The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							43-28-30 =	091-24-40 = -
lowa [19] Allamakee County [005]		Unknown [00000] 1000514		43.475000	91.411111			
63170 Highway agency district 2		Owner County Highway Agency [02] Maintenance responsibility		County Highway A	Agency [02]			
Route 0 LOCAL		Toll On fre	ee road [3]	eatures interse	cted CLEAR CR	EEK		
Design - main  Steel [3]  Design - approach  Truss - Thru [10]  Dosign - approach  Other		Year built 1915		O km = 0.0 mi  Year reconstructed 1962  Structure Flared				
				Historical significance	Bridge	s possibly eligible	e for the NRHP. [3]	
Total length $22 \text{ m} = 72.2 \text{ ft}$ Length of maximum span $21.3 \text{ m} = 69.9 \text{ ft}$ Deck width, out-to-out $5.9 \text{ m} = 19.4 \text{ ft}$ Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb $5.5 \text{ m} = 18.0 \text{ ft}$								
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 5.2 m = 17.1 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m					ft	Curb or side	ewalk width - right	0  m = 0.0  ft
Deck structure type  Concrete Cast-in-Place [1]								
Type of wearing surface Monolithic Concrete (concurrently placed with structural deck) [1]								
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/wear	ring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating		No rating analysis pe	erformed [5] In	ventory rating	17.1 metric ton	= 18.8 tons		
0 km = 0.0 mi  Method to determine operating rating		No rating analysis pe	erformed [5] O	perating rating	24.3 metric ton	= 26.7 tons		
Bridge posting Equal to or above legal loads [5]					esign Load			

Functional Details								
Average Daily Traffic 5 Average daily tru	ck traffi 0 % Year 2001 Future average daily traffic 5 Year 2028							
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 1 Approach roadway width 6.1 m = 20.0 ft							
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic One lane bridge for 2 - way traffic [3] Bridge median							
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	exists. [N]							
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control							
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A							
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge 0 m = 0.0 ft  Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft								
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A								
Minimum Vertical Underclearance   0 = N/A   Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature   Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]								
Repair and Replacement Plans								
Type of work to be performed	Work done by							
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0							
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost 0							
	Year of improvement cost estimate							
	Border bridge - state  Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state							
	Border bridge - structure number							

Inspection and Sufficiency						
Structure status Open, no res	striction [A]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - superstructur Fair [5]		Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]			
Condition ratings - substructure	Poor [4]	Appraisal ratings -	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]			
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]	deck geometry				
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	d to be stable for the asso	essed or calculated scour con	dition. [8]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erod channel. [5]	ed. River control devices	s and/or embankment have ma	ijor damage. Trees and rush restrict the		
Appraisal ratings - water adequace	Equal to present minimum cri	iteria [6]	Status evaluation	Structurally deficient [1]		
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency ratin	49.5		
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]					
Traffic safety features - railings						
Traffic safety features - transition	ns					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail					
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends					
Inspection date April 2008 [0	408] Designated inspe	ection frequency 24	Months			
Underwater inspection	Unknown [N00]	Underwater inspec	ction date			
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical in:	spection date January 20	06 [0106]		
Other special inspection	Unknown [N00]	Other special insp	ection date			