGION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REGION</th>
<th># OF BIDS AND AWARDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Middle Atlantic: N.Y., Pa., N.J.</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England: Mass., Conn., R.I.,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Me., Vt., N.H.</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan D.C.: D.C., Md. Va.,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Va.</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Midwest: Oh., Mich., Ks., Ill.,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ind., Kty., Minn., Wisc.</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South: Tx., Ga., Tn., Ark., Fla.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South if D.C., Md., and Va.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>included.</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# TABLE 9

## RECORDED AWARDS BY STATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th># OF AWARDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th># OF BIDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 11

COMPOSITE ACTIVITY BY STATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th># OF BIDS AND AWARDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STATE</th>
<th>AMOUNT OF AWARDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>$496,270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>$390,022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>$199,361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District of Columbia</td>
<td>$185,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>$97,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>$94,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>$67,620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>$66,664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>$25,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>$14,480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
<td>$8,900</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 13
FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF RECORDED AWARDS BY REGION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REGION</th>
<th>AMOUNT OF AWARDS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Atlantic</td>
<td>$710,111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New England</td>
<td>$163,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Washington</td>
<td>$252,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-West</td>
<td>$25,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>$554,342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South (with D.C., Md., Va.)</td>
<td>$806,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>no reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign</td>
<td>no reports</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Engineering News, 1877-1910
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CHAPTER III

Notable Groton Bridge Commissions
Based upon the materials contained in the Groton Iron Bridge Company daybook of 1877 to 1885, the published announcements of bids and contract awards of the firm found in Engineering News issuances from 1877 until 1910 when such announcements were no longer carried, and written inquiries to the State Historic Preservation Officers and other bridge researchers, this investigation has formally documented 107 bridges built by the Groton company still extant. (Chapter Appendices A and B)

Contributing to the difficulty in certain location of Groton-built bridges is the lack of accurate public record in many jurisdictions identifying the builder of a given span. In New York State, for example, based upon county highway department or New York State Department of Transportation files, or the existence of an intact bridge plate, certain identification can be made for eighty percent of the state's surviving High Pratt trusses. One-third of these bridges are attributable to the Groton bridge firm.

The problem of certain identification of builder is more difficult when dealing with the pony truss bridge. Of the 240 low Pratt bridges which survive in New York State, makers for only thirty-one percent are known. Similarly, of the 273 low Warren trusses, certain identification of the makers can be made for only fourteen percent. The 124 High Warren trusses which survive are also problematic; certain builders for only thirty-eight percent of these spans are known. (Table 14)

Documentation for the through truss is more thorough and readily
available than for the low truss. The engineering community has developed an ability to recognize the bridge company by its design distinctions, such as a particular portal, finial, column or plate shape. This has raised the certainty of identification where historic record does not exist. In addition, the completion of state inventories to identify historic bridges, about which more shall be said later, has increased knowledge of where, and how, the bridge companies operated.

In New York State, research is going forward on a class by class basis, with the High Pratt being the first for intensive identification of maker. As researchers dig deeper into local newspapers, dates of construction and makers of bridges can be determined, this, however, is very slow and painstaking research when the date of construction is uncertain as well as the maker.

The record assembled for the Groton company indicates, for the most part, that the firm built High and low Pratt trusses, however, their work was by no means limited to this style. The company was clearly versatile in its bridge building and kept current with technological developments. Examples of a full range of bridge types have been found from Parker and Camelback trusses, the Pennsylvania Petit truss, swing and lift bridges, plate girders and concrete slab and arch bridges. In its early years, the company built even wooden bridges and the bowstring arch of its original patent. Later, as the diversity of the company's production capability grew, it bid on all types of spans ranging from small three paneled Pratt pony trusses to multi-spanned railway and highway crossings.
In the search for bridges built by the company which survive today, twenty-two have been identified outside New York State. Eighty-five bridges built by the firm survive within the state. Of this latter group, thirty-eight are High Pratt trusses, twenty-two are low Pratts or pony trusses, and one is a Camelback truss. Three Warren high trusses, six Warren pony trusses and two bowstring arch bridges are also identified as Groton-built spans. Completing the list of New York State surviving Groton bridges are four I-beam or plate girders and one concrete arch bridge. Seven of these eighty-five Groton bridges have initially been determined potentially eligible for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places by the New York State Department of Transportation Engineering Research and Development Bureau. Five of all the bridges found were constructed between 1877 and 1880, fifteen between 1880 and 1890, thirty-six in the last decade of the nineteenth century, sixteen between 1900 and 1910 and three after 1910. The last surviving bridge found was constructed in 1917. (Table 15)

In this Chapter, several noteworthy examples, and some ordinary ones, are provided with a view toward describing representative samples of the range of the firm's work. Numerous views of the surviving bridges are also shown.

In March of 1981, the Nubia, New York bridge (Figure 3.1), one of the earliest of the company's manufacture, was moved to Groton from Champlain and McLean Roads in Nubia, New York. This bowstring arch,
a unique use of railroad rail on the upper chord, is an example of the early Colby patent. The combined efforts of Tompkins County, the Town and Village of Groton, the Smith-Corona Typewriter Company, the Groton Historical Society and the T. and D. Bessemer Rigging Company achieved this example of historic preservation. It is planned to renovate the bridge, now located on the grounds of the Groton senior citizen's residence, and to install it in a park over the Owasco Inlet behind the Smith-Corona plant on land once owned by the Groton Bridge Company. Two other bowstring arch bridges of the company's manufacture remain in New York State.

Three examples of the company's building during its first year of operation, using the Pratt truss form, were in Tompkins County, New York; the German Cross Roads Bridge in the Town of Ithaca, a seventy-four foot span now demolished (Figure 3.2); the Groton City Bridge in the Town of Groton, a seventy-three foot low Pratt span (Figure 3.3); and a ninety-eight foot High Pratt bridge on Pinckney Road in the Town of Dryden (Figures 3.4-3.6). The latter two bridges cross Fall Creek; the German Cross Roads bridge spanned the Six Mile Creek.

The company's Red Mill Road bridge (Figures 3.7-3.10) spanning Fall Creek in Freeville, New York, constructed in 1887, a decade later, is similarly a pin-connected span, and like the German Cross Roads bridge has the side-bar diagonal to the posts. This span is 120 feet in length and thirteen feet seven inches in width, as measured from curb to curb. The outriggers, or diagonal bars, have been
3.1 Groton, N.Y., Elm Street Extended, Fall Creek, bow-string arch, 1877, elevation.
3.2 Ithaca, N.Y., German Cross Roads, Six Mile Creek, Pratt pony truss, 1877, elevation, demolished.

3.3 Groton, N.Y., Groton City Road, Fall Creek, Pratt pony truss, 1877, laced verticals.
3.4 Dryden, N.Y., Pinckney Road, Fall Creek, Pratt through truss, 1877, elevation.

3.5 Dryden, N.Y., Pinckney Road, Fall Creek, Pratt through truss, 1877, latticed struts and vertical posts.
3.6 Dryden, N.Y., Pinckney Road, Fall Creek, Pratt through truss, 1877, floor beams and bottom lateral bracing.
3.7 Dryden, N.Y., Red Mill Road, Fall Creek, 2 span Pratt pony truss, 1887, longitudinal view showing pier.

3.8 Dryden, N.Y., Red Mill Road, Fall Creek, 2 span Pratt pony truss, 1887, outriggers.
3.9 Dryden, N.Y., Red Mill Road, Fall Creek, 2 span Pratt pony truss, 1887, plank decking.

3.10 Dryden, N.Y., Red Mill Road, Fall Creek, 2 span Pratt pony truss, 1887, bridgeplate.
identified as a characteristic of the company's work, although it is uncertain if they are original features. ¹

There are several indications that the company was a practiced builder of long span railroad bridges, having received large contract awards for such structures in Little Rock, Arkansas, for $350,000 in 1896; for a span over the Potomac River at Hancock, Maryland, in 1890; and several others. Perhaps the most visible was the Eastern Branch Bridge over the Anacostia River at Pennsylvania Avenue in Washington D.C. which opened in 1890. (Figure 3.11)

The story of this bridge begins with the announcement in Engineering News on June 11, 1887:

A new bridge will replace the old structure over the Eastern Branch at Pennsylvania and Kentucky Avenues into Maryland; it will be 2,235 feet long and will consist of iron trusses and iron trestle work. The plans were prepared by Col. Peter C. Hains, U.S. Engineer Corps.²

Bids were received from four companies; the Smith Bridge Company of Toledo, Ohio, at $150,000; the Mt. Vernon Bridge Company of Mt. Vernon, Ohio, at $148,000 or $127,000 if an iron substructure was acceptable and the Pittsburgh Bridge Company at $123,000. The Groton bid of $105,000 was that chosen.³ Later, the company was to receive an additional $40,000 for design changes necessitated by a legal dispute over the right-of-way raised by the Baltimore and Potomac Railroad. Two 151 foot through spans were subsequently substituted for one ninety foot through span and two 112 foot deck spans on the west end.⁴

The citizens of the area began a drive for a new bridge on the
3.11 Washington D.C., Pennsylvania Avenue, Anacostia River, long span railroad bridge, 1890, elevation.
the site as early as 1875; legislation authorizing the structure and appropriating $110,000 for the construction passed the Congress on February 23, 1887. An additional appropriation of $60,000 was made in May of 1888.

Delays on the work were encountered; floods deterred work for two years and the laying of a second western abutment required the use of a coffer-dam. What ultimately was built was a bridge 1510 feet in length with two overhead spans of 190 feet each and ten deck spans of 112 feet each, all of which rested on nine masonry piers supporting the bridge structure and the railroad track. The roadway was twenty-four feet wide and there were two four foot wide sidewalks. The masonry of the piers was of a brown stone and the bridge itself was painted a dark brown-like red. The floor was oak, a watch-box for watchmen was provided at the city end. Iron ornamental railings lined the sides of the bridge, which was lit by gas lamps across the entire length.

A major celebration on the occasion of the bridge opening, not an uncommon event, was held on August 25, 1890. In the program publication, "The New Era", prepared by the East Washington Citizen's Association, the bridge was described as "graceful and stable-looking, large and commodious." The bridge was dismantled in 1939 and replaced with the present crossing, the John Philip Sousa Bridge.

After the Johnstown Flood of 1889, the company was engaged to construct a bridge at Hancock, Maryland, linking the States of Maryland and Virginia and replacing the span destroyed in the flood. The
bridge served to facilitate traffic in the region which also included the State of Pennsylvania. The span constructed by the Groton company was operated as a private toll bridge until 1925 when it was purchased by state authorities. The structure, which consisted of three Pratt through trusses of 262 feet in length each, was ultimately replaced after sustaining damage in the flood of 1936.7

The Arkansas Bridge at Little Rock, at $353,000, was the largest known contract received by the Groton Bridge and Manufacturing Company.8 This bridge, which crossed the Arkansas River at Little Rock, was 1680 feet long and contained a channel span of 374 feet in length, two spans of 280 feet, and one span each at 220, 176 and 114 feet. Two fifty foot girders completed the crossing. All spans rested on masonry piers fifty feet above the water and 114 feet over bedrock. The roadway was twenty-four feet wide and the bridge had two five foot wide sidewalks. Two million pounds of steel; 600,000 feet of lumber; and 3,700 cubic yards of masonry were used to construct the span. The Groton company won the contract in a contest with more than thirty other bidders.

At the opening ceremony, in his acceptance speech, Edwin Thatcher, the Detroit engineer in charge of the construction, said:

It has been thrown open to public travel within eight and a half months from the date of the contract or less than one-half the time allowed...In my long experience as an engineer and bridge contractor I have never known such a feat to be performed before and the Groton Bridge Company and the citizens of Pulaski have reason to feel proud of the result...a roadway of twenty-four feet is as great or greater than has been provided in a majority of bridges of this size.9
The dedication ceremony, in September of 1896, was also attended by Frank Conger who spoke on behalf of the company.

The Meadow Bridge of 1897 (Figures 3.12-3.19), crossing the Androscoggin River north of Shelburne, New Hampshire, is a product of the Groton company which has received special recognition for its delicate design. The five span structure has a total length of 504 feet three inches and consists of three six panel single-intersection pin-connected Pratt trusses framed by a seventy-one foot Pratt pony truss on the southern end and a twenty-five foot I-beam truss on the north. The bridge has a width of fourteen feet nine inches and a clearance of seventeen feet four inches. Cylindrical steel piers supporting the bridge fourteen feet above the water are filled with concrete and fitted with ice breakers. The archaeological analysis of the bridge, conducted before its recent refurbishment, noted:

Of particular interest is that the Groton Company often incorporated decorative motifs into their portal design. The finials, cresting and ornamental nameplate that highlight the Meadow Bridge are essentially a company trademark. This practice also correlates with the widespread introduction of artistic elements into engineering designs for aesthetic purposes witnessed through the later portion of the nineteenth century.

The bridge cost $10,000 to construct; $3,500 of which was raised by local subscriptions. It is now listed on the National Register of Historic Places.

The company was praised in another forum for its design of the full Pratt truss. Howard Newlon, Jr., Associate Head of the Virginia Highway and Transportation Research Council described the Groton
3.12 Shelburne, N.H., North Road, Androscoggin River, 5 span Pratt truss, 1897, NR, approach.

3.13 Shelburne, N.H., North Road, Androscoggin River, 5 span Pratt truss, 1897, NR, through trusses, end pony.
3.14 Shelburne, N.H., North Road, Androscoggin River, 5 span Pratt truss, 1897, NR, end I-beam truss.

3.15 Shelburne, N.H., North Road, Androscoggin River, 5 span Pratt truss, 1897, NR, laced channel beams, pin-connection at portal.
3.16 Shelburne, N.H., North Road, Androscoggin River, 5 span Pratt truss, 1897, NR, latticed sidewalk grill, decorated portal, latticed knee bracing, plank decking.

3.17 Shelburne, N.H., North Road, Androscoggin River, 5 span Pratt truss, 1897, NR, lower chord, eye-bar, pin-connection, hanger, I-beam, plank decking.
3.18 Shelburne, N.H., North Road, Androscoggin River, 5 span Pratt truss, 1897, NR, finial, decorative cresting, pin-connection at portal strut, end post.

3.19 Shelburne, N.H., North Road, Androscoggin River, 5 span Pratt truss, 1897, NR, bridgeplate.
manufactured bridge at the Town of Goshen in Rockbridge County, Virginia, which spans the Calfpasture River:

Designation of the Groton Bridge and Manufacturing Company as an unusual and innovative designer is made largely on the basis of a structure built in Virginia in 1890 for the Goshen Land and Improvement Company... It is a multi-span, wide, and heavily skewed truss reflecting a significant design achievement for the period.12

Two Groton constructed bridges survive in Vermont and have been recognized by the state's Division of Historic Sites. Listed on the National Register of Historic Places, the Iron Bridge at Howard Hill in Cavendish (Figures 3.20-3.24) was constructed in the summer of 1890. This bridge is a single span, pin-connected, Pratt through truss fabricated of wrought iron and cast iron components. Although constructed at the advent of the use of steel in bridge construction, this bridge is of iron and one of the few remaining iron truss bridges in the state. The National Register nomination terms it:

...the last generation of iron truss bridges...Furthermore, the Cavendish bridge retains intact its original design complete with decorative elements, making it an outstanding example of its type, period, and method of construction.13

The Town of Cavendish paid $850 for the bridge which has survived the years because of being lightly traveled. Locally, it is known as the "Iron Bridge".

The Groton company bridge at West Woodstock, Vermont (Figure 3.25) is of steel. Constructed in 1900, the bridge crosses the Ottauquechee
3.20 Cavendish, Vt., Howard Hill Road, Black River, Pratt through truss, 1890, NR, longitudinal view.
3.21 Cavendish, Vt., Howard Hill Road, Black River, Pratt through truss, 1890, NR, portal.
3.22 Cavendish, Vt., Howard Hill Road, Black River, Pratt through truss, 1890, NR, decorated portal.
3.23 Cavendish, Vt., Howard Hill Road, Black River, Pratt through truss, 1890, NR, bridgeplate.
3.24 Cavendish, Vt., Howard Hill Road, Black River, Pratt through truss, 1890, NR, finial.
3.26 Woodstock, Vt., Union Street, Ottauquechee River, Camelback truss, 1900, portal, plank decking.
River in Windsor County. According to Charles M. Cobb, who chronicled the bridge construction for the *Inter-State Journal* in 1901, the company originally sold the bridge to Woodstock for a discounted price as a town in New York State declined to take the bridge which had been made for them. However, as it turned out, the New York town found a place for the bridge and the Groton company made good on the discounted price offered West Woodstock by fabricating a new bridge at the same specifications.  

The bridge was placed on the improved abutments of an earlier span, the abutments have been said to date from 1789. Cobb noted that the structure would probably cost $6,000 and benefit the town economically:

> The greatest gain on account of it accrues to the farmers of School District No. 9 and others on the hill roads to South Woodstock, whose lumber and other products will be much more available that before and the value of all the farms will be considerably raised thus benefiting the town by increasing its grand list...But more than this the people of the valley nearly all wanted a highway bridge at this point for the addition it would make to the attractive 'drives' of the town, which is one of the most romantic and picturesque in New England.  

The work on the bridge began on November 14, 1900 and it was opened to travel on December 7, 1900.

The Sugar Creek Bridge of Christian County, Kentucky (Figures 3.26-3.27), of 1894, is the only known Groton bridge surviving in the state. The Department of Transportation and the State Historic Preservation Officer; the Kentucky Heritage Commission, have both conducted surveys of the state's bridges. This bridge has been found to be the
3.26 Bainbridge, Ky., State Route 124, Sugar Creek, bed post pony truss, 1894, elevation.

3.27 Bainbridge, Ky., State Route 124, Sugar Creek, bed post pony truss, 1894, bridgeplate.
oldest dated pony truss remaining in the state and is currently threatened with removal for the construction of a new span. The bridge is located near Bainbridge and is a forty-eight foot bedpost truss with a width of nine feet seven inches and a surviving Groton bridge company plate. The pin-connected span with wooden floor is still in use, although posted at six tons.

In 1901, the Groton company built the New Middleton Bridge (Figure 3.28) on Bradford Hill Road over Mulhervin Creek in Smith County, Tennessee. The bridge was demolished within the last four years. A pony Pratt truss of eighty-five feet in length and twelve feet seven inches in width, the bridge was distinguished by its "fish-bellied" bottom chord, a curved chord, and its laced end posts, top chords facing the opposite direction from normal and decorative finials. It was pin-connected with a timber deck over steel I-beam stringers. The fish-bellied chord arrangement was cited in Milo Ketchum's The Design of Highway Bridges and the Calculation of Stresses in Bridge Trusses written in 1909. He stated, "the fish-bellied chord is a decided improvement...This bridge is very rigid...."

After its closing in 1979, a state bridge evaluation report recommended repairs to the stringers, the shoring of an abutment, a new timber deck, new railing and guard rail, narrow bridge warning signs and posting to five tons. A replacement bridge was built, however, and this bridge taken down.

The Union Avenue Bridge (Figures 3.29,3.30) over the Passaic River in Rutherford, New Jersey, constructed by the Groton Bridge and
3.28 New Middleton, Tenn., Bradford Hill Road, Mulhervin Creek, 1901, demolished.
3.29 Rutherford, N.J., Union Avenue, Passaic River, swing bridge, 1896, historic longitudinal view.
3.30 Rutherford, N.J., Union Avenue, Passaic River, swing bridge, 1896, after flood of 1902.
Manufacturing Company in 1896, survives today. Originally fitted with manual turning machinery, an additional gear train driven by an electric motor was added in 1924. Repairs have since been made on the swing machinery, in addition to those made in 1924, in 1946 and 1961.

The bridge links the Borough of Rutherford in Bergen County with the City of Passaic in Passaic County. It is a four span highway structure with an overall length of 285 feet and width of thirty-two feet, clearance of eleven feet and posting to the speed limit of ten miles per hour.

In addition to the damage sustained to the bridge in the flood of 1902, the bridge was hit by a barge in 1976 knocking an approach span pier out and dropping the structure into the water. The span was rebuilt by 1978. Presently, the County of Bergen Department of Public Works is conducting a feasibility study of the bridge. 21

Examples of the company's work after its repurchase from the American Bridge Company and its reconstitution as the Groton Bridge Company in 1902 are interesting in their difference from earlier forms. Generally, the later Warren bridges are characterized by a heaviness of members not found in the earlier Pratt trusses praised for their delicate artistry. In addition, the Warren trusses are all steel. Several examples of this work are available in the Ithaca, New York area and include the Forest Home bridges (Figures 3.31-3.34) over the Fall Creek. The first, constructed in 1904, is a eighty-four foot Warren deck truss. The second, a Double Intersection Warren High Truss built in 1909, is 119 feet in length. The contract for this
3.31 Ithaca, N.Y., Forest Home Drive, Fall Creek, Warren deck pony truss, 1904, elevation.

3.32 Ithaca, N.Y., Forest Home Drive, Fall Creek, Warren Double Intersection through truss, 1909, elevation.
3.33 Ithaca, N.Y., Forest Home Drive, Fall Creek, Warren Double Intersection through truss, 1909, portal.

3.34 Ithaca, N.Y., Forest Home Drive, Fall Creek, Warren Double Intersection through truss, 1909, bridgeplate.
bridge at $3,998 was won over bids from the Weedsport Bridge Company and the United Construction Company. 22

A concrete arch bridge (Figure 3.35) of the company's construction in the summer of 1911 is extant in Waterburg, Town of Ulysses, Tompkins County, New York. Record of the company seeking to build in concrete exists as early as 1906 in plans submitted to the City of Ithaca. Of the Waterburg bridge it was written in the Trumansburg Free Press and Sentinel:

...this fine structure over the Halseyville Creek at Waterburg was built by the Groton Bridge Company in the late summer of 1911 to replace a steel bridge that had been pronounced unsafe under the new rules for bridge construction. This bridge is of reinforced concrete, of about sixty-five foot span, and a sixteen foot roadway. The contract price was $3,000. 22

The Groton and Lansing Journal noted:

It is a fine substantial looking structure, one that will not call for repairs in many years, if it proves as good as it looks it should cost practically nothing for maintenance for the next 100 years; and if it will go 100 years without repairs there is no reason why it should not be good for 1,000 years. 23

With such confidence, there was no reason to question that business was booming for the company when the Groton and Lansing Journal reported in October of 1913 that the company was building a 193 ton bridge in the shops for the barge canal in Newark, New Jersey:

...the bridge is a 150 foot span, has a 32 foot roadway and two ten foot walks. The floor is to be of reinforced concrete. The total weight of the bridge is 193 tons, or 386,000 pounds. One piece of the lower chord alone weighs
3.35 Ulysses, N.Y., Waterburg Road, Taughannock Creek, concrete arch, 1911, elevation.
eight tons. Six carloads of the bridge have already been shipped, and it is estimated that it will take about eight more to complete the shipment.
CHAPTER III, APPENDIX A

EXTANT GROTON BRIDGES OUTSIDE NEW YORK STATE

1. Bainbridge, Christian County, Kentucky, State Route 124, Sugar Creek, bedpost pony truss, 1894.

2. Kingston, Plymouth County, Massachusetts, Elm Street, Jones River, Pratt pony truss (pin-connections), 1889.

3. Village of Shattuckville, Franklin County, Massachusetts, Cross Road, North River, Pratt through truss (pin-connections), closed, 1887.

4. Portland, Ionia County, Michigan, Bridge Street, Grand River, Pratt through truss (2 spans, each 102' 1/2"), 1890.

5. Emmet Township, Calhoun County, Michigan, F Drive North, Kalamazoo River, Pratt through truss, closed, 1905.

6. Chippewa Township, Isabella County, Michigan, Shepherd Road, Chippewa River, Pratt through truss (101'), 1901.

7. Holland Township, Ottawa County, Michigan, Dolph Road, Pratt pony truss (75'), c. 1908.

8. Shelburne, Coos County, New Hampshire, North Road, Androscoggin River, Pratt through truss (5 span), National Register of Historic Places, 1897.

9. Wallpack Center, Sussex County, New Jersey, back road, .2 miles east of Wallpack Center, Delaware National Recreational Area at the Water Gap, Pratt pony truss (64'6" long, 11' wide, wood decking, surviving bridgeplate, modified supports, railings and tension members), 1889.

10. Warrington, Belvidere, Warren County, New Jersey, Hanesburg Road, Paulins Kill, Pratt pony truss, 1886.


13. Williamsport, Pennsylvania, Memorial Avenue, Lycoming Creek, Camelback truss, 1889, environmental review performed in 1984.


15. Nashville, Tennessee, Church Street Viaduct, girder (much altered), 1896.

16. Goshen, Rockbridge County, Virginia, State Route 746, Calf-pasture River, Double Intersection Pratt (3 spans, 2 at 138'10", 1 at 120'10", 25'2" wide), 1890.

17. Goshen, Rockbridge County, Virginia, State Route 746, Calf-pasture River, Double Intersection Pratt (2 spans, 1 at 139', 1 at 122', 12' roadway), 1896.


19. Honaker, Russell County, Virginia, State Route 652, Clinch River, Single Intersection Pratt (2 spans, 1 at 112', 1 at 113', 11' wide), posted at eight tons, 1889.

20. North Charleston, Kanawha County, West Virginia, County Route 21/7, Pocatalico River, Pratt through truss (140' long), nominated to the National Register of Historic Places, closed to all but pedestrian traffic, 1898.

21. Huntington, Cabell County, West Virginia, County Route 17, Mud River, Pratt through truss (109'8" long), known locally as the "Blue Sulphur Bridge", posted at fifteen tons, 1888.

22. West Union, Doddridge County, West Virginia, County Route 52, South Fork Hughes River, Pratt pony truss (67'1" long), known locally as the "Oxford Truss", nominated to the National Register of Historic Places, posted at three tons, 1892.

Source: Responses from State Historic Preservation Officers when queried by letter, January 1983.
The following states responded that there were no surviving Groton-built bridges in their jurisdictions:

California
Georgia
Illinois
Indiana
Iowa
Maryland
Minnesota
North Carolina
South Carolina
South Dakota
Texas
Wisconsin

*The Pennsylvania bridge inventory has not been tabulated by bridge company, although the field work has been completed. A reasonable estimate of the number of Groton-built bridges surviving in the state is twenty given the proximity of the state, the existence of company field offices there and the record of bids and awards in Engineering News.*
3.36 Portland, Michigan, Bridge Street, Grand River, 2 span Pratt through truss, 1890, portal view.
3.37 Emmet Township, Michigan, F Drive North, Kalamazoo River, Pratt through truss, 1905, elevation, closed.
3.38 Nashville, Tenn., Church Street Viaduct, girder, 1896, connection with modern structure.

3.39 Nashville, Tenn., Church Street Viaduct, girder, 1896, longitudinal view.
CHAPTER III, APPENDIX B
EXTANT GROTON BRIDGES IN NEW YORK STATE

REGION 1

1. Bridge Identification Number (BIN) 3-30130-0, Albany County, 1.5 miles south of Bush, Rowe Road, Onesquethaw Creek, low Pratt (1 span, pin-connected, 12'4" wide (W) proposed by New York State Department of Transportation (NYSDOT) Engineering Research and Development Bureau as potentially eligible for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places), 1882.

2. BIN 3-30184-0, Essex County, .25 miles east of Crown Point Center, Fish Hatchery Road, South Putnam Creek, Pratt pony (43'), 1908.

3. BIN 3-30235-0, Essex County, .2 miles north of the Village of Keene, South Lacy Road, East Branch Ausable, High Pratt through truss (pin-connected, 123' long (L), 10'W), 1895.

4. BIN 3-20060-0, Greene County, 2 miles southwest of the hamlet of Aera, Shinglekill, Bald Hills Road, Warren low truss (proposed as NR eligible), 1897.

5. BIN 3-20114-0, Greene County, 2 miles northeast of Jewett Center, East Kill, Mill Hollow Road, Low Pratt (71'L), 1906.

6. BIN 3-30308-0, Greene County, 5 miles southeast of the Hamlet of Durham, CR 67A, Catskill Creek, Parker truss (pin-connected, 142'L, 16'2"W, decorative portals with bridgeplate over each), 1900.

7. BIN 2-20118-0, Greene County, Deming Road, Schoharie Creek, High Pratt (pin-connected, 94'L, 12'2"W), 1898.

8. BIN 3-30334-0, Rensselaer County, Johnsonville, CR111, Hoosick River, High Pratt (pin-connected, 198'L, 20'3"W), 1891.

9. BIN 2-2-286-0, Saratoga County, 4 miles east of Saratoga Springs, Burgoyne Road, Fish Creek, High Pratt (97'L,16'W), 1896.

10. BIN 3-30515-0, Warren County, 1 mile northwest of I87, Exit 24, River Road, Schroon River, low Pratt (89'L, plate survives), 1896.

11. BIN 3-30546-0, Warren County, .5 miles east Wevertown, Dillon Hill Road, Mill Creek, low Pratt half-hip (52'L, 11/1"W), 1889.
12. BIN 3-30573-0, Warren County, 2 miles west I87, Exit 23, CR 14, Milton Street, Schroon River, High Pratt (114'L,14'1"W), 1895.

13. BIN 4-41812-0, Washington County, Paynes Bridge over Champlain Canal, High Warren (156'L, p posed as NR eligible), n.d.

14. BIN 4-41813-0, Ridge Road over Champlain Canal.

15. BIN 4-41814-0, Washington County, Lock Six Road over Champlain Canal, low Warren (84'L,29'W), 1907.

16. BIN 9-20298-0, Schenectady County, abandoned road, Normanskill, low Pratt (60'L, plate survives), 1886.

REGION 2

17. BIN 3-30794-0, Herkimer County, .2 miles southwest of Wilmurt, Gray Wilmurt, Four Mile Creek, low Pratt half-hip (55'L, plate survives), 1895.

18. BIN 3-30795-0, Herkimer County, .1 mile south of Wilmurt, West Canada Creek, Gray Wilmurt, High Pratt (full-slope, pin-connected, 89'L, 18'W), 1895.

19. BIN 2-20524-0, Montgomery County, 2 miles northeast of Starkville, Moyer Road, Otsquago Creek, low Pratt half-hip (plate survives, 44'L), 1889.

20. BIN 2-20602-0, Oneida County, 2 miles north of Barneveld, Cook Road, Cincinnati Creek, High Pratt full-slope (140'L, 18'5"W), 1909.

21. BIN 3-31134-0, Oneida County, 3 miles northeast Lake Delta, River Road, Mohawk River, High Pratt full-slope (pin-connected, 88'L, 18'3"W), 1885.

22. BIN 4-42608-0, East Oneida over Barge Canal, Higginsville, Pennsylvania Petit truss (riveted, 300'L), 1908.

23. BIN 4-42609-0, East Oneida over Barge Canal, Cive Road, Pennsylvania Petit truss (riveted, 303'L), 1908.

REGION 3

24. BIN 2-20702-0, Cayuga County, 2 miles east of Genoa, Town Line Road, Little Salmon Creek, bowstring arch metal tied (21'L), date unknown.

25. BIN 3-31214-0, Cortland County, 4.5 miles east of Marathon, Landers Corners, Otselic River, High Pratt full-slope (pin-connected, 157'L, 13'9"W), 1890.
26. BIN 3-31231-0, Cortland County, 4.5 miles northeast of Cortland, East River Crossing, East Branch Tiogna River, Warren low truss with verticals (90'L, 16'5"W), 1913.

27. BIN 3-04745-0, Tompkins County, Ithaca, Forest Home Drive, Fall Creek, Double Intersection Warren (116'L, 16'W), 1909.

28. BID 3-20979-0, Tompkins County, Dryden, 1.5 miles northeast of Freeville, Red Mill Road, Fall Creek, low Pratt (2 spans of 59' each, bolted connections, pier added after originally built, plate survives), 1887.

29. BIN 3-31423-0, Tompkins County, Groton, Groton City Bridge, Fall Creek, low Pratt (70'L), 1877.

30. BIN 3-20987-0, Tompkins County, Dryden, Pinckney Road, Fall Creek, High Pratt, 1877.

31. BIN 3-21000-0, Tompkins County, Groton, Stevens Road, Owasco Inlet, rolled beam (34'L, altered), 1887.

32. BIN 3-04744-0, Tompkins County, Ithaca, Forest Home Drive, Fall Creek, low Warren with verticals (54'L), 1904.

33. BIN 3-31424-0, Groton, Champlain Road, Fall Creek, low Warren with verticals (68'L), 1910.

34. BIN 3-31440-0, Tompkins County, Ulysses, Waterburg Road, Taughannock Creek, concrete arch (76'L), 1911.

35. BIN 3-20999-0, Tompkins County, Groton, Old Stage Road, Fall Creek, plate girder (54'L), 1917.

REGION 4

36. BIN 3-32676-0, Livingston County, 3.2 miles northeast Reeds Corner, Everman Road, Canaseraga Creek, low Pratt (pin-connected, lattice railing, 74'L, plate survives), 1894.

37. BIN 3-31677-0, Livingston County, 2 miles northwest Danville, White Bridge Road, Canaseraga Creek, low Pratt (iron, wood plank deck, plate survives, 74'L), 1894.

38. BIN 3-31903-0, Orleans County, 1.5 miles northwest of Kent Bills Road, Marsh Creek, bowstring arch pony truss (47'L), 1878.

39. BIN 3-31968-0, Wyoming County, 1 mile north Pearl Creek, Crossman Road, Catka Creek, High Pratt half-hip (110'L, 14'W, proposed NR eligible), 1879.
40. BIN 4-44311-0, Monroe County, Lee Road, City Street, Erie Canal. Double Intersection Warren (155'L, 22'8"W, plate survives), 1907.

REGION 5

41. BIN 3-32252-0, Chautaugua County, 3 miles southeast Michais Ischua Creek, Reynolds Road, High Pratt (pin-connected, 97'L, 14'3"W), 1896.

42. BIN 2-21282-0, Chautaugua County, at Westfield, South Water Street, Chautauqua Creek, High Pratt full-slope (overhead bracing, end post decoration, 138'L, 16'W), n.d.

43. BIN 2-06091-0, Niagara County, Niagara Falls East, Pear Avenue, Cayuga Creek, High Pratt full slope (overhead bracing, pin-connected, 98'L, closed to traffic, open for pedestrians), n.d.

44. BIN 3-32319-0, Cattaraugus County, Bay State Road, Bay State Bridge, low Pratt half-hip (builder inferred by plate shape), 1896.

45. BIN 6-06485-0, Cattaraugus County, Cotton Road, Allegheny River, High Parker (2 spans, overhead bracing, pin-connected, 350'L, each span 173'L, 17'W), n.d.

REGION 6

46. BIN 2-21448-0, Allegheny County, .6 miles west of Caneadea, Caneadea Creek, Mill Street, High Pratt (pin-connected, decorative knee bracing, 116'L, 13'6"W), 1878.

47. BIN 3-33075-0, Allegheny County, Caneadea, Genesee River, East Hill Road, Camelback High Pratt (pin-connected, 246'L, 18'7"W, 1 span), 1903.

48. BIN 3-33071-0, Allegheny County, Ballard Road, Rush Creek, High Pratt (pin-connected, 119'L, 14'2"W), 1902.

49. BIN 2-21593-0, Schuyler County, 1 mile southeast Cayuta, Vern Dean Road, Cayuta Creek, low Warren (riveted, 57'L, lattice railing, 18'W), 1909.

50. BIN 2-21749-0, Steuben County, 1.6 miles south of Prattsburg, Waldo Road, Five Mile Creek, I-beam (early example, modified to I-beam, 38'L, 16'W), date in dispute.

51. BIN 2-21766-0, Steuben County, 3.2 miles west of Cambell, ext. of CR 12, Michigan Creek, low Pratt (39'L, plate survives), 1894.

52. BIN 2-21912-0, Tioga County, in Spencer, East Hill Road, Catatonk Creek, metal I-beam (37'L, bridge truss no longer connects to the working part of the structure), 1897.
53. BIN 2-21915-0, Tioga County, Waverly, Ithaca Street, Cayuta Creek, High Pratt (pin-connected, 107'L, 32'W, lattice on portal struts), 1880.

REGION 7

54. BIN 3-33571-0, Clinton County, 1.2 miles south Redford, CR 15 over Bed Road, Saranac River, High Pratt (109'8"L, 18'2"W, plate survives), 1898.

55. BIN 3-33578-0, Clinton County, Schuyler Falls, .9 miles southeast Cadyville, Goddeau Road, Saranac River, High Pratt (steel, 173'L, 18'3"W, paved wooden deck, plate survives), 1900.

56. BIN 3-33640-0, Clinton County, .1 mile southeast Mooers Forks, CR 25 Woods Falls, North Branch Great Chazy River, High Pratt (84'8"L, 25'W, open steel floor), 1887.

57. BIN 3-33471-0, Clinton County, 1.2 miles south Redford, Ore Bed Road, Saranac River, High Pratt (108'8"L, 18'2"W), 1898.

58. BIN 3-33739-0, Franklin County, 1.4 miles south Fort Covington, Little Salmon River, low Pratt (69'L, open steel floor, plate survives), 1890.

59. BIN 3-33762-0, Franklin County, 2.6 miles northwest of Malone, Corgin Road, Salmon River, High Pratt (78'L, 15'6"W, plate survives), 1900.

60. BIN 3-33842-0, Jefferson County, .9 miles northeast of Belleville, Ellisburg, Mather Hill Road, Sandy Creek, High Pratt (110'L, 18'W, plate survives), 1894.

61. BIN 3-33857-0, Jefferson County, 4 miles north of Worth Centre, CR 95, South Sandy Creek, High Pratt (111'L, 16'5"W, proposed NR eligible), 1890.

62. BIN 3-33878-0, Jefferson County, 3 miles east of Adams Centre, Main Street, Sandy Creek, High Pratt (110'L, 15'5"W, timber deck), 1899.

63. BIN 3-34009-0, Lewis County, .6 miles west of Deer River, Miller Road, Deer River, low Pratt (57'L, plate survives), 1890.

64. BIN 3-34010-0, Lewis County, 2.3 miles south Deer River, Old State Road, Deer River, High Pratt (97'L, 16'W, decorative portal, plate survives), 1891.

65. BIN 3-34011-0, Lewis County, 2.3 miles northeast of Copenhagen, Vorce Road, Deer River, low Pratt (2 spans, 65'L, 56'L), 1890.
66. BIN 2-22083-0, St. Lawrence County, 2.5 miles northwest Stockholm Court, Munson Road, St. Regis River, High Pratt (2 spans, 234'L, plank flooring, pedestrian crossing and snowmobile use only), 1893.

67. BIN 2-22124-0, St. Lawrence County, 6 miles southwest of North Gouven, Little Bow Road, Oswegatchie River, High Pratt (104'L, 14'W, closed), n.d.

68. BIN 2-25935-0, St. Lawrence County, .2 miles north of Elmdale, Lockie Road, Oswegatchie River, High Pratt (119'L, 17'W, plate survives), 1885.

69. BIN 3-22170-0, St. Lawrence County, 1 mile from Wegatchie, Chisolm Road, Oswegatchie River, High Pratt (polygonal top chord, Parker truss, timber floor, 159'L, 17'W), 1899.

70. BIN 3-34107-0, St. Lawrence County, 1 mile northeast of Wegatchie, Kearney Road, Oswegatchie River, High Pratt (147'L, 18'9"W, proposed NR eligible), 1886.

REGION 8

71. BIN 3-34434-0, Orange County, 2 miles northeast Bloomingburgh, Pettcaote Lane, Shawangunk Kill, High Pratt (98'L, 16'W, pin-connected), 1883.

72. BIN 3-34448-0, Orange County, 3.5 miles northeast Cuddesbackville, Paradise Road, Delaware and Hudson Canal, High Pratt (126'L, 12'W, pin-connected, latticed portal, laced verticals, bowed lateral struts), 1910.

73. BIN 2-22423-0, Ulster County, 2 miles northeast Lewbeach, Barnhart Road, Beaver Kill, low Pratt full-slope (86'L, pin-connected), 1895.

74. BIN 3-34723-0, Ulster County, 1.4 miles east Wawarsing, Fordemoor Road, Rondout Creek, High Pratt (150'L, 18'9"W, latticed portal), 1896.

REGION 9

75. BIN 3-34916-0, Broome County, 3 miles south Nineveh, CR 541, Susquehanna River, High Pratt (4 spans, pin-connected, 602'L, each span 150'L, proposed NR eligible), 1890.

76. BIN 3-35050-0, Chenango County, 3.4 miles northwest Guilford Center, Creek Fred Smith, Kent Brook, low Pratt full-slope (82'L, latticed railing), 1894.

77. BIN 3-35130-0, Chenango County, 1.6 miles north of Pitcher, Hydeville Road, Mud Creek, low Pratt (42'L), 1895.
78. BIN 3-35133-0, Chenango County, 3.1 miles southwest of South Otselic, Mill Road, Otselic River, low Pratt (82'L), 1883.

79. BIN 3-36043-0, Delaware County, Unadilla, Bridge Street, Susquehanna River, High Parker (210'L, 27'W, pin-connected), 1894.

80. BIN 2-22766-0, Otsego County, .6 miles northeast of Index, Phoenix Road, Susquehanna River, High Pratt (83'L, pin-connected), 1895.

81. BIN 2-22767-0, Otsego County, Village of Cooperstown, Mill Street, Susquehanna River, High Pratt (95'L, pin-connected), 1887.

82. BIN 2-22822-0, Otsego County, 5 miles northeast of Rockdale, River Road spur, Unadilla River, High Pratt (179'L, 16'6"W), 1890.

83. BIN 3-35334-0, Otsego County, CR 35, Cheng Valley Creek, low Pratt (59'L), 1895.

84. BIN 3-35653-0, Sullivan County, 1.8 miles northwest of Glen Wild, Grey Road, Neversink River, High Pennsylvania truss (196'L, 18'8"W, pin-connected), 1887.

85. BIN 3-35724-0, Sullivan County, at Lew Beach, TH 21, Mary Smith, Beaverkill, High Pratt (101'L, pin-connected), 1895.

Sources: Engineering Research and Development Bureau
New York State Department of Transportation.
Tompkins County Highway Department.
Canal Museum, Syracuse, New York.
3.40 Keene, N.Y., South Lacy Road, East Branch Ausable River, Pratt through truss, 1895, longitudinal view.
3.41 Johnsonville, N.Y., CR 111, Hoosick River, Pratt through truss, 1891, longitudinal view.

3.43 Genoa, N.Y., Town Line Road, Little Salmon Creek, bowstring arch, n.d., longitudinal view.

3.44 Genoa, N.Y., Town Line Road, Little Salmon Creek, bowstring arch, n.d., approach.
3.45 Landers Corners, N.Y., Otselic River, Pratt through truss, 1890, longitudinal view.

3.46 Landers Corners, N.Y., Otselic River, Pratt through truss, 1890, portal.
3.47 Groton, N.Y., Old Stage Road, Fall Creek, plate girder, 1917, bridgeplate.
3.48 Orleans County, N.Y., 1.5 miles northwest of Kent Bills Road, Marsh Creek, bowstring arch, 1879, elevation.

3.49 Orleans County, N.Y., 1.5 miles northwest of Kent Bills Road, Marsh Creek, bowstring arch, 1879, approach.
3.50 Pearl Creek, N.Y., Crossman Road, Catka Creek, Pratt through truss, 1879, potential NR, elevation.

3.51 Pearl Creek, N.Y., Crossman Road, Catka Creek, Pratt through truss, 1879, potential NR, end approach.
3.52 Pearl Creek, N.Y., Crossman Road, Catka Creek, Pratt through truss, 1879, potential NR, knee bracing.

3.53 Pearl Creek, N.Y., Crossman Road, Catka Creek, Pratt through truss, 1879, potential NR, latticed portal, bridgeplate.
3.54 Westfield, N.Y., South Water Street, Chatauqua Creek, Pratt through truss, n.d., elevation.

3.55 Westfield, N.Y., South Water Street, Chatauqua Creek, Pratt through truss, n.d., end approach.
3.56 Cattauraugus County, N.Y., Cotton Road, Allegheny River, Parker truss, n.d., elevation.

3.57 Cattauraugus County, N.Y., Cotton Road, Allegheny River, Parker truss, n.d., approach.
3.58 Caneadea, N.Y., East Hill Road, Genesee River, Camelback truss, 1903, elevation.
3.59 Schuyler Falls, N.Y., Goddeau Road, Saranac River, Pratt through truss, 1900, elevation.

3.60 Schuyler Falls, N.Y., Goddeau Road, Saranac River, Pratt through truss, 1900, approach.
3.61 Schuyler Falls, N.Y., Goddeau Road, Saranac River, Pratt through truss, 1900, footing, stone abutment.
3.62 Saranac, N.Y., Ore Bed Road, Saranac River, Pratt through truss, 1898, elevation.

3.63 Saranac, N.Y., Ore Bed Road, Saranac River, Pratt through truss, 1898, approach.
3.64 Ellisburg, N.Y., Mather Hill Road, Sandy Creek, Pratt through truss, 1894, elevation.

3.65. Ellisburg, N.Y., Mather Hill Road, Sandy Creek, Pratt through truss, 1894, approach.
3.66 Worth, N.Y., CR 95, South Sandy Creek, Pratt through truss, 1890, potential NR, elevation.

3.67 Worth, N.Y., CR 95, South Sandy Creek, Pratt through truss, 1890, potential NR, approach.
3.68 Gouverneur, N.Y., Lockie Road, Oswegatchie River, Pratt through truss, 1885, elevation.

3.69 Gouverneur N.Y., Lockie Road, Oswegatchie River, Pratt through truss, 1885, portal.
3.70 Wegatchie, N.Y., Kearney Road, Oswegatchie River, Pratt through truss, 1886, potential NR, elevation.

3.71 Wegatchie, N.Y., Kearney Road, Oswegatchie River, Pratt through truss, 1886, potential NR, portal.
### TABLE 14
IDENTIFICATION OF BRIDGE MAKER—NEW YORK STATE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GENERAL BRIDGE TYPE</th>
<th>TOTAL # OF BRIDGES</th>
<th>% IDENTIFIED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High Pratt</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Pratt</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Pratt</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Warren</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Warren</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete Arch</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masonry Arch</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: girder, slab, moveable, etc.</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: William C. Chamberlin, Civil Engineer III, Engineering Research and Development Bureau, New York State Department of Transportation.
TABLE 15
DATE OF CONSTRUCTION OF EXTANT BRIDGES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th># OF BRIDGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1877</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1878</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1879</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1880</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1881</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1882</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1883</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1884</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1885</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1886</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1887</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1888</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1889</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1890</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1891</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1892</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1893</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1894</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1895</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1896</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1897</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1898</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1899</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1901</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1902</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1903</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1904</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1905</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1906</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1907</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1908</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1909</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1910</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1911</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1913</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1917</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ENDNOTES, CHAPTER III

1 New York State historian and engineering researcher, William C. Chamberlin, indicates that these features may have been added to the bridge in later years for strengthening. If original, in his view, the joint at the upper chord will form the shape of a St. Andrew's cross.
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CHAPTER V

Case Studies and
Practical Problems in
Bridge Preservation
By far and away the most common option for the preservation of an historic bridge exercised today is the demolition of the span and the salvaging of the nameplate, which is then either given to a historical society or retained in the headquarters of local highway officials. This strategy, while having ample basis in practice, has no real basis in law. Mitigation, using the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation procedures under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, is the most commonly used preservation alternative when a bridge is eligible for or listed in the National Register of Historic Places and if federal funds are involved in the construction of the replacement span. Additionally, if another federally funded project has an impact on the historic bridge, the Advisory Council involvement may be triggered. For the most part, what results from this involvement is a solution which allows the demolition of the span while requiring that it be recorded, often to the standards of the Park Service.

A number of other options are available for consideration by state and local governments wishing to preserve an historic bridge. Eleven strategies have been outlined by the Virginia Highway and Transportation Research Council in its report, Methods of Modifying Historic Bridges for Contemporary Use, published in 1980, including upgrading the bridge at its present site, modifying by widening, supplementing the bridge by another historic span or a parallel replacement, changing from vehicular use, moving the bridge to a less demanding setting in terms of traffic flow, using the features of the old bridge in the construction of the replacement, storing the bridge for potential re-
assembly, declaring the bridge an "historic ruin", documentation, adapting the bridge as a bike path or developing some other adaptive use plan. The adaptive use possibilities for which the study provided designs include a pedestrian crossing, fishing pier, historic landmark, picnic shelter, restaurant, souvenir shop, play structure, camp shelter, roadside information building, museum or museum piece.

DeLony has grouped preservation options for historic bridges into five categories: restoration for continued use in situ, restoration in situ for pedestrian or some other adaptive use, relocation and rehabilitation at a new site, retention of the features of the bridge in a new span and documentation and recording prior to demolition. Newlon and Zuk agree with the hierarchy of preferred actions as presented by DeLony.

A most important recent example of bridge restoration is that of the Second Street Bridge in Allegan, Michigan, significant in that local officials were able to garner the use of federal funds for the restoration work, even though the finished restoration would not meet modern standards. The federal funding used was from the Rural and Secondary Roads program rather than the Critical Bridge Fund, a decision designed to reduce the precedent setting nature of this federal funding. The span is an 1886 King Bridge Company wrought iron double intersection Pratt (Whipple-Murphy) truss, 225 feet in length and eighteen feet wide.

Using ultrasonic testing of the pinned connections and truss members, the engineering firm of Wilkins and Wheaton found the structure
to be sound. The deck, however, was found to be weakened and there was found to be corrosion of the vertical members. Replacement of the bridge, nominated to the National Register of Historic Places in February of 1980, was estimated to cost $1.2 million. Its restoration, approved for funding by the Federal Highway Administration in January of 1981, will cost $480,000. The project includes the replacement of floor beams, stringers, the wooden deck, vertical web members and several bottom chords. The original diagonals and top chords and the remainder of the bottom chords will remain. The bridge will carry one-way traffic out of Allegan.

Other efforts to restore bridges for continued use have been reported. Upon pressure from the Kent County Council for Historic Preservation, the City Commission of Grand Rapids, Michigan agreed to repair the 1886 Sixth Street Bridge, rather than to replace it at a cost of $1.2 million. Citizens of Newbury, Vermont and Haverhill, New Hampshire raised $60,000 for a surety bond and $1 million in liability insurance within four days to avoid the demolition of the Bedell Covered Bridge of 1866 planned by the State of New Hampshire.

Epoxy wood flours were tested and used to repair the Meem's Covered Bridge, set on fire on Halloween of 1976, rather than to demolish it. The bridge, ten miles north of Mt. Jackson in Shenandoah County, Virginia, at 200 feet in length, is Virginia's longest covered bridge and the only one of the two remaining which carries traffic. This Burr Arch truss was privately built in the 1890's. The restoration work was directed by Dr. Emory E. Kemp of West Virginia University.
In Pittsburgh, a city and surrounding county of 1,700 bridges, Allegheny County began a five year repair, replacement and redesign program in 1976 to study the 400 county-owned bridges. Two hundred of the spans were deemed deficient. The continuous maintenance program adopted by the county has been successful enough for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to consider its use in other jurisdictions.\(^{10}\) The problem of bridge repair in the nation's largest cities is particularly acute because of the number of bridges involved and the cost of their rehabilitation.

Other citizen's groups have championed preservation options which involved the relocation of the span. The Miami Purchase Association for Historic Preservation, for example, led the fight to dismantle a King Bridge Company bowstring arch truss of 1871 at Todd's Ford Creek, Baldwin Crossing, Ohio. The work took fourteen hours and cost $9,000. It is planned to restore the bridge and relocate it to Sharon Woods Village, a nineteenth century museum town.\(^{11}\)

The City of Beacon, New York dismantled a seventy foot bowstring arch truss built by the Phoenix Bridge Company over the Fishkill Creek at Churchill Street. This bridge has been painted and placed in storage for later relocation.\(^{12}\) Federal funds to be used for the replacement span necessitated the involvement of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation and a Memorandum of Agreement was reached which specified the replacement of the bridge.\(^{13}\)

The Little Pipe Bridge of c.1875, a bowstring arch truss built by the Wrought Iron Bridge Company, was moved from its setting in Detour,
Maryland to the Cunningham Falls State Park north of Catoctin, Maryland. Similarly, the Virginia Department of Transportation moved a King bowstring arch truss of c.1878 from Roaring Branch Run in Bedford, Virginia to a state park. This is a popular option for the preservation of the smaller historic bridges and successful because the legal issue of change in ownership is avoided.

The Dehmel Road Bridge of 1907, a 151 foot Pratt truss built by the Joliet Bridge and Iron Company was moved to a new location by citizens in Frankemuth, Michigan. One citizen in Washington State used her savings to forestall the demolition of the Pasco-Kennewick, or Golden Rivet Bridge. The cause was joined by the National Trust for Historic Preservation in an amicus brief. The legal action was decided in favor of the preservation of the span and the Federal Highway Administration has been directed by the U.S. Court of Appeals to re-examine alternatives to the demolition of the span and to develop a preservation plan for the bridge.

In certain instances, features of the bridge can be retained. This is the case with the Elm Street Bridge of 1870 in Woodstock, Vermont which was slated to be replaced at a cost of $400,000. Because the local area had been designated as the Woodstock National Historic District, all the protections of federal law applied. However, after the processes provided for under the law were completed, the decision was made to build a new span with the old trusses attached to the side of the new bridge.

The Keysville Road Bridge, a 1873 two-span bowstring arch truss
built by the Wrought Iron Company and nominated to the National Register of Historic Places, was demolished in 1977. The bridge was stored and the parts later used in the reconstruction of a single span bow-string arch in Maryland's Cunningham Falls State Park. The Society of Industrial Archeologists recorded the bridge with measured drawings and photographs prior to its demolition. 19

The Central New York Park and Recreation Commission has made a commitment to the use of period iron bridges for pedestrian walkways in the Old Erie Canal State Park. The Canajoharie Creek Bridge, a two span sixty-two foot segmented bowstring arch was so relocated. This bridge was built in 1875, it is thought by the Phoenix Bridge Company. 20

There have been interesting adaptive use plans suggested for historic bridges but few actual examples to cite. One, at Hancock, New York, which has been undertaken is the conversion of one span of a multi-span deck truss of the Orange and Western Railroad to the Arthur Zegger restaurant. 21

In larger cities, proposals have been advanced for the conversion of bridges to multi-use commercial districts. The Big Four Bridge of 1929, a five-span Pratt truss of 2,525 feet in length carrying the Cleveland, Cincinnati, Chicago and St. Lawrence Railroad over the Ohio River at Louisville, Kentucky, has been planned for in that regard. It has been proposed that the bridge be used for shops, offices, housing and pedestrian malls. The scheme was advanced by Corrando Associates Engineering Planning Consultants of Louisville. 22 Architect I.M. Pei designed a cinema to be housed in the vaulted arches of the
abutments of the Manhattan side of New York City's Queensboro Bridge of 1899. 23

Lacking the ability to rehabilitate a bridge or retain it for some use other than transportation service, documentation of the span prior to its demolition is encouraged. One of the most sophisticated documentation plans was developed for the Sutcliff Bridge in Iowa City. Built in 1898 by the Iowa Bridge Company at a cost of $12,000, this bridge, at 527 feet, is the longest of the eleven surviving Parker trusses. Its replacement was approved by the State Historic Preservation Officer in January of 1980 and it was determined eligible for the National Register of Historic Places in August of 1981. Documentation to cost from $4,000 to $6,000 was agreed to after federal involvement mandating a research report, schematic drawings and large format photography. This plan for documentation was approved by the federal government, who sanctioned the contractor, Dennett Muessig and Associates, Ltd. of Iowa City, and will be paid for by the county. 24

Research to determine the techniques for the preservation and rehabilitation of historic bridges is extremely important and the dissemination of the findings of such engineering studies to the professional engineering community will advance the cause of bridge retention. As they led the way in the inventory of historic bridges, Howard Newlon and his colleagues at the Virginia Highway and Transportation Research Council have begun this work. The Federal Highway Administration has also contracted for similar research. 25
Newlon's publication, Criteria for Preservation and Adaptive Use of Historic Highway Structures, A Trial Rating System for Truss Bridges and the later Methods of Modifying Historic Bridges for Contemporary Use, the more technical of the two, have broken ground for the engineering community. Newlon cautions highway engineers against attempting to widen an historic through truss; "widening of such trusses is extremely difficult and impractical." Additionally, strengthening the bridge to carry modern loads is difficult, according to Newlon. To do this four techniques, arrived at by computer simulation of the stresses placed on truss members using various improvement schemes, have been suggested by Newlon's research: the use of an auxiliary truss; reinforcement under the deck with long beams; post-tensioning, the addition of rods along lower chords and added reinforcement and individual reinforcement of selected members as needed. They warn, however, "In the case of a very important historic bridge, even the most discrete reinforcement might be undesirable." If reinforcement is to be done, Newlon recommends using elements that are clearly recognizable as new, rather than modifying weak truss members on a case-by-case basis.

Newlon's research recommends a hierarchy of choices for the preservation of a bridge with its rehabilitation for use at its present site being the preferred option. If this is not possible, Newlon calls for the construction of a second parallel span if traffic requires it and moving the bridge for continued use under conditions of lighter traffic. Beyond these vehicular uses, Newlon and his staff suggest
adaptive use to an "architectural use", preservation of the bridge as an historic ruin, disassembly and marking for storage and finally, the recording of the bridge if it must be demolished. 29

The 1978 study by consultants to FHWA, Extending the Service Life of Existing Bridges by Increasing Their Load Carrying Capacity, also contributes to the body of literature available to the engineering community. Examining rehabilitation techniques to increase load capacity; improve geometrics including visibility, width and height, and alignment; and to correct mechanical deficiencies of historic bridges, the consultants, the engineering firm of Byrd, Tallamy, McDonald and Lewis of Falls Church, Virginia, also concluded that widening is not a practical solution. 30 In agreement with Newlon and his colleagues, Byrd Tallamy et al suggest post-tensioning, the addition of floor beams or stringers or the modification of the floor system from a simple to a continuous one and the replacement of defective members. In addition, they propose the use of a King Post truss system under the floor beams for strengthening of the span. 31 Geometrics can be improved by adjustments to the portal and sway frames of a through truss or actually by lowering floor systems to provide greater vertical clearance, they suggest. 32 The study also recommends the reduction of dead load, or the weight of the bridge itself, by the use of lighter weight deck materials and the replacement of heavy concrete parts such as parapets, sidewalks, curbs and median barriers. 33

In examining 140 bridges in five states, the firm found the principal reasons for structural deficiencies were inadequate maintenance
because of a lack of funds, wear from environmental exposure and general usage and design defects. They noted:

In steel structures, paint system breakdown permits corrosion of the base metal to begin. Once started, the process accelerates as larger areas become exposed. Eventually the metal corrosion can result in section loss serious enough to have an impact on the load-carrying capacity of the member. If left uncorrected, the process will continue, resulting in the collapse of the bridge. 34

Additional research is needed, they suggest, to develop new techniques for strengthening bridges, decision criteria for judging what repairs to undertake and for choosing between rehabilitation and replacement and new geometric standards for bridge safety based on the volume and type of use. 35
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CONCLUSION

Bridges are important structures to the economic viability of a society. They change the relative accessibility of places and open areas for development and uses not previously available. They reduce the time and effort of travel. Bridges are among the most critical structures in the man-made environment.

The history of bridges is a long one, having begun in ancient times. The stature of empires past was often measured by their bridges and other transportation structures, and thus bridges have generated some of the most significant monuments remaining from periods of man's history.

In addition to their practical importance, bridges are awesomely exciting physical structures, evoking primitive fears at the same time as stimulating man to higher technological possibilities. Bridges are landmarks; the most famous bridges are known throughout the world and cities are known for their bridges. Bridges are as much landmarks as are important buildings or statues and the ordinary citizen can appreciate the engineering and technological skill required to build such important structures as the Golden Gate or Brooklyn Bridge. Bridges tickle the fancy and excite the mind. They have shape, they soar, they elevate and they have beauty. Bridges as structures on the landscape contribute to a nation's heritage and are to be counted among its important cultural resources.
Bridges and other transportation improvements were critical in shaping the development of the United States and as such are deserving of much more of our attention than they have been given. In reviewing their history, it has been found that bridges have shaped development and made other construction possible. This thesis has attempted to prove that position and advance it by a detailed review of one late nineteenth century bridge firm whose activities are not of negligible importance in the context of others operative at the same time. The skills at marketing and prefabrication of the Groton firm are what the preceeding pages reveal.

The history of technology and industry of the age has been shown by a detailed study of an organization such as the Groton Bridge Company and much more has been learned about the organization of the iron and steel industry in an emerging industrial economy. This critical period of the development of our economy allows us to rise above the study of the Groton Bridge Company to give a larger significance to this work and understand industrializing America. This was a period characterized by changing technology and changing organization of economic activity.

Why were so many bridges built during this period? Incomes had risen after the Civil War in the United States and counties were able to build bridges to open areas. Raw materials were plentiful and, therefore, the price was low. There were economic justifications for putting bridges were they were built. Communities celebrated bridge openings for good reason—they meant business, communication and prosp-
perity. What makes the period in which the Groton Bridge Company operated so interesting is the entrepreneurial talent these firms displayed and the pace of the era—slashing through the nation on iron rails, crossing boundaries, linking natural resources to places of production and moving products to markets. The Groton Iron Bridge Company, and its sister firms, were basically small town family enterprises that managed to operate profitably in national marketplaces by taking advantage of the nation’s new infrastructure they helped create. The success of the firm itself was critical to the health and economic viability of the Groton community, its people and its growth.

What does this study mean in itself and what is its value in the larger context? First, it puts in one place most of the evidence available from documentary sources about the firm and its operation. This thesis is more than a history however, as it locates and describes the bridges constructed by the firm which still survive. The information assembled thus provides a basis for informed decision-making by those who are evaluating what remains from the era of iron and steel truss bridge building.

Data from this study has already been used in Stage One environmental assessments by engineering firms evaluating the historic significance of an older bridge when the construction of a new span is being contemplated. Ultimately these reviews will lead to decisions about whether the historic span will be retained. This thesis now allows Groton Bridge Company bridges to be compared to one another
and to those built by other firms. We know the number of a specific type that remain, their aesthetic qualities and the rarity or scarcity of form and design that they exhibit. These data have the most obvious implications for bridge preservation in New York State because of the number of Groton spans there and the importance of the firm in the overall history of bridge building in the State, however, the information will also be useful to decision-makers in other states.

In the most conservative of terms, it can be estimated that the Groton Bridge Company built over 3,000 bridges. 355 were built between 1877 and 1885. We know that the company had contracts for 396 bridges in the Fall of 1896 alone. If we assume that 300 bridges were built by the firm each year in the last decade of the nineteenth century, then many more than 3,000 were constructed by the Groton company, yet only 107 remain, perhaps four percent.

This thesis also discusses the range of possibilities for the retention of historic bridges within the framework of existing federal and state systems of protection and has found that system to be inadequate. While greater attention has been given to bridges as elements of the nation's architectural and industrial heritage, much more can and should be done. While more state inventories of bridges are being carried out and their findings published, the percentage of bridges being identified as historic and worthy of protection is pitiously small. Laxity in following up with nominations of bridges to the National Register of Historic Places or state registers when the
findings of the inventories recommend them is also evident.
Federal law and administrative interpretation allowing the use of
federal funds for historic bridge maintenance remains deliberately
murky.

Case examples have been presented which demonstrate that bridge
restoration can be a cost effective and safe practice. However, the
sanction of the federal government is needed to assure those communi-
ties who wish that funds are available to retain historic spans in a
way that does not interfere with economic needs or the smooth flow
of transportation.

What does the future hold? Efforts need to be made to promote the
adaptive use of bridges, to develop a national exchange system--
perhaps based on the model Tennessee "Adopt-A-Bridge" program, to
further develop the technology for the repair of historic bridges and
to disseminate it and to determine standards which are acceptable both
to engineers and to historic preservationists for the repair of an
historic bridge. This latter effort is beginning under the sponsor-
ship of the Transportation Research Board at a two day meeting called
for May 8-9, 1985. When the art of the possible is known, there will
be hope for the retention of more of these rapidly disappearing
resources, symbols of an earlier era yet still functional and meaning-
ful in modern life.
AWARDS OF RECORD BY YEAR

1877

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLACE</th>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rochester, N.Y.</td>
<td>wooden bridge</td>
<td>$28,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandusky, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parma, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derringler, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$584.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiproman's Creek, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throops, N.Y.</td>
<td>bridge and piling</td>
<td>$400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alabama, N.Y.</td>
<td>bridge and piling</td>
<td>$800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Pitcher, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marathon, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venice, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loraine Creek, N.Y.</td>
<td>iron bridge</td>
<td>$1,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Lansing, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philadelphia, Pa.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenarn, Fishkill, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fishkill, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadiagua, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hermitage Creek, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otselic, N.Y., Otselic Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td>$432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lapeer Bridge, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn Yan, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Natta Mill, Ithaca, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1878

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLACE</th>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Varna, Dryden, N.Y.</td>
<td>wrought iron truss, 140'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groton bridges, Tompkins County, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n.p.</td>
<td>wooden bridge</td>
<td>$15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carleton, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elmira, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groham, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linklaen, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Parma, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lima, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamesville, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rookwood, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine Plains, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truxton, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onondaga, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadia, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homer, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ames Creek, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Groton, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico Creek, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgetown, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venice, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn Yan, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshville Creek, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locke, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geneva, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>West Groton, N.Y.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webster Creek and Groton City, N.Y. (2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groton, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fessenden Chp., N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clrmen (?) Creek, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paterson Creek, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgetown, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ancrane, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venice, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antwerp, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon Creek, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryon, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moravia, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lima and West Bloomfield, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geneo, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skaneateles, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theresa, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parma, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honeoye, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homer, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richville, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorham, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livonia, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer Hill, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tioga County, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pratt through truss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ithaca Street</td>
<td></td>
<td>pin-connected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>over Cayuta Creek</td>
<td></td>
<td>32' wide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locke, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pearl Creek, N.Y. $950
Pearl Creek, N.Y. $1,700
East Carleton, N.Y. $2,030
North Lansing, N.Y. repair bridge
Ossiss (?)
n.p. 30' bridge and piling
Waverly, N.Y. $850
East Waverly Creek
Dansville, N.Y. $315
Lima, N.Y. piling for 3 bridges $360
Asbury, N.Y. 48' $432
North Lansing, N.Y. 32' $228
Town of Hartland, Jeddo, N.Y. $31,350
Reids Corner, N.Y. $220
Canajoharie, N.Y. $508
Pitcher, N.Y. $610
Danby, N.Y. $300
Rushford, N.Y. $330
Penn Yan, N.Y. $555.95
Locke, N.Y. $470
DeKalb Junction, N.Y. $560
Carleton, N.Y. $1,450
Avon, N.Y. Clarks Mills $600
Antwerp, N.Y. $975

1881

Wellsville, N.Y. $1,000
Morts Corners, N.Y. $365
Ithaca, N.Y. $500
Cincinnati, Oh. $338.82
Camillus, N.Y. 
Southport, N.Y. $1,607
Slaterville Creek, N.Y. $600
Bethlehem Plank Road Company $925
Moravia, N.Y. $800
Dryden, N.Y., Willow Glen $930
Lubins, N.Y., Apulia 2 bridges $600
Homer, N.Y. $400
Lima, N.Y. $300
Lima and Bloomfield, N.Y. $1,400
Germantown, N.Y. $1,550
Franklin, N.Y. $627
Commission Bridge $775
Georgetown, N.Y. $700
Hartland, N.Y. 3 bridges $2,175
Amboy Bridge $1,700
Messena, N.Y. $5,616
Springville, N.Y. $2,620
Adams Station, N.Y. $125
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Contract Sum ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alfred Center, N.Y.</td>
<td>$670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Salmon Creek, N.Y.</td>
<td>$440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portlandville, N.Y.</td>
<td>$1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ithaca Corporation, N.Y.</td>
<td>$2,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffalo, N.Y.</td>
<td>$665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGranville, N.Y.</td>
<td>$369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Gertie's</td>
<td>$933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Ettens</td>
<td>$803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>contract, March 1882</strong></td>
<td><strong>$800</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornell University</td>
<td>$3,475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherman, N.Y.</td>
<td>$950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groton, N.Y.</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton, N.Y.</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuba, N.Y.</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Scotland, N.Y.</td>
<td>$1,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byersville, N.Y.</td>
<td>$1,166.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fryingham (?), Mass.</td>
<td>$775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLean, N.Y.</td>
<td>$635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northville, N.Y.</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scandaga River</td>
<td>2 spans, 150'ea.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada, N.Y.</td>
<td>$265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartland, N.Y.</td>
<td>$850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfred, N.Y.</td>
<td>$385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Valley, N.Y.</td>
<td>$925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garoya, N.Y.</td>
<td>$700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otselic, N.Y.</td>
<td>$330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson's Creek, N.Y.</td>
<td>$340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cazenovia, N.Y.</td>
<td>$459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russell, N.Y.</td>
<td>$1,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dryden, N.Y.</td>
<td>$450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Burlington, N.Y.</td>
<td>$625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theresa, N.Y.</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellensburgh, N.Y.</td>
<td>$950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dryden, N.Y., Freeville</td>
<td>$450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venice, N.Y.</td>
<td>$625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starkey, N.Y.</td>
<td>$725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locke, N.Y.</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hornellsville, N.Y.</td>
<td>$2,225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root, N.Y.</td>
<td>$1,825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medina, N.Y.</td>
<td>$700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horseheads, N.Y.</td>
<td>$1,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schuylerville, N.Y.</td>
<td>$2,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linklaen, N.Y.</td>
<td>$790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otselic, N.Y.</td>
<td>$450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dundee, N.Y.</td>
<td>$450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moscow, N.Y.</td>
<td>$450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burlington Flats, N.Y.</td>
<td>$450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groverville, N.Y.</td>
<td>$450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porter, N.Y.</td>
<td>$525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malone, N.Y.</td>
<td>$215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westville, N.Y.</td>
<td>$2,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oriskiny, N.Y. Powells Mills</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcellus, N.Y.</td>
<td>$700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erin, N.Y.</td>
<td>$220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otego, N.Y.</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon, N.Y.</td>
<td>$240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starkville, N.Y.</td>
<td>$252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cazenovia, N.Y., Woden Mill Company</td>
<td>$425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danube, N.Y.</td>
<td>$270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warsaw, N.Y.</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ludlowville, N.Y.</td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeville, N.Y.</td>
<td>$650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moravia, N.Y.</td>
<td>$800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lima, N.Y.</td>
<td>$1,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Hill, N.Y.</td>
<td>$1,575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell Hall, N.Y.</td>
<td>$950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Fulton, N.Y.</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitcher, N.Y.</td>
<td>$960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton, N.Y.</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell Hall, N.Y.</td>
<td>$950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posten, N.Y.</td>
<td>$400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt. Morrisony, N.Y.</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charlton, N.Y.</td>
<td>$550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richmond</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schenectady, N.Y.</td>
<td>$1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elmira, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genoa, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newfane, N.Y.</td>
<td>$3,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seneca Falls, N.Y.</td>
<td>$300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cazenovia, N.Y.</td>
<td>$410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellicottville, N.Y.</td>
<td>$535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohocton, N.Y.</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rushford, N.Y.</td>
<td>$1,175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tabery, N.Y.</td>
<td>$440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ransomville, N.Y.</td>
<td>$420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middleport, N.Y.</td>
<td>$350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Valley, N.Y.</td>
<td>$350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischnor (?), N.Y.</td>
<td>$410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n.p.</td>
<td>$3,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chylerville (?), N.Y.</td>
<td>$730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honeoye, N.Y.</td>
<td>$800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattaraugus, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rushville, N.Y.</td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prattsburg, N.Y.</td>
<td>$1,635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gouerneur Bridge (N.Y.)</td>
<td>$2,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockholm Bridge (N.Y.)</td>
<td>$2,628</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Homer, N.Y.  
Barton, N.Y.  $2,300  
Davison, Mich.  $540  
Alden, N.Y.  $350  
Merewith, N.Y.  $540  
Rishford, N.Y.  $1,175  
Glenville  

1884  
Bloomfield  
Springport  
Homer  
East Waverly, N.Y.  $300  
Lansing, N.Y.  
Waterloo, N.Y.  $785  
Wells, N.Y.  $1,500  
n.p.  $490  
Otselic, N.Y.  $375  
Buffalo, N.Y.  $1,282.50  
Canadigua, N.Y.  $762.50  
Dauneelius Station, N.Y.  $425  
East Bloomfield, N.Y.  $1,150  
Schnecktady, N.Y.  $3,075.70  
Otselic, N.Y.  $275  
n.p.  1 bridge  $2,300  
Cattaraugus, N.Y.  $3,000  
Weedsport, N.Y.  $6,600  
Cato, N.Y.  $1,650  
Richmond Creek, N.Y.  $800  
Fort Ann, N.Y.  $625  

Goshen, N.Y.  $1,000  
Potter Center, N.Y.  $1,235  
Moravia, N.Y.  $225  
Lima and Bloomfield, N.Y.  $1,850  
Groton, N.Y.  $800  
Lockport, N.Y.  $994  
Canadia, N.Y.  $425  
Pultney, N.Y.  $1,280  
Throops, N.Y.  $266  
Cazenovia, N.Y.  2 bridges  $565  
Union, N.Y.  $225  
McGrawville, N.Y.  $1,100  
Union Valley, N.Y.  $150  
Alabama, N.Y.  $1,850  
Towles, N.Y.  $750  
Murray, N.Y.  $300  
Lockport, N.Y.  $150  
Westleydon, N.Y.  $1,170  

$1,280  
$266  
$565  
$225  
$1,170  
$1,280  
$300  
$150  
$750  
$1,850  
$425  
$1,150  
$625  
$6,600  
$1,650  
$800  
$3,000  
$375  
$1,282.50  
$762.50  
$425  
$1,150  
$3,075.70  
$375  
$1,235  
$225  
$1,850  
$800  
$994  
$425  
$1,280  
$266  
$565  
$225  
$1,100  
$150  
$1,850  
$750  
$300  
$150  
$1,170
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Bridges</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crittenden, N.Y.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanwishes Corners</td>
<td></td>
<td>$950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niles, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eaton, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summit Station, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lansing, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summit Hill, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trenton, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antwerp, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owasco and Fleming N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherburne, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn Yan, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassau, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Woodstock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willissy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peruville, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trumansburg, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davison, Mich.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lockport, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,377.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>scale girder, carriage girder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4-10' beams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6&quot; beams under carriage roadway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLean, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trenton, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Parma, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n.p.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Byron, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throops, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadea, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadea, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadea, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pikes, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geneseo, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rushford, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Bloomfield, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geneseo, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lima, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macedonia, N.Y.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$1,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youngstown, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macedonia, N.Y.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$6,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lawrenceville, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Truxton, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bliss, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westfield, Pa.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td>Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andover, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etna, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royalton, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelly, N.Y.</td>
<td>4 bridges</td>
<td>$734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medina, N.Y.</td>
<td>2 bridges</td>
<td>$750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Champlain Canal</td>
<td>improving prism and banks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Champlain Canal</td>
<td>bridge abutment and superstructure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and canal improvements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1885

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elmdale, N.Y.</td>
<td>through truss</td>
<td>$140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oriskay, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royalton, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murray, N.Y.</td>
<td>2 bridges</td>
<td>$990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otsego, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cattaraugus, N.Y.</td>
<td>2 bridges</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drakeville, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governeurs, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earlville, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Byron, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jessups Landing, N.Y.</td>
<td>bridge</td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n.p.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starkville, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ludlowville, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carleton, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venice, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moravia, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watten, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n.p.</td>
<td>3 bridges</td>
<td>$1,325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockholm, N.Y.</td>
<td>2 bridges</td>
<td>$900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n.p.</td>
<td></td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Scotland, N.Y.</td>
<td>2 bridges</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hammondsport, N.Y.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mansfield, Pa.</td>
<td>Tioga River</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1886

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owego, N.Y.</td>
<td>Owego Creek</td>
<td>$33,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greeley, Col.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt. Morris and Castile, N.Y.</td>
<td>3 spans and abutments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angelica, N.Y.</td>
<td>通过 truss, Angelica Creek</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wegatchie, N.Y.</td>
<td>通过 truss, Oswegatchie River</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belvidere, N.J.</td>
<td>pony truss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith County, Tn.</td>
<td>pony truss</td>
<td>$1,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duanesburg, N.Y.</td>
<td>59' Pratt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1887

Ithaca, N.Y.  Buffalo Street  $2,500
Ithaca, N.Y.  Varna Bridge replacement
Great Barrington, Ma.  iron bridge
Philadelphia, Pa.  $1,800
Groton, N.Y.  Pratt pony truss
Shattuckville, Ma.  78', Judge Davis
Road over Fall Creek
Pratt through truss

1888

Washington D.C.  Pa. Ave. over $105,000
Cazenovia, N.Y.  Anacostia River
iron bridge, 1 span $3,488
184', 1 span 42',
Cazenovia Creek
Cabell County, West Va.  Pratt through truss, 109'8"

1889

Ithaca, N.Y.  Stewart Avenue over Cascadilla Creek
for Ithaca Gas and Water
iron bridge  $2,294
Binghamton, N.Y.  Tompkins Street  $40,000
Ithaca, N.Y.  Susquehanna River
Buffalo Street  $2,500
swing bridge
over Cayuga Inlet
bridge piers  $590
Laurel, Md.  iron bridge  $1,900
Ellicott City, Md.  Patuxent River
Bainbridge, N.Y.  Susquehanna River  $20,000
Gowanda, N.Y.  iron bridge  $7,796
Bangor, Me.  Kenduskeag Bridge  $2,350
eastern span
2 spans, 107' each,$14,370
Frederick, Md.  16' roadway
iron bridge  $6,290
Rutherford, N.J.  3 spans, each 100'
wrought iron truss
Indian Castle, N.Y.  2 abutments and piers
Ellicott City, Md.  4 iron bridges
Cattaraugus, N.Y.  iron bridge  $1,900
Patuxent River  $11,000
153'
Moers Forks, N.Y. through truss, Great Chazy River
Angelica, N.Y. through truss, Angelica Creek
Gouverneur, N.Y. through truss, Oswegatchie River
Wallpack Center, N.J. pony truss, Delaware Water Gap National Recreation Area
Smith County, Tn. steel bridge $2,900
Williamsport, Pa. 85'x12'

1890

Jacksonville, Fla. viaduct over Bridge Street, 1100' long, 60' wide, 834' main bridge, 2 roadways, 2 streetcar tracks, 4 spans, iron and steel trestle work, one large span over S.F. and W. tracks, 2 smaller ones.
Groton, N.Y. iron bridge between Groton Bridge & Manufacturing Company and Groton Carriage Works
Auburn, N.Y. Genessee Street Bridge
Hancock, Md. Potomac River $35,000
Centre Village, N.Y. through truss, Susquehanna River
Cavendish, Vt. through truss, Black River
Rockdale, N.Y. through truss, Unadilla River
Goshen, Va. 3 span Double Intersection Pratt, Calfpasture River
Rockbridge Co., Va. Double Intersection Pratt
Portland, Mich. 2 span Pratt through truss, 102'6" each, Bridge Street over Grand River
erie Canal, N.Y. east of Lock 42
Cortland, N.Y. wrought iron bridge, east of Lock 42

1891

Caribou, Me. 3 span bridge, each span 159', 18' roadway
Wytopitlock, Me. 2 spans at 81' each
Brazoria Co., Tx. 4 spans; 76',82',108', 126', 2 are drawspans 228'
Shenandoah Co., Va. 4 110' spans
Newago, Mich. 4 span bridge; one at 270',2 at 150', one at 110'
Marble Falls, Tx. redesign, Anacost- $40,000
Washington D.C. ia River
Jacksonville, Fla. through truss, Hoosick River
Fish's Eddy, N.Y. through truss, East Branch Delaware River
Pittstown, N.Y. through truss, 196'
| Location                  | Year | Description                                                                 | Cost  \\n|---------------------------|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| San Francisco, Cal. 4     | 1892 | 2 span bridge $11,595 165', 140', 25' roadway, 5' sidewalks, with masonry and infills, Lehigh River |       |
| Lower Catasaugua, Pa.     |      |                                                                              | $69,332 |
| Knoxville, Tn.            |      | 13 50' spans, 2 150' spans, 1 260', 20' roadway, 2 6' sidewalks, iron substructure, built for Cherokee Land Company, Tennessee River |       |
| Knoxville, Tn.            |      | Oak Street $21,000                                                          |       |
| Doddridge Co., West Va.   |      | Pratt pony truss, 67'1"                                                     |       |
| Fitchburg, Ma.            | 1893 | plate girder, 98', 38' roadway, 2 5' sidewalks, River Street                | $10,987 |
| Unadilla, N.Y.            |      | iron work, Opera House                                                     |       |
| Ithaca, N.Y. 4            |      |                                                                              |       |
| Tampa, Fla.               | 1894 | Hillsboro River $70,000                                                      |       |
| Unadilla, N.Y.            |      | 2 through trusses $24,000                                                   |       |
| Christian Co., Kty.       |      | Susquehanna River bed post pony truss, Sugar Creek                          |       |
| Elkton, Md.               |      | 7 bridges $13,500                                                           |       |
| Williamsport, Pa.         |      | Market Street Bridge, 5 spans                                               | $38,800 |
| Stueben Co. N.Y.          |      | Pratt pony truss, 40', pin-connected, 13'8" wide, Michigan Creek           |       |
| Cohoes, N.Y. 2            |      | iron bridge, High Street, Erie Canal                                         |       |
1895

Salamanca, N.Y.  iron bridge  $3,000
Main Street

Albany, N.Y.  High Street,  $3,722
Cohoes River

Keene, N.Y.  Pratt, 124'

1896

Union City, Pa.  plate girder, 80',
34' roadway, 28'
34' roadway, 2 8'
sidewalks, stone
sidewalks, stone
abutments
abutments

Manchester, N.H.  steel bridge  $97,100
Merrimac River

Little Rock, Ark.  steel bridge  $350,000
Fort Plain, N.Y.  2 spans 216',
20' road, one
20' road, one
5' walk, masonry
5' walk, masonry
pier and abutments,
pier and abutments,
Mohawk River
Mohawk River

Palo Pinto Co., Tx.  bridge of several spans
Ithaca, N.Y.  South Plain Street,
Six Mile Creek

Guilford, Vt.  pony truss,

Sloansville, N.Y.  long single span
arch, Schotone

Burgoyne, N.Y.  through truss,
"Bryart's Bridge",
Fish Creek

East Hickory, Pa.  through truss,
Hickory Creek

Lin Lithgo, N.Y.  through truss
"Dale's Bridge",
Roeliff Janseney Kill

Augusta Co. Va.  Single Intersection Pratt
Saratoga, N.Y.  Pratt, 96'
Binghamton, N.Y.  4 span plate girder $61,000
Ferry Street

Watertown, N.Y.  steel arch  $17,499
Mill Street,
Black River

North Adams, Ma.  plate girder,
76', 32' roadway,
sidewalks 7' and 5',
Port Huron, Mich. buckle plate flooring
Port Huron, Mich. carrying pavement
Port Huron, Mich. swing bridge, 220' $19,000
Port Huron, Mich. 230' steel trestle
Port Huron, Mich. approaches

Ithaca, N.Y. Fall Creek
Lobachsville, Pa. iron bridge, 50' $359
Schultzville, Pa. iron bridge, 60' $508
Williamsport, Pa. steelwork, Duboisetown $599
Riverton, N.J. iron bridge $1,028
Riverton, N.J. Pompsass Creek
Riverton, N.J. iron bridge $857
Cortland, N.Y. Swede's Run
70' bridge, 16' wide,
Rickard Street,
Tioughnioga River
Victory Mills, N.Y. pony truss
Fish Creek
Shelburne, N.H. 5 span Pratt "Meadow Bridge"
Androscoggin River
Tioga Co., N.Y. Pratt pony truss,
37' long, 15'1" wide,
East Hill Road,
Catatonk Creek

Cairo, N.Y. Cairo, N.Y. Warren truss, 76'
Chohes, N.Y. 2 High Street,
Erie Canal
Syracuse, N.Y. 2 lift bridge,
Salina Street,
Erie Canal
Utica, N.Y. 2 lift bridge,
Whitesboro Street,
Erie Canal
Ithaca, N.Y. South Plain Street
Six Mile Creek
Ithaca, N.Y. deck bridge,
Campus Road,
Fall Creek

1898

Greenwood, Tn. iron drawbridge $23,988
Plattsburg, N.Y. Yazoo River repair $1,600
Saranac, N.Y. Catherine Street Bridge $4,788
Redford, N.Y. $1,962
Owosco, Mich.
Hart, Mich.

New Hackensack, N.Y.

Nashville, Tn. 4

Tupperlake, N.Y.

Little Rock, Ark.
Pocahantas, Ark. 4
Lowell, Mass.
Plymouth, Mich.
Ellenville, N.Y.
St. Johnsville, N.Y.
Kanawah Co. West Va.
Buffalo, N.Y. 6

Cheatham Co., Tn.

1899

Ithaca, N.Y. 4

Nazereth, Pa. 4

Binghamton, N.Y. 4
Camden, N.J.
Frederick Co., Md.

Sunbury, Pa.
Reading, Pa.
Middleburg, Pa.

West Main Street
steel, 1200'
20' wide on steel tubing and concrete substructure
steel, 50',
20' wide viaduct
Church & Broad Streets
steel, 160',
16' roadway, 100 lbs.
pressure per square foot in four cylindrical piers
deck bridge
Pratt through truss, 140'
roof trusses,
Buffalo Power House,
Buffalo Street Railway Company
Pratt through truss,
steel, 2 steel I-beam approach spans,
222', 10'9' wide,
Harpeth River
Stewart Avenue,
Ithaca Gas and Water Company
steel work for cement mills
Tompkins Street
Penshankin Creek
Catoctin Creek,
Leatherman's Ford iron bridge
Susquehanna River
Coalbrookdale over Swamp Creek
iron work on new bridge at Meiserville

$10,375
$6,000
$1,095
$32,344
$40,000
$35,000
$140,000

183
Bridgehamton, N.Y.  steel, 420'  $5,000
Sagaponack Lake
Rochester, N.Y.  steel cantilever, $185,000
50' wide, 30'
railroad, lower
Genessee River
Ithaca, N.Y.  repairs Stewart
Avenue bridge
Kingston, Mass.  Pratt pony,
Jones River
Jewett, N.Y.  Pratt, 93'
Montpelier, Vt.  Main Street
Hot Springs, Ark.  Winooski River
Barre, Vt.  3 spans, 1 at 219',
2 at 79', 16' wide,
trusses 34' high in
center, cylindrical
piers 5' in diameter,
37' high with 12'4"
plate connectors
1900
Fitchburg, Mass.  steel bridge, 30'  $4,200
includes removing
present structure,
Blackwell Street
Hepburn, Pa.  Pitch to Howard  $50,000
Street
Fulton, N.Y.  2 iron bridges
Hepburn River
and Mill Creek
Cooper's Creek
Camden, N.J.  steel super-
structure and  $103,950
paving
Wilmington, Del.  Marshalltown,
Red Clay Creek
Port Bryan, N.Y.  bridge, stone  $2,600
abutments
Owasco Creek
Durham, N.Y.  through truss, 142'
West Woodstock, Vt.
1901

Smith Co., Tn. steel $1,150
Isabella Co., Tn. Pratt through truss
101', Shepard Road,
Chippewa River,
Chippewa Township

No awards of record.

1903

Allegheny Co., N.Y. Camelback through truss,
253', pin-connections,
18'7" wide, East Hill Road,
Genesee River.

1904

Warren, Pa. bridge, 170'

1905

East Berkshire, Vt. steel riveted bridge $4,700
Emmet Township, Mich. Pratt through truss,
110', F Drive North,
Kalamazoo River

1906

Ithaca, N.Y. iron bridge
Albany, N.Y. steel bridge $97,635
Waterford, N.Y. Champlain Canal
Ridge Road
Payn's Bridge
Station 6776, Erie Canal
Sylvan Beach
Misquito Point
Buffalo Road
Jewett, N.Y.  
Lee Road  
Spier's Road  
Pratt, 71'  
1907

No awards of record.

Crown Point, N.Y.  
Pratt, 43'  
1908

Ithaca, N.Y.  
Forest Home Bridge  
$3,998  
Fall Creek  
Warren pony truss, riveted, 57', 18' wide, Vern Dean  
Road, Cayuta Creek  
1909

Schuyler Co., N.Y.  
1910

No awards of record.

No awards of record.

Waterburg, N.Y.  
cr"  
1912

cr"  

Long Island, N.Y.  
N.Y.S. Barge Canal  
4 swing bridges  
15 spans including Newark, N.Y. 150', 32' roadway, 2 10' walks, reinforced concrete, total weight of 193 tons and Rome, N.Y. 260', 2 50' spans, 1 160' span, concrete abutments  
Ithaca, N.Y.  
Auburn, N.Y.  
Syracuse, N.Y.  
Northern, Pa.  
Renwick Park, Auburn Short Line Railroad  
600 ton steel building,  
International Harvester Company  
mill building  
2 mill buildings  
1913
Ithaca, N.Y.  
steel work for:
Ithaca City Hospital
Ithaca Post Office
Rand Hall, Cornell University
tobaggen slide, Cornell University
Athletic Association

Groton, N.Y.
concrete,
Creek Road

Edwards Village, N.Y.
pony truss, 2 spans,
lower Oswegatchie River

West Henniker, N.H.
through truss,
Contoocock River

Allegheny Co. N.Y.
Pratt through truss,
121', pin-connected, 14'2"
wide, Ballard Road,
Rush Creek

No awards of record.

1917
No awards of record.

1918
No awards of record.

1919
No awards of record.

1920
No awards of record.

1921
No awards of record.
1922

No awards of record.

1923

Lansing, N.Y. concrete, Locke Road

Source:

2. East Division Financial Accounts,
   Canal Museum, Syracuse, N.Y..
5. Personal communication.
BIDS OF RECORD BY YEAR

1885

New Baltimore, N.Y.  iron highway bridge, 90', 14' wide, iron floor beams and joists, 2 1/2" oak floor plank, moving load 80 lbs. per square foot, $15,550; 9 other bids.

1886

St. Cloud, Mn.  Stearns County and Clearwater Bridge, Paynesville and Grove Bridges, each 144', 9 other bids.

Lockport, N.Y.  low bid rejected on defect, Main Street and Cottage Street bridges, $15,000 and $2,075; 7 other bids.

1887

Norristown, Pa.  iron bridges, Perkiomen Creek and Swamp Creek, 12 other bids.

Lancaster and Chester Counties, Pa.  Octoraro Creek, truss, $1,285; 6 other bids.

Boston, Mass.  Charles River, Harvard Bridge between Boston and Cambridge, $189,000; 12 other bids.

Conowingo, N.Y.  3 bridges: Mill Creek at Ressler's Mill, Leinbach's Mill over Cocalico Creek near Reamstown, Wood's Mill over Conowingo-Fulton Turnpike, $1,146; $874; $1,297; 5 other bids.

Poughkeepsie, N.Y.  iron span over Fall Kill; $2,260.

Rome, N.Y.  $2,249; 3 other bids.
Rome, N.Y. Patrick Bridge; $1,640; 3 other bids.
Fond du Lac, Wisc. highway bridges; $585, $700, $780, $875; 9 other bids.
Hammond's Ferry, Md. highway bridge over Patapsco River; $9,987; 10 other bids.

1888
Easton, Pa. wrought iron bridge over LeHigh Railroad, Northampton County; $24,960; 7 other bids.
Parkersburg, West Va. wrought iron bridge over the Little Kanahwa River, Whipple Double Intersection truss, 296', 20' roadway, 2-5' sidewalks.

1889
Ithaca, N.Y. iron swing bridge, $2,795; 4 other bids, contract deferred.
Waterford, N.Y. lift bridge over canal at Broad Street, $7,280; 2 other bids.
Holyoke, Mass. 10 spans, 160' each, $34,975; 14 other bids.
Frederick, Md. Patuxent River, 6 other bids.
Leaksville, N.C. $5,114; 5 other bids.
Clarion, Pa. Clarion River, highway bridge, 224', $10,400; 16 other bids.
Fort Monroe, Va. Mill Creek, iron pile bridge, $17,500; 2 other bids.
Mahwah, N.J. $1,664; 7 other bids.
Fultonville, N.Y. Main Street, informal bid, 3 other bids.
Oswego, N.Y. 46' riveted iron girder over hydraulic canal, $2,020; 5 other bids.
Providence, R.I. Manlon Village, $4,100; 12 other bids.
Atlanta, Ga. Chattahoochee River, $14,920; 13 other bids.
1890

Grand Isle, Ontario  200' iron draw span, $5,180; 6 other bids.
Atlanta, Ga.  Chattahoochee River, $14,000; 11 other bids.
Milford, Conn.  79' plate girder and superstructure, $2,295; 12 other bids.
Utica, N.Y.  viaduct plate girder, $68,160; 11 other bids.
Holyoke, Mass.  plate girder, $28,000; 9 other bids.
Shelburne Falls, Mass.  Deerfield River, $16,750; 11 other bids.

1891

Washington Township, Oh.  Freeville Pike, Banta Creek.
Birmingham, Conn.  Housatonic River, iron bridge, 60 bids.
Holyoke, Mass.  Dwight Street and Cabot Street bridges, 6 other bids.

1892

Rochester, N.Y.  East Main Street over N.Y.C. and H.R. Railroad, 5 trusses, each 118', $42,750; 5 other bids.
Cambridge, Mass.  Huron Street Bridge, 93', 60 other bids.

1893

Bridgeport, Conn.  Housatonic River between Stratford and Milford, iron and steel drawbridge, $90,650; 12 other bids.

1894

Little Falls, N.Y.  rebuild bridge at Ann Street, Erie Canal, $2,574; 3 other bids.
Rochester, N.Y.  Ford Street, Erie Canal, rebuild bridge,
Amsterdam, N.Y. $4,205; 8 other bids.

Erie Canal, rebuild bridge at Bridge Street, $3,345; 3 other bids.

Syracuse, N.Y. Clinton Street, superstructure, Erie Canal, $8,035; 3 other bids.

Columbia, Tx. 7 other bids.

Tacoma, Wash. $88,000; 7 other bids.

Cincinnati, Oh. West Park Street Creek, Llewellyn Street, $3,550; 14 other bids.

Woonsocket, R.I. Blackstone River, wrought iron deck bridge, $198,340; 8 other bids.

Houston, Tx. Factory Street over Buffalo Bayou, $40,795; 12 other bids.

Cambridge, Mass. Cambridge Creek, 137' iron drawbridge, $4,840; 5 other bids.

Augusta, Ga. Greene and 15th Streets, iron bridge, 10 other bids.

Williamsport, Pa. rebuilding 4 bridges swept away by flood: $21,100; $16,000 and 2 for $10,700; 8 other bids.

Natchez, Mississippi Adams County, Catherine's Creek, steel bridge, $10,200; 13 other bids.

Cambridge, Oh. Wells Creek, 106' iron highway bridge, 18 other bids.

Barrington, R.I. Barrington River, 350', $5,050; 6 other bids.

Lansing, Mich. Grand River, Michigan Avenue, $71,000; 16 other bids.

Concord, N.H. Horse Hill Bridge, $4,339; 11 other bids.

Williamsport, Pa. Maynard Street Bridge and log basin, Pratt trusses, $19,975 and $9990; 8 other bids.

Birmingham, Ala. Warrior Bridge, $11,000; 15 other bids.
Pittsburgh, Pa.  Monongahela River at South 22nd Street, $435,100; 6 other bids.
Scranton, Pa.  Linden Street Bridge, $56,794 (wooden plank); $74,328 (asphalt); 23 other bids.
Houston, Tx.  Buffalo Bayou at Factory Street, $41,977; 10 other bids.
Scranton, Pa.  Roaring Brook Bridge, $95,552 (asphalt); $66,985 (plank); 15 other bids.

1895
Buffalo, N.Y.  Scajaquada Creek, Niagara Street, iron bridge, $12,688; 12 other bids.
Port Huron, Mich.  Black River, steel swing bridge, 160' swing, 18' roadway, 6' sidewalk, 220' steel trestle approaches, $22,222; 16 other bids.
Gloversville, N.Y.  11 other bids.
Ogdensburg, N.Y.  steel plate girder, $48,883; 15 other bids, won by Melan.
Cincinnati, Oh.  viaduct superstructure, West 8th Street over Boldface Road, 23 other bids.
Clarion, Pa.  Clarion River, Piney Creek, $8,900; 17 other bids.
Chester, Mass.  Westfield River, $8,390 for 2 spans of 80' each; 10 other bids.
Norristown, Pa.  iron bridges at Park, Schwenksville, Wissahickan, Swamp and Perkiomen: $998; $4,014; $1,700; $1,475; $998; 16 other bids.
Hempstead, Tx.  Brazos River, $19,998; 9 other bids.
Ithaca, N.Y.  Six Mile Creek, Line A-300' in length, Line B-360', 70' above creek bed, 24' roadway, 2-5' sidewalks, A-$18,999; B-$21,999; won by the Owego Bridge Company.
Carlisle, Pa.  all bids rejected, iron bridge over Condoquin-et Creek at Wolf's Road, Middlesex Township, substructure and superstructure, filling
approaches, 15 other bids.

Easton, Pa.

rebuilding 2 steel bridges, Syders Bridge and Kutz Bridge, bids submitted on each and both: $4,200; $1,300; $5,500.

Pittsburgh, Pa.

Panther Hollow, $175,000; 6 other bids.

Patterson, N.J.

iron bridge, 3 spans each at 98', Passaic River at Fifth Avenue, $11,995; 9 other bids.

Doylestown, Pa.

substructure for 3 bridges: Kulp's, Fink's and Trauger's: $2,000; $2,300; $6,000; 8 other bids.

Irontown, Oh.

Storm Creek, Elm Street, iron bridge, $6,700; 10 other bids.

Johnstown, Pa.

superstructure Maple Avenue Bridge, all bids rejected, Plan A-$15,100; Plan B-no bid submitted; 8 other bids. Plan A-City Engineer, Plan B-Company Plan.

Bridgeport, N.J.

iron drawbridge, Cohonsey River at Broad Street, bids submitted using the old piers and for building with new piers, $13,800; $15,800; 9 other bids.

Lowell, Mass.

Moody Street, $57,500; 17 other bids.

Indianapolis, Ind.

Meridiam Street Bridge over Pleasant Run, plate girder, 75', 30' roadway, 2-6' sidewalks, girds 7'6" deep, $5,500; 13 other bids.

Mobile, Ala.

3 steel spans and approaches, bids submitted on masonry and steel approaches, $6,245; $6,760; 14 other bids, won for construction with steel approaches.

Houston, Tx.

Buffalo Bayou at Shepherd's Dam, 3 miles north of the city, 85', 18' roadway, $3,219; 10 other bids.

1896

Youngstown, Oh.

Mahoning River, superstructure, $53,600; $51,500; 9 other bids.
Chillicote, Oh. 3 spans each 154', Sciolo River, $9,600; 20 other bids.

Whaley, Mass. 3 plate girder spans, $1,100; 10 other bids.

Gloversville, N.Y. $1,360; 4 other bids.

Junction City, Ks. iron bridge, 400', $7,131; 12 other bids.

Freesmansburg, Pa. Lehigh River, $26,000-$25,000; 8 other bids.

Harrodsburg, R.I. Chaplin River, steel bridge near Dixville, $457, 6 other bids.

Lexington, Va. iron bridge, 110', $1,967; 6 other bids.

Lasalle, Ill. Big Vermillion River, 280', $6,000-$6,775; 17 other bids.

Lewiston, Me. steel highway bridge, Androscoggin River, $155,000; 13 other bids.

New Haven, Conn. iron superstructure, Quinnipiac River, Grand Street, $72,400; 18 other bids.

Sandusky, Oh. steel bridge, Vermillion River, $2,682; 13 other bids.

Norristown, Pa. steel bridge over Stoney Creek at Airy Street, $22,425; 10 other bids.

Carthage, N.Y. Black River Bridge, $16,900; 7 other bids.

Patterson, N.J. steel arch bridge, $59,600; 9 other bids, Melan chosen.

Painesville, Oh. Grand River, Main Street, $26,600; 5 other bids.

Marlboro, Md. 2 spans, Little Paint Branch, Vansville and Northwest Branch, Queens Chapel Road, Bladensburg, $3,795; 7 other bids.

Easton, Pa. highway bridge, $4,285; 6 other bids.

Baltimore, Md. George's Run, Schomberger's Mill, iron bridge, $1,525; 7 other bids.

Norfolk, Va. highway bridge, $5,475; 12 other bids.
Hagerstown, Md. Siding Hill Creek, iron bridge, $1,565; 8 other bids.

Wichita, Ks. 225' steel bridge, each company submitting plans, $4,985; 10 other bids.

Cincinnati, Oh. Mitchell Avenue Aqueduct, $68,000; 14 other bids.

Houston, Tx. Greens Bayou, 4 spans each 105', $6,739; 6 other bids.

Elkton, Va. Shenandoah County, steel or iron bridge, $8,250; 20 other bids.

Albany, Ga. Flint River, 280', bids on wood or steel joists, $13,885; 13 other bids.

Glen Falls, N.Y. bridge at Glen Street over feeder of Champlain Canal, $4,159.

Millers Falls, Mass. iron and steel bridge, $3,932; 20 other bids.

Youngstown, Oh. Mahoning River at Spring Common, bids on wooden or paved floor, $27,000; $32,700; 15 other bids.

Springfield, Oh. Buck Creek, 120' steel; $5,000; 15 other bids.

Syracuse, N.Y. Onondaga Creek, Temple and Seymour Streets, steel girder bridge; $4,315; $5,246; 6 other bids.

Flandreau, S.D. 3 steel spans on steel tubular piers, 18' high, 110', 65' and 34' spans, 16' roadway, $42,000; 16 other bids.

Ebensburg, Pa. 65' steel span, $1,140; 10 other bids.

Mt. Morris, N.Y. Genesee River between Mt. Morris and Leicester, $25,137; 2 other bids.

New Bedford, Mass. middle portion of the New Bedford and Fair Haven Bridge, $108,500; 8 other bids.

Doylestown, Pa. Ruth's Ford Bridge, New Britain Township,
Framingham, Mass.  steel girder bridge, $2,900; 13 other bids.
Albany, N.Y. Oswego Canal, $25,293; 4 other bids.
Milltown, Pa.  110' steel bridge, 18' roadway, $1,787; 7 other bids.
Tom's River, N.J.  65' iron bridge, 24' roadway, 2-7' sidewalks, $10,834; 11 other bids.
Plattsburg, N.Y. Saranac River, Kent's Falls, single span iron bridge, 18', $3,082; 6 other bids.

1898
Lowell, Mass. plate girder, 105', 50' wide, $6,900; 16 other bids, won by Long at $5,612.
London, Oh, Madison County, 3 bridges, $2,650; $1,269; $986; 24 other bids.
Woonsocket, R.I. Peters River, steel bridge, $2,300; 15 other bids.
Saginaw, Mich. Court Street, 160' fixed span and 218' draw span, $29,572; 11 other bids.
Olean, N.Y. steel bridge, $5,795; 13 other bids.
Camden, N.J. Cooper's Creek at State Street, steel bridge, informal bid, $22,000; won by B.F. Sweeten & Son, Camden, for $22,776.
Tuckahoe, N.J. steel bridge, 120', $4,430; 14 other bids, won by Nelson & Buchanan at $3,990.
Northfield, Mass. Connecticut River, bids given for wooden stringers, steel stringers, and for the abutments and piers, $27,400; $29,900; $2,400; won by New Jersey Steel and Iron.
Westfield, Mass. Little River, Main Street, $5,847; 19 other bids.
Lorain, Oh. Black River at Erie Avenue, lift bridge, $148,000; 13 other bids.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chester, Pa.</td>
<td>iron bridge, 163', 52' wide, Chester Creek at 9th Street, $54,850; 6 other bids, won by King at $44,963.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk, Va.</td>
<td>substructure and superstructure, steel highway bridge on Smith's Creek between York Street and Atlantic, $71,000; 15 other bids.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brookhaven, Mass.</td>
<td>Hemochitto River, steel bridge, $7,748; 10 other bids.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newport, R.I.</td>
<td>Van Zandt Avenue, steel bridge, $4,800; 10 other bids, won by Masillion at $4,390.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macon, Ga.</td>
<td>steel truss, 2 spans, 185', 196', $50,000; with creosoted base, $53,000 with a metal and concrete base; 11 other bids.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston, Mass.</td>
<td>Malden Bridge over the Mystic River, $11,500; 12 other bids.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hartford, Conn.</td>
<td>East Hartford Meadows, steel bridge, $135,000; 20 other bids.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington D.C.</td>
<td>Rock Creek Park, $14,216; 11 other bids.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumberland, Md.</td>
<td>10 steel bridges, $4,510; 11 other bids, won by Toledo at $3,950.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape May, N.J.</td>
<td>Schellenger's Landing, bridge and abutments, $6,162; 9 other bids; won by Long at $5,950.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamsport, Pa.</td>
<td>bridge over Texas Creek in Pine Township, $2,385.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Brunswick, N.J.</td>
<td>Cheesequakes Creek, Morgan's Station, iron drawbridge, $12,600; 7 other bids, won by Wrought Iron at $9,997.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eagle River, Pa.</td>
<td>Hoosick Falls, Hoosick River, $10,800; 4 other bids.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smithton, Pa.</td>
<td>Youghiogheny River, steel bridge, 133', 18' roadway, $60,500; 15 other bids, won by Pittsburgh at $59,500.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1900

Hoboken, N.J. Old Pennsylvania Railroad at Baldwin Avenue, Jersey City Heights, $35,800; 8 other bids.

Auburn, N.Y. Owasco River at Lizette Street, all bids rejected, $18,875.

Camden, N.J. steel bridge at Cooper's Creek, all bids rejected, $12,900; 8 other bids, contract readvertised and the Groton Company won.

North Adams, Mass. steel superstructure, one bid to include the old bridge as payment, the other not, $12,505; $13,115; 5 other bids.

Buckingham, Va. 90' steel, 12' roadway, $10,075; Virginia Bridge Company won at $1,047.

Slatington, Pa. Trout Creek, lower Main Street, $15,000; $14,884; 4 other bids, won by Penn for $15,995.

Reading, Pa. iron bridge over Tupekicken near Krick's Mills, $1,045; 6 other bids.

Bangor, Me. steel bridge, Kenduskeag Stream over Franklin Street, Plans A and B, $7,487; $9,200; 8 other bids, won by Berlin at $7,390-Plan A.

No bids recorded.

1901

Franklin, Pa. repairing Valley Bridge, $88,987 and $77,000; 7 other bids, won by Penn at $65,861.

North Adams, Mass. Greylock Bridge, $12,000 and $2,000; 2 other bids, won by Owego at $10,700 and $1,590.

1903

Camden, N.J. Cooper's Creek, Baird Avenue, all bids rejected, drawbridge, $38,850; 9 other bids.
200

Magnolia, N.J. 2 steel bridges on the Pleasantville Highway, $27,900; 4 other bids.

Ramapo, N.Y. $10,194; 4 other bids.

Penn Yan, N.Y. Swathout Gully, $3,345; 9 other bids.

1904

Harrisburg, Pa. rebuilding substructure and superstructure Lehigh Valley Railroad at Allentown, $230,000; 17 bids, won by Penn.

Grand Rapids, Mich. Wealthy Avenue Bridge, bridge and turntable 350', drawspan 234', and 180' fixed span, $32,285; 10 other bids.

Avondale, N.J. duplicate cables for drawbridge, pavement, and wood blocks; $137,700; $136,000 and $150,000; 11 bids.

1905


West Newton, Pa. Main Street, highway bridge, $88,500; 12 bids.

Harrisburg, Pa. substructure and superstructure, Susquehanna River between Brwick and Nescocre, $222,000; 27 bids; won by York at $209,500.

Boston, Mass. drawspan at Atlantic Avenue, $68,950; 7 other bids.

Boston, Mass. Brookline Street Bridge, steel superstructure, $17,900; 10 other bids, won by H.P. Converse of Boston.

1906

Albany, N.Y. Erie Canal at Saratoga Avenue, $22,252; 3 other bids, won by M. Fitzgerald, Hoosic Falls, N.Y.
1907

Albany, N.Y.  barge canal work, steel highway superstructures, $67,491; 7 other bids, won by United Construction Company.

Scranton, Pa.  Scranton Street, Lackawanna Avenue and Cedar Avenue, Linden Street, Green Road Street, 7 other bids, 3 in concrete.

1908

Albany, N.Y.  barge canal, steel highway bridge superstructure, $26,478; 10 other bids.

Source: Engineering News. Listings of bids on bridge work were no longer published after 1910.
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