HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Infor	rmation											
Iowa [19] Linn Coun		County [113]	y [113]		Unknown [00000] 86083105					42-13-08.86 =	4 091-49-25.17 = -9	
223450			Highway agency district: 0			Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Mair	intenance resp	onsibility	County Highway	Agency [02]
Route 0 DU		DURO	W RD		Toll On free road [3]		Features	Features intersected BLUE CREEK				
main	Steel [3]	u [10]		Design - approach	Other [00]		Kilometerpoint Year built 1951	31.2 km =	= 19.3 mi Year reconsti	ructed 198	9	
1 Truss - Thru [10]				U	Other [00]		Skew angle 0 Historical significant		tructure Flared Historical sig	nificance is	not determinable at	this time. [4]
Total length	1 48.8 m =	= 160.	1 ft Len	gth of maxim	um span 48.8 m	n = 160.1 ft	Deck width, out-t	to-out 5.9 r	m = 19.4 ft	Bridge roa	dway width, curb-to-	curb 5.8 m = 19.0 ft
Inventory R	Route, Total	Horiz	contal Clearance	5.5 m = 18	0 ft C	urb or sidewalk v	width - left 0 m = 0	0.0 ft		Curb or sid	ewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck struct	ture type		W	ood or Timbe	er [8]							
Type of wearing surface			Bi	Bituminous [6]								
Deck protect	ction											
Type of me	embrane/we	earing	surface									
Weight Lin	nits											
		lethod to determi	ne inventory	rating Lo	ad and Resistan	ce Factor Rating (L	Inventory	rating 15.9	metric ton	= 17.5 tons		
0.8 km = 0).5 MI	N	lethod to determi	ne operating	rating	ad and Resistan	ce Factor Rating (L	Operating	g rating 20.7	metric ton	= 22.8 tons	
		В	ridge posting	10.0 - 19.9	% below [3]			Design Lo	oad HL93 [A]			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 150 Average daily t	ruck traffi 0 % Year 2017 Future average daily traffic 150 Year 2038							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 8.5 m = 27.9 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structu	re exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.96 m = 13.0 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	eature not a highway or railroad [N]							
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Danain and Danie annout Diana								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0							
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for lo	oad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]						
Condition ratings - superstructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Equal to present desirable criteria [8]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]						
Condition ratings - deck	Good [7]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge foundations	determined to be stable for the ass	sessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection		Bank protection is in need of minor repairs. River control devices and embankment protection have a little minor damage. Banks and/or channel have minor amounts of drift. [7]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Better than preser	Better than present minimum criteria [7] Status evaluation Functionally obsolete [2]							
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 45						
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert	[N]							
Traffic safety features - railings	In	pected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - transitio	ns In	pected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail In	pected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Traffic safety features - approach	h guardrail ends In	pected feature meets currently acce	eptable standards. [1]						
Inspection date September	2018 [0918] Design	ated inspection frequency 24	Months						
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	ction date						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in	spection date September 2018 [0918]						
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	pection date						