HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-56-22 =	092-28-44 = -
lowa [19] Tama County [171]		Unknown [00000] 82140406				41.939444	92.478889	
316700	Highway agency district 0		Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance	responsibility	County Highway A	agency [02]
Route 0 FM			Toll On free road [3]		eatures intersec	ted IOWA RIV	VER	
Design - Steel [3] main		approach	continuous [4]	Kilometerpoint 0 kr Year built 1941	m = 0.0 mi Year rec	onstructed N/	'A [0000]	
1 Truss - Thru [10] 3		3 String	er/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Skew angle 0	Structure Fl		THE NOUD IS	
Historical significance Bridge is not eligible for the NRHP. [5] Total length 153.3 m = 503.0 ft Length of maximum span 42.7 m = 140.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 7.1 m = 23.3 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 6.6 m = 21.7 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.6 m = 21.7 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right								
Deck structure type	Co	oncrete Cast-in-Plac	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concre		onolithic Concrete (crete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]					
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory ratir		ine inventory rating	Allowable Stress(AS) [2]		entory rating	tory rating 18.9 metric ton = 20.8 tons		
1.1 km = 0.7 mi Method to determine operating rating Allowable Stress			Allowable Stress(AS)) [2] Ope	erating rating	25.1 metric to	n = 27.6 tons	
Bridge posting 00.1 - 09.9 % below [4]					sign Load M 1	3.5 / H 15 [2]		

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 40 Average daily to	truck traffi 0 % Year 2009 Future average daily traffic 60 Year 2030								
Road classification Minor Collector (Rural) [08]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 9.4 m = 30.8 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure exists. [N]									
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bri	ridge 0 m = 0.0 ft Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 4.37 m = 14.3 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature F	Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]								
Other structural work, including hydraulic replacements. [38]	Bridge improvement cost 25000 Roadway improvement cost 3000								
replacements. [66]	Length of structure improvement 503 m = 1650.3 ft Total project cost								
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2009								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state	nt responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - superstructur	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Better than present minimum criteria [7]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings -	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]					
Condition ratings - deck	Satisfactory [6]	deck geometry						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	Bridge foundations determined to be stable for the assessed or calculated scour condition. [8]						
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. minor stream bed movement	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cr	iteria [6]	Status evaluation					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 68.8					
Culverts Not applicable. Used Traffic safety features - railings	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - transition								
Traffic safety features - approach								
Traffic safety features - approach								
Inspection date September 2010 [0910] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months								
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ection date					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	spection date September 2010 [0910]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	pection date					