The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information 41-42-50 = 092-54-40 = -															
lowa [19] Jasper County [099]				Unknown [00000]			801826				41.713889	92.911111			
197890		Highway agency district 1			Owner County Highway Agency [02]			2]	Mainte	nance re	esponsibility	County Highwa	ay Agency [02]		
Route 0 LOCAL			Toll On free				ee road [3] Features intersected NORTH SKUNK RIVER								
Design - main  Truss - Thru [10]				Design - approach	pproach		eam or girder [02]	Kilometerpoint   0 km = 0.0 mi			n1				
Historical significance  Bridge is possibly eligible for the NRHP. [3]  Total length 73.2 m = 240.2 ft  Length of maximum span 30.5 m = 100.1 ft  Deck width, out-to-out 4.9 m = 16.1 ft  Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.7 m = 15.4 ft  Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.6 m = 15.1 ft  Curb or sidewalk width - left  O m = 0.0 ft															
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]															
Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [7]				er [7]											
Deck protection															
Type of membrane/wearing surface															
Weight Li	mits														
0.5 km = 0.3 mi Meth			Method to determine inventory rating Method to determine operating rating			No rating analysis per				Inventory rat Operating ra	ting C	metric ton =			
Bridge posting									Design Load						

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 60 Average daily tru	uck traffi 0 % Year 2006 Future average daily traffic 94 Year 2028							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 5.5 m = 18.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  4.14 m = 13.6 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
·	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]							
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	Bridge improvement cost 1050000 Roadway improvement cost 70000							
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 99.7 m = 327.1 ft Total project cost 1120000							
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2008							
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency										
Structure status Bridge closed	d to all traffic [K]	Appraisal ratings - structural								
Condition ratings - superstructur	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically intolerable requiring	high priority of replacement [2]						
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -								
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]	deck geometry								
Scour	Bridge with "unknown" founda	Bridge with "unknown" foundation that has not been evaluated for scour. [U]								
Channel and channel protection	Bank and embankment protect debris are in the channel. [4]	Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]								
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Meets minimum tolerable limit	ts to be left in place as is	[4] Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]						
Pier or abutment protection		Sufficiency rating 0								
Culverts Not applicable. Used if structure is not a culvert. [N]										
Traffic safety features - railings										
Traffic safety features - transitions										
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail										
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends										
Inspection date May 2008 [0508] Designated inspection frequency 12 Months										
Underwater inspection	Unknown [N00]	Underwater inspec	ction date							
Fracture critical inspection	Every year [Y12]	Fracture critical ins	Fracture critical inspection date  June 2000 [0600]							
Other special inspection	Unknown [N00]	Other special inspe	Other special inspection date							