The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							41-59-20 =	091-34-40 = -
lowa [19]	19] Linn County [113]		Unknown [00000] 830620				41.988889	91.577778
220750 Highway agency district 6		Owner County Highway	y Agency [02]	Maintenance	e responsibility	County Highway A	Agency [02]	
Route 0 ROSEDALE RD			Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures interse	cted INDIAN CR		
Design - Aluminum, Wr main   Iron [9]	rought Iron or Cast	approach	l or timber [7] per/Multi-beam or girder [02]	Year built 1890	m = 0.0 mi Year re Structure F	constructed N/A	[0000]	
				Historical significance	Bridge i	is possibly eligible	for the NRHP. [3]	
Total length 22 m = 105.0 ft Length of maximum span 27.1 m = 88.9 ft Deck width, out-to-out 4.3 m = 14.1 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 4.2 m = 13.8 ft								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.2 m = 13.8 ft			Curb or sidewalk w	Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m = 0.0 ft			ewalk width - right	0  m = 0.0  ft
Deck structure type Wood or Timber [8]								
Type of wearing surface Bituminous [6]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wearing surface								
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		No rating analysis pe	erformed [5] Inv	entory rating	14.2 metric ton	= 15.6 tons		
0 km = 0.0 mi	Method to determi	ne operating rating	No rating analysis pe	erformed [5] Op	erating rating	20.5 metric ton :	= 22.6 tons	
	Bridge posting 1	10.0 - 19.9 % belo	ow [3]	De	sign Load			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 230 Average daily truck	traffi 9 % Year 2005 Future average daily traffic 578 Year 2028								
Road classification Local (Urban) [19]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 7.6 m = 24.9 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3]  Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure e	xists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A								
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway  4.42 m = 14.5 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A	Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]									
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
Dani's and Danie and Disco									
Repair and Replacement Plans	Made dans by								
	Nork done by								
E	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
L	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost 0								
\	Year of improvement cost estimate								
E	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
E	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency									
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			to be left in place as is [4]				
Condition ratings - superstructur Poor [4]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Basically into	olerable requiring h	igh priority of corrrective action [3]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings -	Basically into	igh priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry							
Scour	Bridge with "unknown" founda	Bridge with "unknown" foundation that has not been evaluated for scour. [U]							
Channel and channel protection	Bank is beginning to slump. Find minor stream bed movement	Bank is beginning to slump. River control devices and embankment protection have widespread minor damage. There is minor stream bed movement evident. Debris is restricting the channel slightly. [6]							
Appraisal ratings - water adequace	Better than present minimum	Better than present minimum criteria [7]			Structurally deficient [1]				
Pier or abutment protection					23.7				
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]								
Traffic safety features - railings									
Traffic safety features - transition									
Traffic safety features - approach									
Traffic safety features - approach guardrail ends									
Inspection date October 2008 [1008] Designated inspection frequency 24 Months									
Underwater inspection	Unknown [N00]	Underwater inspec	ction date						
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:	spection date	October 2008 [	1008]				
Other special inspection	Unknown [N00]	Other special inspection date							