The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							42-13-30 =	093-47-40 = -
lowa [19] Hamilton County [079]		Unknown [00000] 862532				42.225000	93.794444	
171030 Highway agency district 1		Owner County Highway Agency [02] Ma		Maintenance	ce responsibility County Highway Agency [02]			
Route 0 LOCAL			Toll On fro	ee road [3]	eatures interse	cted SQUAW C	REEK	
Design - Concrete [1] main  2 Tee beam [0	4]	Design - approach  Othe	er [00]	Kilometerpoint 0 km Year built 1917 Skew angle 30	m = 0.0 mi Year re Structure F	constructed N/A	[0000]	
				Historical significance	Bridge	s possibly eligible	e for the NRHP. [3]	
Total length 19.8 m = 65.0 ft Length of maximum span 9.1 m = 29.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 6.2 m = 20.3 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5.6 m = 18.4 ft								
Inventory Route, Total H	Curb or sidewalk w	width - left $0 \text{ m} = 0.0 \text{ ft}$	t	Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	0  m = 0.0  ft		
Deck structure type  Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			g Allowable Stress(AS	S) [2] Inve	entory rating	20.5 metric ton	= 22.6 tons	
0.3 km = 0.2 mi	0.3 km = 0.2 mi  Method to determine operating rating		g Allowable Stress(AS	S) [2] Ope	erating rating	35.6 metric ton	= 39.2 tons	
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					sign Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 40 Average daily tru	uck traffi 0 % Year 2003 Future average daily traffic 64 Year 2028							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 6.7 m = 22.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]  Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A  Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 227000 Roadway improvement cost 23000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 27.1 m = 88.9 ft Total project cost 336000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2005							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]						
Condition ratings - superstructur	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]								
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. Finding stream bed movement	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cri	iteria [6]	Status evaluation						
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 64.6						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition	ns								
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail								
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date November 2008 [1108] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Unknown [N00]	Underwater inspec	ection date						
Fracture critical inspection	Unknown [N00]	Fracture critical inspection date							
Other special inspection	Unknown [N00]	Other special insp	pection date						