The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-53-30 =	092-18-00 = -	
lowa [19]	Tama County [171]		Unknown [00000]	82132503		41.891667	92.300000		
316650	Highway agency district 0		Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance	enance responsibility County Highway Agency [0.		Agency [02]	
Route 0	ute 0 FM			ree road [3] Features intersected SALT CREEK			EK		
Design - Steel [3] main Truss - Thr	u [10]	Design - approach Other	[00]	Kilometerpoint 0 Year built 1920 Skew angle 0	km = 0.0 mi Year red Structure F	constructed N/A	[0000]		
				Historical significance	e Bridge is	s not eligible for t	he NRHP. [5]		
Total length 29.9 m = 98.1 ft Length of maximum span 29 m = 95.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5.1 m = 16.7 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.1 m = 16.7 ft									
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.1 m = 16.7 ft			Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$ Curb or sidewalk width - right $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$			0 m = 0.0 ft		
Deck structure type	C	Concrete Cast-in-Pla	ce [1]						
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (co			concurrently placed with str	currently placed with structural deck) [1]					
Deck protection									
Type of membrane/wearing surface									
Weight Limits									
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Allowable Stress(AS) [2] In	ventory rating	2.7 metric ton =	3.0 tons		
1 km = 0.6 mi Method to determine operating rating			Allowable Stress(AS) [2] O _l	perating rating	5.4 metric ton =	5.9 tons		
Bridge posting				De	esign Load				

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 60 Average daily to	ruck traffi 0 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 60 Year 2030						
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7 m = 23.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft							
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A							
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 356000 Roadway improvement cost 36000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 125 m = 410.1 ft Total project cost						
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2007						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for	load [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - superstructur Serious [3]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - substructur	Good [7]	Appraisal ratings -	N/A [N]					
Condition ratings - deck Poor [4]		deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge found	dations determined to be stable for the ass	ssessed or calculated scour condition. [8]					
Channel and channel protection		Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adeq	Equal to pre	esent minimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 25.3					
Culverts Not applicable. Us	ed if structure is not a	culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railing	S							
Traffic safety features - transi	ions							
Traffic safety features - appro	9							
Traffic safety features - appro	ach guardrail ends							
Inspection date September	r 2010 [0910]	Designated inspection frequency 24	Months Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	spection date					