HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Info	ormation										38-31-14.53 =	095-02-01.12
Kansas [20] Miami Cou		ni County [121]	ounty [121]		Unknown [00000] 3.8W 1.1N of Osav		sawatom	watomie		38.520703	= -95.033644	
000611067705040			Highway agency district: 4			Owner County Highway Agency [02]			Maintenance	eresponsibility	County Highway A	Agency [02]
Route 0 335th Street			n Street		Toll On free road [3] Features intersected			cted MARIAS D	ES CYGNES RIVER			
main approach			approach		O]	Kilometerpoint Year built 196		= 0.0 mi Year red	constructed N/A	A [0000]		
		11433 - 11114 [1	oj.		angle 0 Structure Flared ical significance Bridge is on the NRHP. [1]			[1]				
Total length 75.7 m = 248.4 ft Length of maximum span 48.5 m = 159.1 ft Deck width, out-to-out 5 m = 16.4 ft Bridge roadway width, curb-to-curb 5 m = 16.4 ft												
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 4.7 m = 15.4 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left O m = 0.0 ft Curb or sidewalk width - right								0 m = 0.0 ft				
Deck struc	cture type			Nood or Timb	er [8]							
Type of wearing surface Wood or Timber [7]			er [7]									
Deck protection												
Type of me	embrane/we	earing	surface									
Weight Li	mits											
			mine inventory rating Allowable Stress(AS)) [2] Invento		ntory rating	2.7 metric ton	= 3.0 tons			
0.5 km = 0.3 mi Method to determine			nine operating rating Allowable Stress(AS)		S) [2]	Oper	rating rating	3.6 metric ton	= 4.0 tons			
		Ві	ridge posting					Desig	gn Load			

Functional Details						
Average Daily Traffic 26 Average daily tr	uck traffi % Year 2011 Future average daily tra	iffic 39 Year 2030				
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2	Approach roadway width 5.5 m = 18.0 ft				
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2]	Bridge median				
Parallel structure designation No parallel structure	e exists. [N]	·				
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control	rol				
Navigation vertical clearanc 0 = N/A	Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A	A .				
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift brid	Minimum vertical of	clearance over bridge roadway 4.7 m = 15.4 ft				
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature Fe	eature not a highway or railroad [N]					
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A						
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance referenc	Feature not a highway or railroad [N]				
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]						
Repair and Replacement Plans						
Type of work to be performed	Work done by Work to be done by contract [1]					
Replacement of bridge or other structure because of substandard load carrying capacity or substantial	or other structure because of Bridge improvement cost 1700000 Roadway improvement cost 795000					
bridge roadway geometry. [31]	Length of structure improvement 213.4 m = 700.2 ft	Total project cost 2500000				
	Year of improvement cost estimate 2008					
	Border bridge - state	Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state				
	Border bridge - structure number					

Inspection and Sufficiency								
Structure status Posted for lo	ad [P]	Appraisal ratings - structural	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of replacement [2]					
Condition ratings - superstructure	Serious [3]	Appraisal ratings - roadway alignment	Meets minimum tolerable limits to be left in place as is [4]					
Condition ratings - substructure	Fair [5]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Basically intolerable requiring high priority of corrrective action [3]					
Condition ratings - deck	Poor [4]							
Scour	Bridge foundations determined required. [4]	d to be stable for assesse	ed or calculated scour conditions; field review indicates action is					
Channel and channel protection	Bank and embankment protect debris are in the channel. [4]	Bank and embankment protection is severely undermined. River control devices have severe damage. Large deposits of debris are in the channel. [4]						
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Meets minimum tolerable limi	ts to be left in place as is	[4] Status evaluation Structurally deficient [1]					
Pier or abutment protection			Sufficiency rating 24.5					
Culverts Not applicable. Used	if structure is not a culvert. [N]							
Traffic safety features - railings								
Traffic safety features - transition	S							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail							
Traffic safety features - approach	n guardrail ends							
Inspection date October 201	4 [1014] Designated inspe	ction frequency 12	Months					
Underwater inspection	Not needed [N]	Underwater inspec	ction date					
Fracture critical inspection	Every two years [Y24]	Fracture critical ins	Spection date October 2014 [1014]					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspe	ection date					