HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							
lowa [19] Fayette County [065]		Unknown [00000] 93073003			42-50-16.59 =	4 091-42-43.74 = -9	
151070 Highway agency district: 0		Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance responsibility	e responsibility County Highway Agency [02]		
Route 0	te 0 FOX RD.		Toll On free road [3]		eatures intersected VOLGA RIVER		
Design - Steel [3] main Truss - Thr	ru [10]	Design - approach Other	[00]	Xilometerpoint 287. Year built 1905 Skew angle 45 Historical significance	9 km = 178.5 mi Year reconstructed N/A Structure Flared Bridge is possibly eligible		
Historical significance Bridge is possibly eligible for the NRHP. [3] Total length 53.3 m = 174.9 ft Length of maximum span 53.3 m = 174.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.5 m = 14.8 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.5 m = 14.8 ft							
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.4 m = 14.4 ft				Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right 0 m = 0.0 ft			
Deck structure type Type of wearing surface Deck protection Wood or Timber [8] Gravel [8]							
Type of membrane/wearing surface							
Weight Limits							
Bypass, detour length 1.4 km = 0.9 mi Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating		, ,, , , , ,		antory rating 3.7 metric ton = 7 metric ton = 7			
Bridge posting				Desi	gn Load		

Functional Details							
Average Daily Traffic 15 Average daily tr	uck traffi 0 % Year 2017 Future average daily traffic 15 Year 2037						
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft						
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median						
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]						
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control						
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A						
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	dge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.85 m = 15.9 ft						
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]						
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]							
Repair and Replacement Plans							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]						
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 235000 Roadway improvement cost 75000						
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 213 m = 698.9 ft Total project cost						
	Year of improvement cost estimate						
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state						
	Border bridge - structure number						

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]				
Condition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry					
Scour	Bridge foundations determined	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]					
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. R minor stream bed movement e	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]					
Appraisal ratings - water adequad	Equal to present desirable crit	teria [8]	Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 20.9				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings							
Traffic safety features - transition	ns						
Traffic safety features - approac	n guardrail						
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends						
Inspection date October 201	7 [1017] Designated inspec	ction frequency 24	Months				
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date				
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	October 2017 [1017]				
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date				