HistoricBridges.org - National Bridge Inventory Data Sheet

The National Bridge Inventory contains data submitted by state transportion departments to the Federal Highway Administration in coded format.

Form Interface Design: www.historicbridges.org. Data Conversion Assistance By www.bridgehunter.com. None of the involved parties make any guarantee of accuracy.

Basic Information							38-08-29.43 =	094-41-43.49
Kansas [20]	Linn County [107]		Unknown [00000]	0.5S 6.0E OF MOUND	CITY		38.141508	= -94.695414
000541107005549 Highway agency district: 4		y district: 4	Owner County Highway Agency [02]		Maintenance re	Maintenance responsibility County Highway Agency [02]		
Route 0	LOCA	L RD. 55	Toll On fre	ee road [3] Fe	eatures intersected	d MINE CREE	K	
Design - main Concrete [1] Design - approach Arch - Thru [12] 0 Other			Kilometerpoint 0 km = 0.0 mi Year built 1930 Year reconstructed N/A Skew angle 0 Structure Flared Historical significance Bridge is on the NRHP.			ed		
Total length 34.1 m =	= 111.9 ft Len	gth of maximum spa	33.5 m = 109.9 ft	Deck width, out-to-ou			way width, curb-to-cu	urb 6.2 m = 20.3 ft
Inventory Route, Total Horizontal Clearance 6.2 m = 20.3 ft Curb or sidewalk width - left 0 m =				idth - left 0 m = 0.0 ft		Curb or side	walk width - right	0 m = 0.0 ft
Deck structure type	Co	oncrete Cast-in-Plac	ce [1]					
Type of wearing surface	Di Bi	tuminous [6]						
Deck protection								
Type of membrane/we	aring surface							
Weight Limits								
Bypass, detour length Method to determine inventory rating			Load Factor(LF) [1]		entory rating 18 metric ton = 19.8 tons			
0.8 km = 0.5 mi	Method to determ	ne operating rating	Load Factor(LF) [1]	Ope	erating rating 2	3.4 metric ton =	= 25.7 tons	
	Bridge posting	10.0 - 19.9 % belo	w [3]	Des	ign Load			

Functional Details									
Average Daily Traffic 100 Average daily t	ruck traffi % Year 2006 Future average daily traffic 115 Year 2026								
Road classification Local (Rural) [09]	Lanes on structure 2 Approach roadway width 7.3 m = 24.0 ft								
Type of service on bridge Highway [1]	Direction of traffic 2 - way traffic [2] Bridge median								
Parallel structure designation No parallel structu	re exists. [N]								
Type of service under bridge Waterway [5]	Lanes under structure 0 Navigation control								
Navigation vertical clearance 0 = N/A Navigation horizontal clearance 0 = N/A									
Minimum navigation vertical clearance, vertical lift bridge Minimum vertical clearance over bridge roadway 99.99 m = 328.1 ft									
Minimum lateral underclearance reference feature	eature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Minimum lateral underclearance on right 0 = N/A Minimum lateral underclearance on left 0 = N/A									
Minimum Vertical Underclearance 0 = N/A	Minimum vertical underclearance reference feature Feature not a highway or railroad [N]								
Appraisal ratings - underclearances N/A [N]									
David David David Division									
Repair and Replacement Plans									
Type of work to be performed	Work done by								
	Bridge improvement cost 0 Roadway improvement cost 0								
	Length of structure improvement 0 m = 0.0 ft Total project cost 0								
	Year of improvement cost estimate								
	Border bridge - state Border bridge - percent responsibility of other state								
	Border bridge - structure number								

Inspection and Sufficiency							
Structure status Posted for load [P]		Appraisal ratings - structural	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - superstructure	ondition ratings - superstructure Fair [5]		Equal to present minimum criteria [6]				
Condition ratings - substructure	Satisfactory [6]	Appraisal ratings - deck geometry	Somewhat better than minimum adequacy to tolerate being left in place as is [5]				
Condition ratings - deck	Fair [5]						
Scour	Bridge foundations determine	ed to be stable for assesse	ed or calculated s	scour condition. [5	5]		
Channel and channel protection	Bank protection is being erod channel. [5]	led. River control devices	and/or embankn	nent have major c	damage. Trees a	and rush restrict the	
Appraisal ratings - water adequac	Equal to present minimum cr	Equal to present minimum criteria [6]					
Pier or abutment protection				fficiency rating	56.2		
	f structure is not a culvert. [N]						
Traffic safety features - railings Traffic safety features - transition	C						
Traffic safety features - approach							
Traffic safety features - approach							
Inspection date January 2014		ection frequency 24	Mont	hs			
Underwater inspection Not needed [N]		Underwater inspec	ction date				
Fracture critical inspection	Not needed [N]	eeded [N] Fracture critical inspect					
Other special inspection	Not needed [N]	Other special inspection date					