HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information						40.42.10.4E	002 52 24 17
lowa [19]	Decatur County [053]	Unknown [00000]	68260503		40-43-18.45 = 40.721792	093-52-34.17 = -93.876158
00000000137270	Highway agend	cy district: 0	Owner County Highway	Agency [02]	Maintenance responsibility	County Highway A	gency [02]
Route 0	LOCA	AL .	Toll On fre	e road [3] Fe	eatures intersected GRAND	RIVER	
Design - Steel [3] main		approach	or timber [7]	Kilometerpoint 0 km Year built 1890	n = 0.0 mi Year reconstructed	N/A [0000]	
Truss - Thr	u [10]	4 String	er/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Skew angle 0	Structure Flared		
				Historical significance	Bridge is eligible for t	he NRHP. [2]	
Total length 71.6 m =	= 234.9 ft Ler	ngth of maximum spa	an 38.4 m = 126.0 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou	t 4 m = 13.1 ft Bridge	roadway width, curb-to-c	urb 4 m = 13.1 ft
Inventory Route, Total	Horizontal Clearance	3.7 m = 12.1 ft	Curb or sidewalk wi	dth - left $0 m = 0.0 ft$	Curb or	sidewalk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	V	Vood or Timber [8]					
Type of wearing surface	ce V	Vood or Timber [7]					
Deck protection							
Type of membrane/we	earing surface						
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length	Method to determ	nine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS)	[2] Inve	entory rating 0 metric ton	= 0.0 tons	
1 km = 0.6 mi	Method to determ	nine operating rating	Allowable Stress(AS)) [2] Ope	erating rating 0 metric ton	= 0.0 tons	
	Bridge posting			Des	ign Load MS 18 / HS 20 [!	5]	

Functional Details				
Average Daily Traffic 20 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2012 Future average daily traffic 20 Year 2033			
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 6.4 m = 21.0 ft			
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median			
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]			
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control			
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A			
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 3.96 m = 13.0 ft			
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]			
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	teral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A			
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]			
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]				
Repair and Replacement Plans				
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]			
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 635000 Roadway improvement cost 64000			
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 293 m = 961.3 ft Total project cost			
	Year of improvement cost estimate			
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state			
	Border bridge - structure number			

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Bridge clos	ed to all traffic [K]	Appraisal ratings - structural						
Condition ratings - superstructure		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings -						
Condition ratings - deck	Serious [3]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge found	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank protect channel. [5]	Bank protection is being eroded. River control devices and/or embankment have major damage. Trees and rush restrict the channel. [5]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequa	Equal to pre	sent minimum criteria [6]	Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 0					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	I if structure is not a c	culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	ons							
Traffic safety features - approach	ch guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	ch guardrail ends							
Inspection date November 2013 [1113] Designated in		Designated inspection frequency 24	24 Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspe	pection date					
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]							
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special insp	espection date					